Reversible Logic as a Stepping Stone to Quantum Computing

J. E. Rice

University of Lethbridge, Canada

INTRODUCTION

In 1965 Intel co-founder Gordon Moore predicted that the number of devices per chip would double every year (Moore, 1965). His prediction has held true for nearly 50 years as consumers and industry have demanded smaller and lower power computing devices. However the Semiconductor Industries Association predicts that this will soon end: "It is forecasted that by the end of the next decade it will be necessary to augment the capabilities of the CMOS process by introducing multiple new devices that will hopefully realize some properties beyond the ones of CMOS devices". This same report indicates clearly that future architectures must allow for new technologies such as quantum and reversible computing (ITRS, 2009).

Quantum computing is an area of research with great potential; however significant change would be required for industry to move to a quantum paradigm. Reversible computing may provide a stepping-stone from current technologies and approaches to that of quantum computing. Reversible circuits can be viewed as a special case of quantum circuits (Nielsen & Chuang, 2000); thus research into reversible logic can offer a path to fully quantum computing in a way that maintains some of the familiar processes and techniques as well as the effectiveness inherent in the tried and true methods. Further motivation is provided in articles such as (Frank, 2005).

This article introduces and discusses reversible logic with focus on synthesis of reversible logic circuits. We aim to provide background and an overview of the current state-of-the-art in these areas. For those unfamiliar with this field Pan and Nalasani (2005) offer an introductory work on reversible logic in general.

BACKGROUND

In 1961 Landauer defined a device as being logically irreversible if the output of the device did not uniquely define the inputs. He argued that logical irreversibility implied physical irreversibility, which unavoidably would lead to heat dissipation. However Landauer thought that the extra computation and/or memory required to achieve reversibility would offset the inherent advantages (Landauer, 1961). Bennett refuted this in 1973 and demonstrated that it was possible to design a reversible equivalent that was not significantly more complex than an equivalent irreversible device. Bennett also demonstrated that for power not to be dissipated it is *necessary* that a binary circuit be constructed from reversible gates (Bennett, 1973).

Following in Landauer and Bennett's footsteps, Toffoli wrote that "...it appears possible to design circuits whose internal power dissipation, under ideal physical circumstances, is zero". Toffoli also demonstrated that it is *always* possible to construct an arbitrary function by means of an invertible function (Toffoli, 1980). The current literature in reversible logic can almost entirely be traced back to these papers.

Basic Terminology

In this article all operators are Boolean operators and standard symbols are used. While it is common practice to refer to "inputs" and "outputs" of a reversible function, this is not technically correct. A reversible function may be implemented using some quantum technology where wires and traditional technologies cannot be used and where inputs/outputs are really starting/ending states of some technological entity implementing the function. A more accurate term might be "qubits", which is used by some authors; however many refer instead to lines, variables, or inputs and outputs of the S

Figure 1. (A) The truth table for a reversible function; (B) The truth table for the traditional Boolean AND operator, which is irreversible; (C) The truth table for a half-adder; and (D) The truth table for a reversible embedding of a half-adder

$x_2 x_1$	$f_2 f_1$	$x_{2}x_{1}$	$x_2 \bullet x_1$	$x_2 x_1$	cs	gx_2x_1	g'cs	gx_2x_1	g'cs
00	00	00	0	00	00	000	000	100	100
01	11	01	0	01	01	001	001	101	011
10	10	10	0	10	01	010	101	110	111
11	01	11	1	11	10	011	010	111	110
(A)		(B)		(C)		(D)			

circuit, assuming that these will be implemented by some reversible technology equivalent.

Reversible Functions

A function is reversible if there is a one-to-one and onto (bijective) mapping from the inputs to the outputs of the function. For example, the function shown in Figure 1(A) is reversible, as it is bijective, while the function shown in Figure 1(B) is not. Any irreversible function can be embedded within a reversible function, as shown by Bennett (1973). Figure 1(C) gives the truth table for a half-adder, with two bits $(x_1, and x_2)$ that are added together to produce a carry and sum output. The half-adder is irreversible, since there are two output rows that are not unique. By including an additional input (g) and output (g') we obtain a reversible function, shown in Figure 1(D), which embeds the half-adder functionality in the first four rows of the truth table. In this example the values for the garbage line have been arbitrarily assigned with the only goal being to ensure uniqueness in each output row. Determining the most optimal assignment is an area of research addressed by works such as (Miller et al., 2009).

Reversible Gates

Definition 1.1 A gate is reversible if the (Boolean) function it computes is bijective (Shende et al. 2003).

The majority of traditional logic gates such as the AND, NOT, OR and exclusive-OR (XOR) gates are

not reversible, as they are not bijective. The exception to this is the traditional inverter, or NOT gate. In fact, any (potential) physical implementation of a bijective function could be considered to be a reversible gate. However the most commonly used reversible gates include the NOT gate, SWAP gate, and variations on these, as listed in Table 1. In this table the lines, or inputs to the gates are labeled as x, y, and if needed, z. It is common to extend these behaviours to any number of *control* lines. A control line is an input, or line, which controls the behaviour of the gate. Lines whose values may change are referred to as *target* lines.

Toffoli Gates

Because it is a universal gate and easy to extend, many researchers have focused on the Toffoli gate and its variations in logic synthesis approaches. A Toffoli gate may be referred to as a multiple control Toffoli gate, or TOFn, where n is the total number of signal lines the gate is operating on. A TOFn gate may also

Table 1. The behaviour of a selection of reversible gates

gate	behaviour					
NOT	$(x) \rightarrow (x \oplus 1)$ (see Figure 2A)					
Feynman (Tof2)	$(x, y) \rightarrow (x, x \oplus y)$ (see Figure 2B)					
Toffoli	$(x, y, z) \rightarrow (x, y, xy \oplus z)$ (see Figure 2C)					
SWAP	$(x, y) \rightarrow (y, x)$					
Fredkin	$(x,y,z) \rightarrow (x,z,y)$ iff $x = 1$					

7 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/reversible-logic-as-a-stepping-stone-to-quantumcomputing/112424

Related Content

Constructing New Venues for Service Improvements Using the Architecture of Preventive Service Systems

Elad Harisonand Ofer Barkai (2015). *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, Third Edition* (pp. 7063-7072).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/constructing-new-venues-for-service-improvements-using-the-architecture-ofpreventive-service-systems/112405

Investigating the Importance of Website Color Contrast in E- and M-Commerce

Jean-Eric Pelet (2015). Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, Third Edition (pp. 2329-2338).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/investigating-the-importance-of-website-color-contrast-in-e--and-mcommerce/112647

Implications of Pressure for Shortening the Time to Market (TTM) in Defense Projects

Moti Frankand Boaz Carmi (2014). International Journal of Information Technologies and Systems Approach (pp. 23-40).

www.irma-international.org/article/implications-of-pressure-for-shortening-the-time-to-market-ttm-in-defenseprojects/109088

Method of Fault Self-Healing in Distribution Network and Deep Learning Under Cloud Edge Architecture

Zhenxing Lin, Liangjun Huang, Boyang Yu, Chenhao Qi, Linbo Pan, Yu Wang, Chengyu Geand Rongrong Shan (2023). *International Journal of Information Technologies and Systems Approach (pp. 1-15).* www.irma-international.org/article/method-of-fault-self-healing-in-distribution-network-and-deep-learning-under-cloudedge-architecture/321753

Information Systems on Hesitant Fuzzy Sets

Deepak D.and Sunil Jacob John (2016). *International Journal of Rough Sets and Data Analysis (pp. 71-97)*. www.irma-international.org/article/information-systems-on-hesitant-fuzzy-sets/144707