Hub Location Problems with Allocation Constraints

Jeng-Fung Chen Feng Chia University, Taiwan

INTRODUCTION

Hub location problems, with the hub-and-spoke network structure, involve locating hub facilities, allocating the non-hubs (spokes) to the hubs, and determining the path for each origin-destination pair. In a pure hub-and-spoke network, all hubs, which act as intermediate switching or transshipment points for internodal flows, are fully interconnected and none of the non-hubs are directly connected (i.e., all flows must be routed through the hubs). Two types of allocations are commonly found in the literature. In the multiple allocation, each non-hub is allowed to be allocated to all the hubs (Figure 1). The single allocation restricts each non-hub to be allocated to a single hub (Figure 2). In real world situations, however, the allocation of the non-hubs to the hubs does not always fall under multiple allocation or single allocation. In many practical situations, each non-hub is restricted to be connected with a few hubs, perhaps two or three. In this chapter, we discuss hub location problems with such allocation constraints. Models for hub location problems with allocation constraints are presented. Data sets for these types of hub location problems are also described.

BACKGROUND

In many telecommunication and transportation networks, connecting all pairs of nodes by direct links is very costly. Hence, hubs, which are chosen among the nodes in the network, are installed to serve as intermediate switching or transshipment points. The flows (such as data transmissions, mail, *Figure 1. An example of a multiple allocation hub-and-spoke network*



express packages, cargoes, or airline passengers) from the same origin with different destinations are collected on the allocated hub(s), where they are regrouped with other flows from different origins and leave the hub(s) either to other hubs or to their final destinations.

Hubs are fully interconnected and direct links between non-hubs are usually not allowed (i.e., all flows must be routed through the hubs). Since hubbing can reduce the overall transportation cost, there has been a rapid growth in the application of hub-and-spoke networks. Typical applications may be found in express package delivery companies (Kuby & Gray, 1993), passenger airlines

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-4666-5202-6.ch103

Figure 2. An example of a single allocation huband-spoke network



(Bania *et al.*, 1998), message delivery networks (Lee *et al.*, 1996; Klincewicz, 1998), and trucking industry (Don *et al.*, 1995). The challenge to the academics then is to design the hub-and-spoke network such that the total cost is minimized.

There are several variants of hub location problems (Campbell & O'Kelly, 2012; Farahani *et al.*, 2013). In the *p*-hub median problem (*p*-HMP), the number of hubs *p* is predetermined. The objective is to locate the hubs, to allocate the non-hubs to the hubs, and to determine the path for each origin-destination pair such that the total transportation cost is minimized. The total cost includes (1) the collection cost incurred during the transportation from the non-hubs to their allocated hub(s); (2) the transfer cost incurred during the transportation between hubs; and (3) the distribution cost incurred during the transportation from the allocated hub(s) to the non-hubs.

The uncapacitated hub location problem (UHLP) is a different type of hub location problem. In the UHLP, the number of hubs is not given a *priori* and a fixed cost for installing a hub is included in the objective function. The capacitated hub location problem (CHLP) is another type of

hub location problem, in which capacity constraints are enforced on the hubs and sometimes on the arcs as well. Two types of allocations are commonly found in the literature. In the multiple allocation, each non-hub is allowed to be allocated to all the hubs. The single allocation restricts each non-hub to be allocated to a single hub.

Campbell (1994) presented a mixed 0/1 linear formulation for the *p*-HMP with multiple allocation. Shin *et al.* (2009) proposed a two-leveled multi-objective symbiotic evolutionary algorithm. Yaman (2011) studied the allocation strategies and presented mixed-integer programming formulations. For the *p*-HMP with single allocation, Perez *et al.* (2007) proposed a hybrid algorithm based on variable neighborhood search and path relinking. Kratica *et al.* (2007) developed two genetic algorithms (GA). A hybrid heuristic based on simulated annealing (SA) and tabu lists was presented by Chen and Wu (2008). Ilic *et al.* (2010) proposed a general variable neighborhood search.

For the UHLP with multiple allocation, Racunica and Wynter (2005) presented a cost model along with two variable-reduction heuristics. Canovas *et al.* (2007) proposed a dual-ascent technique. A Benders decomposition algorithm was developed by De Camargo *et al.* (2008). Contreras *et al.* (2011) also presented a Benders decomposition algorithm. Topcuoglu *et al.* (2005) proposed a GA and an SA heuristics to solve the UHLP with single allocation. Chen (2007) developed an SA based hybrid heuristic. Silva and Cunha (2009) presented multi-start tabu search (TS) heuristics and a two-stage integrated TS heuristic.

For the CHLP with multiple allocation, Carello *et al.* (2004) proposed different formulations and a local search approach. Marin (2005) considered that the flow between a given origin-destination pair can be split into several routes. He presented tight integer programming formulations and a solution method. Rodriguez-Martin and Salazar-Gonzalez (2008) developed two branch-and-bound methods and a heuristic. Labbe *et al.* (2005) studied the polyhedral properties and developed a branch-and-cut algorithm to solve the CHLP with

6 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/hub-location-problems-with-allocation-

constraints/107311

Related Content

Business Intelligence: Attribute and Feature Demand

Gerald V. Postand Albert Kagan (2012). International Journal of Business Intelligence Research (pp. 16-28).

www.irma-international.org/article/business-intelligence-attribute-feature-demand/69966

Enterprise Reconfiguration Dynamics and Business Alignment

G. D. Putnik, M. Manuela Cunham, B. Conceição Cortesand P. Silva Ávila (2007). *Adaptive Technologies and Business Integration: Social, Managerial and Organizational Dimensions (pp. 1-32).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/enterprise-reconfiguration-dynamics-business-alignment/4227

An Epistemology of Intellectual Capital and its Transition to a Practical Application

Jan Carrell (2010). *Strategic Intellectual Capital Management in Multinational Organizations: Sustainability and Successful Implications (pp. 17-43).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/epistemology-intellectual-capital-its-transition/36454

The Granger Causality of Bahrain Stocks, Bitcoin, and Other Commodity Asset Returns:

Evidence of Short-Term Return Spillover Before and During the COVID-19 Pandemic

Mark Pabatang Doblasand Maria Cecilia Lagaras (2023). International Journal of Business Analytics (pp. 1-20).

www.irma-international.org/article/the-granger-causality-of-bahrain-stocks-bitcoin-and-other-commodity-assetreturns/322304

MaxDiff Choice Probability Estimations on Aggregate and Individual Level

Stan Lipovetsky (2018). International Journal of Business Analytics (pp. 55-69). www.irma-international.org/article/maxdiff-choice-probability-estimations-on-aggregate-and-individual-level/192168