# Chapter 3 The History and Development of Quantitative Structure-Activity Relationships (QSARs)

John C. Dearden Liverpool John Moores University, UK

### ABSTRACT

It is widely accepted that modern QSAR began in the early 1960s. However, as long ago as 1816 scientists were making predictions about physical and chemical properties. The first investigations into the correlation of biological activities with physicochemical properties such as molecular weight and aqueous solubility began in 1841, almost 60 years before the important work of Overton and Meyer linking aquatic toxicity to lipid-water partitioning. Throughout the 20th century QSAR progressed, though there were many lean years. In 1962 came the seminal work of Corwin Hansch and co-workers, which stimulated a huge interest in the prediction of biological activities. Initially that interest lay largely within medicinal chemistry and drug design, but in the 1970s and 1980s, with increasing ecotoxicological concerns, QSAR modelling of environmental toxicities began to grow, especially once regulatory authorities became involved. Since then QSAR has continued to expand, with over 1400 publications annually from 2011 onwards.

### INTRODUCTION: WHAT IS A QSAR?

Humans are inherently inquisitive. Even small children persistently ask "Why?". So it is no surprise that for many years scientists have asked why some substances have a beneficial effect on the body, whilst others are toxic, and why some are more beneficial, or more toxic, than are others. That led Crum Brown and Fraser (1868-1869) to postulate that "there can be no reasonable doubt but that a relation exists between the physiologic action of a substance ( $\Phi$ ) and its chemical composition and constitution (C)". Hence  $\Phi = fC$ . They did not go on to suggest what functions of composition and constitution might be important. Nevertheless, their equation is a valid generic quantitative structure-activity relationship (QSAR). They also pointed out that "to discover *f* we produce a known change on the constitution by

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-0549-5.ch003

which it becomes  $C + \Delta C$ , and examine the corresponding change of physiological action which has become  $\Phi + \Delta \Phi$ . We thus obtain the relation between  $\Delta C$  and  $\Delta \Phi$ , and by sufficiently varying C and  $\Delta C$ , we may hope to get at all events an approximate solution of the problem". That was a remarkably prescient statement, for it is exactly how QSAR modelling is performed (Kubinyi, 1993).

Hence, in Crum Brown and Fraser's terminology, a QSAR equation would be:

$$\Phi = c_1 C_1 + c_2 C_2 + c_3 C_3 + \dots + c_n C_n$$
(1)

where  $C_n$  represents one constitutional (structural) property, and  $c_n$  is its coefficient.

A QSAR is now defined as a mathematical relationship linking chemical structure and pharmacological activity or other property in a quantitative manner for a series of compounds. It should be noted that a correlation between a physico-chemical property (such as aqueous solubility) and some function(s) of chemical composition and constitution is usually called a quantitative structure-property relationship (QSPR), although strictly the term QSPR covers both structure-biological activity and structure-physicochemical property relationships, as the title of this journal indicates.

As will be seen, the growth of QSAR since the 1970s has been huge. Consequently, it has not been possible in this brief review to provide more than a glimpse into modern aspects and achievements of QSAR. For those wishing to know more of those approaches, the recent excellent review by Cherkasov et al. (2014) is recommended.

### EARLY APPROACHES

It is significant that for centuries there has been recognition that quantitation is an essential part of science. Leonardo da Vinci (1452-1519) commented that "there is no certainty in sciences where one of the mathematical sciences cannot be applied" (da Vinci). According to Galileo (1564-1642) "to study a given phenomenon, it was necessary to measure quantities, identify regularities, and obtain relationships representing mathematical descriptions as simply as possible" (Ponte, 1992). Gay-Lussac (1778-1850) optimistically said that "we are perhaps not far from the epoch when we will be able to submit to calculation the majority of chemical phenomena" (Gay-Lussac, 1809). Charles Babbage (1791-1871), the father of computing, could almost be thought to have had QSAR in mind when he stated that "all of chemistry…would become a branch of mathematical analysis which, like astronomy, taking its constants from observation, would enable us to predict the character of any new compound" (Babbage, 1837). A dissenting voice was that of Auguste Comte (1798-1857), who wrote that "every attempt to employ mathematical methods in the study of chemical questions must be considered profoundly irrational and contrary to the spirit of chemistry. If mathematical analysis should ever hold a prominent place in chemistry – an aberration which is happily almost impossible – it would occasion a rapid and widespread degeneration of that science" (Liang, Kvalheim, & Manne, 1993).

Sir William Thomson (1824-1907), later Lord Kelvin, was very forthright in his views on the importance of mathematics in science; "I often say that when you can measure what you are speaking about, and express it in numbers, you know something about it; but when you cannot measure it, when you cannot express it in numbers, your knowledge is of a meagre and unsatisfactory kind; it may be the beginning of knowledge, but you have scarcely, in your thoughts, advanced to the state of *Science*, whatever the matter may be" (Thomson, 1884).

49 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/the-history-and-development-of-quantitative-

structure-activity-relationships-qsars/158916

### **Related Content**

## Obstructions in Nanoparticles Conveyance, Nano-Drug Retention, and EPR Effect in Cancer Therapies

Khalid Umar Fakhri, Armiya Sultan, Md Mushtaque, Mohammad Raghibul Hasan, Sana Nafees, Zubair Bin Hafeez, Md Zafaryab, Md Rizwanullah, Deepti Sharma, Farhad Bano, Waleed Hassan AlMalki, Farhan Jalees Ahmadand M. Moshahid Alam Rizvi (2021). *Handbook of Research on Advancements in Cancer Therapeutics (pp. 669-704)*.

www.irma-international.org/chapter/obstructions-in-nanoparticles-conveyance-nano-drug-retention-and-epr-effect-incancer-therapies/267063

### Etiology and Risk Factors

(2020). Diagnosing and Managing Hashimoto's Disease: Emerging Research and Opportunities (pp. 34-47).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/etiology-and-risk-factors/243786

### Sleep and Sleep Disorders in Old Age: Assessment and Non-Pharmacological Management

Garima Srivastavaand Rakesh Kumar Tripathi (2018). *Handbook of Research on Geriatric Health, Treatment, and Care (pp. 303-325).* 

www.irma-international.org/chapter/sleep-and-sleep-disorders-in-old-age/201388

#### Physical Health

Sapna Nanda (2022). *Research Anthology on Pediatric and Adolescent Medicine (pp. 375-404).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/physical-health/298223

### HIV/AIDS Discrimination, Stigmatization, and Denial: A Study in Social Work Perspective

Yatendra Pal Singh (2019). Chronic Illness and Long-Term Care: Breakthroughs in Research and Practice (pp. 141-159).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/hivaids-discrimination-stigmatization-and-denial/213342