Supply Chain Risk Management: A Conceptual Framework and Empirical Validation

Sumeet Gupta, Indian Institute of Management Raipur, Sejbahar, India

Mark Goh, The Logistics Institute-Asia Pacific, Singapore

Robert De-Souza, The Logistics Institute-Asia Pacific, Singapore

Fanwen Meng, Center for Maritime Studies, National University of Singapore, Singapore

Miti Garg, Logistics Institute-Asia Pacific, Singapore

ABSTRACT

Increasing globalization of the supply chains is making them increasingly vulnerable to various supply chain risks. Effective management of these risks is essential to prevent minor as well as major risks that may occur in day-to-day operations of the firm. In this paper an attempt is made to bring out a schema for analyzing supply chain risks faced by the firm and develop a risk management action framework that would serve as a guide for practitioners to identify the level at which their firms are operating and the strategies they need to employ to combat or prevent supply chain risks. The data is collected by means of an online as well as an event survey from logistics managers of various supply chain firms. Indeed Singaporean firms need to properly document these supply chain risks. Moreover, there are gaps in specific areas where Singaporean firms can improve themselves and thus become globally effective corporations.

Keywords: Globalization, risk management, risk management capability, supply chains, supply chain risk management action framework

INTRODUCTION

With the increasing globalization, supply chains are becoming increasingly complex. While, on the one hand, globalization bring numerous advantages to the supply chain, such as economies of scale and global operations, on the other hand, the increasing complexity in the supply chain makes it vulnerable to various kinds of risks,

such as delays and disruptions (such as natural disasters and diseases) to name a few. Risk is an expression of the probability that an event or action may adversely affect the organization¹. Risk Management is the strategy to take preventive measures towards such risks and mitigate such risks in case they occur. Deloitte and Touche (www.deloitte.com) define supply chain risk management as the management of

DOI: 10.4018/ijisscm.2014040105

supply chain risks through coordination or collaboration among the supply chain partners so as to ensure profitability and continuity.

In general, supply chain management deals with the management of material, information, and financial flows in a network consisting of vendors, manufacturers, distributors and customers. As such, supply chain management can involve a variety of issues ranging from product/process design, production, third party logistics and outsourcing, supplier contracting, incentives and performance measures, multi-location inventory coordination, and so forth. Today, many different disciplines such as marketing, economics, operations research, and operations management, have brought to bear concepts that are commonplace throughout the supply chain management literature. Managing flows in this network is a major challenge due to the network complexity, the proliferation of products and the presence of multiple decision makers who each own and operate a piece of this network

Before firms can devise effective means of reducing supply-chain risks, managers must first understand the universe of risk categories as well as the events and conditions that drive them. Then, armed with clear, specific knowledge about these crucial risks, companies can proceed to select and tailor mitigation strategies likely to be most effective. There are numerous studies that identify the inventory of risks that a firm's supply chain may be vulnerable to (Chopra & Sodhi, 2004). It should be noted that risk cannot be eliminated. Because of the complex nature, various tools may be used to allow business stake-holders and government bodies to build up an overall picture of the risk situation and plan a migration strategy to address critical areas.

Although, there has been considerable research (Paulsson, 2003; Tang, 2005) on identifying various types of risk faced by a supply chain firm, there is hardly any empirical study attempted to identify the specific risks faced by firm and their capability to prevent or combat these risks. The current paper is an attempt to explore the area of supply chain risk manage-

ment in a detailed manner. Specifically, this paper develops a schema for supply chain risks, a risk management action framework as well as a framework for understanding the capability of firms in facing these risks.

The paper will serve as a platform for future empirical research. Also, this paper would be useful for practitioners by giving them a detailed overview of the risk management strategies followed by various firms and also an understanding of the gaps their firms might be facing. This paper would also serve as a roadmap for various industrialists for practicing risk management in their firms.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. A brief review of literature on supply chain risks is presented in Section 2. The conceptual framework for this study in presented in Section 3. The methodology of survey study on supply chain risks of Singapore firms is discussed are discussed in Section 4. Data analysis and results of the study are presented in section 5. Finally, conclusions as well as contributions of this study are discussed in section 6.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Types of Supply Chain Risks and Mitigation Strategies

Several studies have been conducted on identifying and categorizing the risks involved in a supply chain (e.g., Paulsson, 2004; Tang 2005; Vanany, Zailani & Pujawan, 2008; Borgman & Rachan, 2009). Borgman & Rachan (2009) attempt to comprehensively identify the supply chain issues in process flows. Paulsson (2004) classified the articles on supply chain risk using three dimensions: the unit of analysis, type of risk, and risk handling. Vanany et al. (2008) conducted a comprehensive review of risks in supply chain and classified studies into five categories: conceptual, descriptive, empirical, exploratory, cross-sectional, and exploratory longitudinal.

In a broad sense supply chain risks can be categorized into two, namely operational risks and disruption risks (Tang, 2005). Operational

20 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-

global.com/article/supply-chain-risk-management/117469

Related Content

Supply Chain Strategy: Designing Effective Supply Chains

Vivek Sehgal (2015). Optimization of Supply Chain Management in Contemporary Organizations (pp. 33-59).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/supply-chain-strategy/125933

Towards a Unified Definition of Supply Chain Management: The Four Fundamentals

Edward Sweeney (2013). Technological Solutions for Modern Logistics and Supply Chain Management (pp. 32-50).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/towards-unified-definition-supply-chain/72839

Lateral Collaboration in Semiconductor Industry Supply Networks: A Procurement Perspective

Bikram K. Bahinipatiand S.G. Deshmukh (2014). *International Journal of Information Systems and Supply Chain Management (pp. 39-79).*

www.irma-international.org/article/lateral-collaboration-in-semiconductor-industry-supply-networks/118168

Path Analysis Model for Supply Chain Risk Management

Satyendra Kumar Sharma, Anil Bhat, Vinod Kumarand Aayushi Agarwal (2017). *International Journal of Information Systems and Supply Chain Management (pp. 21-41).*

 $\underline{www.irma-international.org/article/path-analysis-model-for-supply-chain-risk-management/178554}$

The Role of Supply Chain Innovation for New Normal on the Relationship between SCM Practices and SMEs Performance

Inda Sukati, Ali Mohsin Salim Ba Awainand Raghed Ibrahim Ismaeel (2023). *International Journal of Information Systems and Supply Chain Management (pp. 1-15).*

www.irma-international.org/article/the-role-of-supply-chain-innovation-for-new-normal-on-the-relationship-between-scm-practices-and-smes-performance/321950