

Chapter 11

Privacy Compliance Requirements in Workflow Environments

Maria N. Koukovini

*National Technical University of Athens,
Greece*

Nikolaos L. Dellas

SingularLogic S.A., Greece

Eugenia I. Papagiannakopoulou

*National Technical University of Athens,
Greece*

Dimitra I. Kaklamani

*National Technical University of Athens,
Greece*

Georgios V. Lioudakis

*National Technical University of Athens,
Greece*

Iakovos S. Venieris

*National Technical University of Athens,
Greece*

ABSTRACT

Workflow management systems are used to run day-to-day applications in numerous domains, often including exchange and processing of sensitive data. Their native “leakage-proneness,” being the consequence of their distributed and collaborative nature, calls for sophisticated mechanisms able to guarantee proper enforcement of the necessary privacy protection measures. Motivated by the principles of Privacy by Design and its potential for workflow environments, this chapter investigates the associated issues, challenges, and requirements. With the legal and regulatory provisions regarding privacy in information systems as a baseline, the chapter elaborates on the challenges and derived requirements in the context of workflow environments, taking into account the particular needs and implications of the latter. Further, it highlights important aspects that need to be considered regarding, on the one hand, the incorporation of privacy-enhancing features in the workflow models themselves and, on the other, the evaluation of the latter against privacy provisions.

INTRODUCTION

Workflows, that is, well-defined sequences of tasks coordinated in order to achieve a variety of business, scientific and engineering goals, have

emerged as a prominent technology in current distributed and dynamic environments, fuelled also by the proliferation of Service Oriented Architectures (SOA) (Papazoglou & van den Heuvel, 2007) and their loose-coupling nature.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-4666-6324-4.ch011

However, workflow systems are in many cases characterised by serious privacy implications due to their nature, which natively relies to a large extent on access to and exchange of data. Besides, they are often based on and foster collaboration within heterogeneous environments and among many stakeholders, something that significantly complicates the direct and effective use of already existing solutions to privacy protection. Indeed, in such systems, balancing the competing goals of collaboration and security aspects in general is a difficult, multidimensional problem: on the one hand, establishing useful connections among people, tools, and information is a prerequisite, while, on the other, the availability, confidentiality, and integrity of these same elements must also be ensured. The key challenge arising in such context is that the various activities must no longer be considered only “in isolation” but also with respect to operational and data flows, resulting in a holistic view across the corresponding procedures; in other words, required mechanisms (e.g., access control) must be effectively enforced regarding not only individual actions but also large-scale interrelations thereof at the workflow level.

At the same time, the privacy domain is increasingly becoming a legislated area. Data protection laws have been enacted worldwide in order to regulate personal information collection, processing and dissemination (Solove, 2006; Portela & Cruz-Cunha, 2010; Greenleaf, in press). The legal and regulatory framework naturally impacts workflow systems, since business processes implemented as workflows should comply with the associated requirements.

In light of the above, this chapter investigates the issues, challenges and specific requirements related with privacy compliance in workflow environments. In this direction, after some background on workflow technologies is provided, the core legal and regulatory requirements are highlighted in order for the associated challenges for workflow systems to be identified. Thereupon, the main limitations of current technologies with respect to these challenges are outlined. Finally,

the fulfilment of the underlying requirements is investigated from a dual perspective: first, the need for the inclusion, at the workflow model level, of structures able to support the in-design specification of privacy policies, leading to targeted privacy configurations enforceable at run-time, and, second, the basic compliance patterns that need to be considered, in order to enable the automatic verification of workflow models against privacy provisions and their automatic transformation in the case of detected violations.

BACKGROUND

In general terms, a workflow is a collection of tasks, i.e., well-specified steps to be completed by available resources towards performing a more complex operational procedure, along with their various interrelations, that denote the order in which tasks are executed and process the information exchanged among them, if any. A workflow is typically abstracted as a directed graph $\langle T, E \rangle$, with the set of tasks T constituting its vertices and its edges E representing inter-task relations and associated parameters.

Emanating from the first office automation systems, when variants of Petri Nets (Petri, 1962) have been used in order to model related procedures, workflows originally had a purely business orientation and have in the meantime evolved to what is being referred to today as business workflow or, more broadly speaking, Business Process Management (BPM) technology. Indeed, the Workflow Management Coalition (WfMC) defined a workflow as the “*computerized facilitation or automation of a business process, in whole or part*” during which “*documents, information or tasks are passed between participants according to a defined set of rules to achieve, or contribute to, an overall business goal*” (WfMC, 1995). However, it later became apparent that the workflow paradigm could also benefit the sciences domain and their complex and data-intensive operations. This has led to the emergence of a new family

19 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/privacy-compliance-requirements-in-workflow-environments/115756

Related Content

Identification of Natural Images and Computer Generated Graphics Based on Hybrid Features

Fei Peng, Juan Liu and Min Long (2013). *Emerging Digital Forensics Applications for Crime Detection, Prevention, and Security* (pp. 18-34).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/identification-natural-images-computer-generated/75661

Learning Management Systems: Understand and Secure Your Educational Technology

Sharon L. Burton, Rondalynne McClintock, Darrell N. Burrell, Kim L. Brown-Jackson, Dustin Bessette and Shanel Lu (2015). *New Threats and Countermeasures in Digital Crime and Cyber Terrorism* (pp. 253-270).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/learning-management-systems/131407

Visible Watermarking Scheme for Quick Response Code Based on Reversible Data Hiding

Shun Zhang and Tiegang Gao (2014). *International Journal of Digital Crime and Forensics* (pp. 47-63).

www.irma-international.org/article/visible-watermarking-scheme-for-quick-response-code-based-on-reversible-data-hiding/120210

An Effective Reversible Watermarking for 2D CAD Engineering Graphics Based on Improved QIM

Fei Peng and Yu-Zhou Lei (2011). *International Journal of Digital Crime and Forensics* (pp. 53-69).

www.irma-international.org/article/effective-reversible-watermarking-cad-engineering/52778

Tracing Cyber Crimes with a Privacy-Enabled Forensic Profiling System

Pallavi Kahai, Kamesh Namuduri and Ravi Pense (2006). *Digital Crime and Forensic Science in Cyberspace* (pp. 137-154).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/tracing-cyber-crimes-privacy-enabled/8353