

Chapter 11

Interdisciplinary Course Development in Nanostructured Materials Science and Engineering

Kenneth L. Roberts
King Faisal University, Saudi Arabia

ABSTRACT

Modern industrial processes are presently adapting to the use of multiscale production techniques where consumer products can be made at the mesoscale and also approaching atomic, or the nanoscale level. Coupled with the fact that classical Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics (STEM) education typically does not address nanoscale science and engineering topics in most technical courses, this condition could potentially leave countless STEM students around the world relatively unprepared for the 21st century marketplace. This chapter focused on the development of the nanostructured materials science and engineering discipline from the most recent research and development topics to the integration of this information internationally into the technical classroom. The chapter presented future work on the adaption of the previous research and educational work on this topic at the College of Engineering at King Faisal University in Saudi Arabia and suggestions were offered for successful new nanoscale science and engineering course development.

INTRODUCTION

Over the past twenty years, nanomaterials science and engineering has become almost pervasive in the technical disciplines. In the early 1990's, the reporting of material properties on the scale of submicron scale using scanning and/or transmission electron microscopy seemed to be at the

cutting edge. After the discovery of the Buckyball (C_{60} or Buckminsterfullerene), new terms such as nanotubes, nanoparticles, nanowires and a varied assortment of atomic scale crystalline morphologies quickly gained notoriety. Unfortunately, not every academic program, especially B.S. and M.S. level granting programs, had access to nanoscale characterization equipment such as transmission

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-4666-8183-5.ch011

electron and scanning tunneling microscopes, required to fully peer into the nanoscale. Thus a nanoscale divide in Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics (STEM) education had also begun. Unfortunately many STEM students from universities with developing research infrastructures gained college and graduate level degrees without significant training in nanoscale science and engineering.

BACKGROUND

Development and Implementation of Nanoscale Materials Research and Education Initiatives: Global Initiatives

Since the beginning of the 21st century, several countries including the United States have begun large government-funded initiatives to prepare pre-college, college-level and graduate-level students for careers in nanoscience, nanotechnology and nanoengineering. In the U.S., the National Nanotechnology Initiative (NNI) first approved for funding in 2001 sought among other goals to increase the interdisciplinary nature of research and development and to explore the broad societal impacts of nanotechnology (Roco, Mirkin, & Hersham, 2011). International literature have reported from the late 1990's on the development and implementation of nanoscale materials research, and educational initiatives are still ongoing. In Table 1, a comparison of national government support of nanotechnology research and development funding is provided.

In many countries such as Germany, South Korea and the Russian Federation, the primary goal of nanoscale research centers and institutes was initially to provide professional conduits for corporate researchers and academics to develop nanoscience research into finished industrial products (Rieke & Bachman, 2004; So, Kim, Chung, & Jhon, 2012; Klochikhin, 2011). Universities

were tasked to focus on nanoscale student training mainly for industrial applications research for commercial products and technical publications. Over time, the participating universities developed graduate and undergraduate nanoscale education programs. For example during the 2004-2005 academic year in the E.U., there were 19 Ph.D., 78 M.S., and 28 undergraduate nanotechnology programs (Malsch, 2008).

On the state of global nanoscale educational initiatives at the university level, there have been recent reviews published (Feather & Aznar, 2011; Mohammed, Lau, Zaharim, & Omar, 2012). The work by Feather and Aznar provided information on pre-college nanoscale education outreach activities by universities, college and graduate nanoscale training programs, informal nanoscale educational efforts, and specific case studies on global pre-college nanoscale educational activities (Feather & Aznar, 2011). The work by Mohammad and co-authors presented information on common international nanoscale course outline topics, undergraduate elective nanoscale education courses.

However this work did not include work from other universities in Greater Europe, Greater Eurasia, North Africa and Greater Arabia, Sub-Saharan Africa, and Latin America (Mohammed et al., 2012; Abu-Salah, Alhoshan, Zourob, & Azzeer, 2013; Alfeelil, Mohiuddin, & Saoud,

Table 1. Federal/national government nanotechnology research & development funding, 2008

Country/ Region	Government Nanotechnology R&D Funding (Millions \$ U.S.)	Specific Nanotechnology R&D (\$ U.S./Capita)
European Union	~1770	~4.6
U.S.	~1550	~5.1
Japan	~950	~7.3
China	~430	~0.4
Korea	~310	~6.0
Taiwan	~110	~4.5

(Roco et al., 2011).

16 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/interdisciplinary-course-development-in-nanostructured-materials-science-and-engineering/127447

Related Content

Humanities in Engineering Education

Maria Teresa Russo (2012). *Developments in Engineering Education Standards: Advanced Curriculum Innovations* (pp. 285-300).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/humanities-engineering-education/65241

Qualification Frameworks and Field-specific Approaches to Quality Assurance: Initiatives in Engineering and Technical Education

Giuliano Augusti and Sebastião Feyer de Azevedo (2011). *International Journal of Quality Assurance in Engineering and Technology Education* (pp. 44-57).

www.irma-international.org/article/qualification-frameworks-field-specific-approaches/49559

An Integrated Academic Accreditation Program (IAAP): A Case Study of Faculty of Engineering and IT at Taiz University

Reman M. Alqadasi, Murad A. Rassam and Mageed Ghaleb (2019). *International Journal of Quality Control and Standards in Science and Engineering* (pp. 42-67).

www.irma-international.org/article/an-integrated-academic-accreditation-program-iaap/255151

Preparing Engineers for the 21st Century: How to Teach Engineering Students Process Skills

Nikos J. Mourtos (2015). *International Journal of Quality Assurance in Engineering and Technology Education* (pp. 1-26).

www.irma-international.org/article/preparing-engineers-for-the-21st-century/159198

Online Computer Engineering: Combining Blended E-Learning in Engineering with Lifelong Learning

Dietmar P. F. Moeller and Daniel Sitzmann (2012). *Developments in Engineering Education Standards: Advanced Curriculum Innovations* (pp. 194-215).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/online-computer-engineering/65236