Chapter 23 Ethical E-Participation: Reasons for Introducing a 'Qualitative Turn' for PPGIS

Marco Picone

University of Palermo, Italy

Francesco Lo Piccolo

University of Palermo, Italy

ABSTRACT

The most recent forms of e-participation seem to provide new issues that need to be discussed. One such discussion involves the role of GIS. Can an ethically aware GIS be conceived? What does it mean for a GIS to be ethical at all? Throughout this paper, first the authors create a theoretical framework to encompass four key elements that lead us to the definition of ethical digital mapping: GIS ethics, social justice, power, and participation. Then they introduce the concept of PPGIS (Public Participation GIS), and argue that only a 'qualitative turn' can enhance their importance in decision-making processes. Finally, the authors discuss an experiment that is currently taking place in Palermo, Italy, to debate the opportunities qualitative PPGIS may grant.

INTRODUCTION: PLANNING, GEOGRAPHY, GIS, AND ETHICS

This paper is the result of the joint researches of a geographer and a planner¹, and it focuses on a topic that is by itself at the threshold between GIScience and ethics. Such assumptions could seem to lead the paper on a dangerous track, as these themes definitely deserve disciplinaryspecific discussions. However, we believe that the key question of what can be called 'ethical e-participation' requires first and foremost an interdisciplinary (Albro & Richardson, 2012; Richardson, 2013) and thorough reflection on ethics in planning, and "ethics is hard stuff, as Socrates realized and ethicists ever since have understood" (Koch, 2006, p. 4).

Despite some recent progresses (Campbell & Marshall, 1999; Sanyal, 2002; Watson, 2003 and 2006; Hendler, 2005; Campbell, 2006 and 2012; Lo Piccolo & Thomas, 2008 and 2009), researches on ethics within the planning disci-

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-4666-8195-8.ch023

pline can be still considered a niche; yet, some scholars in the past produced interesting analyses, though mostly based on the Anglo-American context (Klosterman, 1978; Howe & Kaufman, 1979; Kaufman, 1981; Howe, 1990 and 1994; Harper & Stein, 1992; Hendler, 1995; Campbell & Marshall, 2000). Other disciplines cognate to planning—such as the built environment disciplines (Wilkinson & Morton, 2007), geography (for a critical view on these positions see Smith, 2000; Valentine, 2005; Askins, 2008), or public administration studies (see Brainard, 2003 for an analysis of citizen organizing related to health care issues; Calista & Melitski, 2007 for some critical comments on the use of ICTs in public administration; Roman, 2013 for his five major critical questions on e-government ethics)—have proposed more readings and insight on this subject (Lo Piccolo & Thomas, 2009, p. 2). Still, we believe that the combined and interdisciplinary use of planning, geographic and other scholarly literature can help us further the debate on what ethical e-participation is, or should be. After all, geography and planning are each other's alter ego (Phelps & Tewdwr-Jones, 2008).

Moving from the field of generic planningrelated ethics to the arguably even more complex fields of e-participation (Buchanan, 2012) and GIS-related ethics, we think that new and upcoming issues need to be addressed, and questions have to be raised. First, can an ethically aware GIS be conceived? What does it mean for a GIS to be ethical at all? How does this relate to planning research, and which particular approach to ethics should it emphasize? Last but not least, if we try to adapt the general idea of what e-participation should be to some local experiences, which show the complete absence of any collaborative approach from local institutions, how should we construct and apply any form of (e-)communicative ethics? How can we conduct an 'inclusive' research in such a context, starting with contradictory (or weak) requests by either institutions or disadvantaged groups?

To answer some of these questions, this paper is divided into three sections. The first section discusses the literature on the relationship between GIS and ethics by focusing on four key concepts. The second section describes what PPGIS (Public Participation Geographic Information Systems) are, introduces the discussion on what aspects PPGIS should include, and suggests a 'qualitative turn' for PPGIS, considering this 'turn' as a chance for PPGIS to work as actual inclusionary tools and empower disadvantaged social groups. The third section outlines an experimentation regarding the application of these qualitative PPGIS to the city of Palermo, in Southern Italy.

FOUR CORNERSTONES

This section will discuss the role of ethics in eparticipation processes,² and sketch a picture that connects ethical issues to the usage of GIS. In order to structure this analysis we use a theoretical framework that draws upon studies of critical cartography and GIS, participatory planning processes, and social justice. We will discuss four cornerstones we believe to be vital to our argument: GIS ethics, social justice, power, and participation. These are all inherently interconnected, and we do not argue that one can be kept separate from the others; nonetheless, we deem useful to approach them separately, because they have been the subject of different disciplinary traditions and scholarly inquiries.

We offer a point of departure for our first cornerstone (GIS ethics) by arguing that the scholarly debate on ethics and GIS started about twenty-five years ago, but has gone through a major change ever since. Our starting point for this analysis will be Sarah Elwood's statement:

Geographers and other social scientists have studied cartography and GIS as social and political processes for many years, but the late 1980s and early 1990s saw a surge of interest in how social,

21 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/ethical-e-participation/125745

Related Content

Foreign Direct Investments, Corporate Social Responsibility, and Economic Development: Exploring the Relationship and Mitigating the Expectation Gaps

James O. Odia (2016). Analyzing the Relationship between Corporate Social Responsibility and Foreign Direct Investment (pp. 228-251).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/foreign-direct-investments-corporate-social-responsibility-and-economic-development/164783

Dimensional Entrepreneurial Deployment of Information and Communication Technology for Economic Empowerment in Nigeria

Okanlade Adesokan, Lawal Adebowaleand Olalekan Oyekunle (2021). *International Journal of Sustainable Entrepreneurship and Corporate Social Responsibility (pp. 1-18).*

www.irma-international.org/article/dimensional-entrepreneurial-deployment-of-information-and-communication-technology-for-economic-empowerment-in-nigeria/270469

Corporate Social Responsibility Practices in Multinationals and Large Enterprises in Pakistan: Assessing Commitment to the United Nations Global Compact

Zahid Ali Memon, Javaid Ali Dars, Wahid Bux Mangrio, Arabella Bhuttoand Mark Gregory Robson (2016). *International Journal of Sustainable Entrepreneurship and Corporate Social Responsibility (pp. 1-16).*https://www.irma-international.org/article/corporate-social-responsibility-practices-in-multinationals-and-large-enterprises-in-pakistan/172164

Poverty Reduction, Wealth Creation, and Tourism in Ethnic Minority Communities in Mainland Southeast Asia

Scott Hipsher (2017). International Journal of Sustainable Entrepreneurship and Corporate Social Responsibility (pp. 39-53).

www.irma-international.org/article/poverty-reduction-wealth-creation-and-tourism-in-ethnic-minority-communities-in-mainland-southeast-asia/203608

Role of Traditional and New Media in Ethnic Conflict in Nigeria's Middle Belt Region: A Primer on Peace Building and Community Development

Bala A. Musa (2019). *Journalism and Ethics: Breakthroughs in Research and Practice (pp. 61-75).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/role-of-traditional-and-new-media-in-ethnic-conflict-in-nigerias-middle-belt-region/226666