

Chapter 20

Professional Development 1.5: Two Models for Helping Teachers Implement Digital Tools in Writing Pedagogy

D. Bruce Taylor

University of North Carolina at Charlotte, USA

Jean P. Vintinner

University of North Carolina at Charlotte, USA

Karen D. Wood

University of North Carolina at Charlotte, USA

ABSTRACT

Technology is shaping and reshaping K-12 teaching and learning across grade levels and subject areas. The emergence of Information Communications Technologies have changed the nature of literacy including what it means to read and write. Despite these transformations, schools have been slow to integrate technology in meaningful ways. New curricula like the Common Core have attempted to bridge the gap between teaching and learning and the use of technology; however, teacher professional development has yet to catch up with these changes. The focus of this chapter is on two models of teacher professional development used to engage and prepare inservice teachers for using digital technologies and Web 2.0 tools in their writing instruction. The authors explore the challenges and benefits of both models and discuss what they have learned about teacher professional development around technology and writing. They argue that while neither model is a “best way” of approaching teacher professional development, elements of both are beneficial in meeting the needs of teachers.

INTRODUCTION

Technology is shaping and reshaping K-12 teaching and learning across grade levels and subject areas. The emergence of Information Communications Technologies has changed the nature of literacy

(The New London Group, 1996; Leu, 2002; Luke & Elkins, 1998) and what it means to be literate including to read and to write. New terms have been coined to capture this dynamic, including *Multiliteracies* (The New London Group, 1996). The impact of these changes has been profound

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-4666-8632-8.ch020

and has caused educators and teacher educators to rethink modes of literacy instruction (Taylor & Yearta, 2013; Leu, 2002).

Despite the advancement of technology recently, schools have been slow to respond (Cuban, 2001). K-12 schools have resisted adopting new technologies (Cuban, 1986; Collins & Halverson, 2009) and new teaching methods (Evans, 1996; Tyack & Cuban, 1995). The reasons for this resistance are varied and often justifiable and we discuss next. However, there is evidence that teachers are integrating technology into lessons even if the practice is not pervasive. Teachers in the early grades (Barone & Wrights, 2008; Labbo, 2005; Zawilinski, 2009; Zucker, & Invernizzi, 2008), middle grades (Oakley & Jay, 2008), and secondary levels (Huffaker, 2005; Krucli, 2004; Wolfson, 2008) have developed numerous applications of technology for teaching and learning. These span the gamut from more traditional Web 1.0 applications (Krucli, 2004) to Web 2.0 applications such as blogging (Zawilinski, 2009).

Teacher resistance has been cited as an obstacle to more fully integrating technology into teaching and learning in classrooms. Hammond, Reynolds and Ingram (2011) found that pre-service teachers were receptive to using ICTs but that their levels of use varied with most utilizing interactive white boards (IWB) but fewer utilizing Web 2.0 technologies. Research spanning two or more decades documents a number of barriers to technology integration in writing and other classroom practices (Hannafin & Savenye, 1993; Selfe, & Hilligoss, 1994; Mumtaz, 2000; Stimmel, Connor, McCaskill, & Durrett, 1981).

Research has shown that professional development is an important factor in helping teachers use technology in their classrooms with students for writing and other facets of teaching and learning (Carlson & Gadio, 2002; King, 2002; Lawless & Pellegrino, 2007), but there is no conclusive evidence that a single model of professional development constitutes “best practice.”

The focus of this chapter is on two models of teacher professional development used to engage and prepare inservice teachers for using digital technologies and Web 2.0 tools in their writing and literacy instruction. The first model is a more traditional top-down professional development workshop approach provided by university faculty and graduate students in face-to-face and online formats to groups of inservice teachers. This approach typically included 45 to 90 minute sessions on specific uses of technology in writing, writing instruction, and writing in the content areas. Workshop leaders introduced and modeled the use of wikis, blogs, and Web 2.0 tools such as Stixy and Prezi.

The second approach was a two-year intensive Professional Development School model in which university faculty worked with faculty at an urban middle school to use technology in a variety of ways but, in particular, to support writing across the curriculum. This professional development included the use of whole faculty and small group presentations, classroom collaboration and modeling, and sharing resources through a collaborative project wiki.

We explore the challenges and benefits of both models and discuss what we have learned about teacher professional development focused on writing and technology. We draw in data from evaluations of both formats and share the insights of teachers and administrators as well as the faculty leadership. We argue that while neither model is a “best way” of approaching teacher professional development, elements of both are beneficial in meeting the needs of teachers.

BACKGROUND

The Role of Technology in Teaching and Learning

The development of ICTs and fast pace of globalization have created an environment in which the

20 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/professional-development-15/137196

Related Content

ModEAS: Towards A Modular Enterprise App Store

Lisardo Prieto-González, Ricardo Colomo-Palacios, Beatriz Puertaand Gerrit Tamm (2014). *International Journal of Human Capital and Information Technology Professionals* (pp. 52-61).

www.irma-international.org/article/modeas/115923

Strategic Information Systems and Business Outcomes

Alberto Bento, Regina Bento, Lourdes Whiteand Ana Bento (2014). *International Journal of Human Capital and Information Technology Professionals* (pp. 15-25).

www.irma-international.org/article/strategic-information-systems-and-business-outcomes/105571

Workplace Discrimination During International Work Assignments

Temuri Alaverdovand Tamari Chinchaladze (2022). *Antecedents and Outcomes of Employee-Based Brand Equity* (pp. 138-153).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/workplace-discrimination-during-international-work-assignments/305615

Workplace Cyberbullying: A Nuanced Definition, Significant Consequences, and Collective Solutions

Jillian Yarbrough (2021). *Handbook of Research on Cyberbullying and Online Harassment in the Workplace* (pp. 23-44).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/workplace-cyberbullying/263418

Employer Value Proposition: A Conceptual Framework and Scale Development for Indian Information Technology Professionals

Salila Kumar Pattnaikand Rajnish Kumar Misra (2016). *International Journal of Human Capital and Information Technology Professionals* (pp. 15-32).

www.irma-international.org/article/employer-value-proposition/163408