Chapter 2

An Investigation of Competitor Networks in Manufacturing Strategy and Implications for Performance

Eve D. Rosenzweig *Emory University, USA*

Elliot Bendoly
The Ohio State University, USA

ABSTRACT

Our study demonstrates the value of taking a more encompassing and explicit view of competition in manufacturing strategy research. In doing so, we go beyond a dyadic-based approach and investigate the ways in which the degree of competition among firms in a network influences performance. Using social network analysis techniques, we develop a novel measure—which we refer to as competitor infighting—that captures the extent to which a firm's rivals compete amongst themselves. Our results suggest that a firm has a greater, unimpeded opportunity to demonstrate market gains as the degree of competition among its rivals increases, all else equal. In fact, competitor infighting is a better predictor of market performance in our sample than is a simpler, though perhaps more traditional, count of competitors. It serves an important moderating role in the relationship between a firm's operational weaknesses and market performance. As predicted, we find that as competitor infighting increases, the relationship between operational weaknesses and market performance is diminished.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-0843-4.ch002

INTRODUCTION

Operations strategy is a key driver of performance. The prevailing view of manufacturing strategy suggests that a firm's competitive priorities and portfolio of strategic operations choices—and their corresponding fit with the external business environment—influences its strengths (weaknesses) relative to competitors, which in turn influences business performance (Anand and Ward 2004; Boyer and Lewis 2002; Clark 1996; Deveraj et al. 2004; Flynn and Flynn 2004; Giffi et al. 1990; Hayes and Pisano 1996; Hayes and Wheelwright 1984; Hill 2000; Narasimhan and Jayaram 1998; Rosenzweig et al. 2003; Silveira 2005; Skinner 1969; Ward and Duray 2000; Ward et al. 1990, 1995, 1996; White 1996). Empirical tests of this view of manufacturing strategy incorporate, at times, the role of competition and its effect on performance. In this chapter we argue the need for, and present, a more nuanced network-based view of competition in manufacturing strategy research. We do so using social network analysis (hereafter SNA).

Porter (1985) has long advocated the importance of considering rivalry among existing competitors when evaluating a firm's performance. Moreover, strategic management studies that adopt a resource-based view (RBV) often evaluate a firm's capabilities relative to competitors (Barney 1991; Sirmon et al. 2010; Wernerfelt 1984).

The need to consider the environment in which a firm competes is similarly a key tenet of manufacturing strategy. Toward that end, empirical studies in manufacturing strategy that relate operational strengths and weaknesses to business performance do provide some evidence regarding a firm's ability to compete with rivals (Barnett 1997). Some of these studies include an additional analysis of the role of competition by forming strategic groups, while others do so by examining more explicit measures of competition—at times in combination with the strategic group approach.

With respect to strategic group studies, naturally, not all firms within an industry will be direct competitors in the sense that various groups of firms will follow different strategies, offering customers different bundles of criteria (Porter 1980). Cool and Schendel (1987) conclude that an industry is likely to be composed of several such clusters or competitor sets of firms. Relevant strategic group studies in manufacturing strategy typically derive such competitor sets using data on competitive priorities (e.g., Frohlich and Dixon 2001; Kathuria 2000; Miller and Roth 1994; Safizadeh et al. 2000; Schoenherr and Mabert 2011) or capabilities (e.g., Bendoly et al. 2007; Zhao et al. 2006).

Implicit to strategic group studies such as these is the idea that firms within the same group compete in a similar fashion and face comparable market conditions (Chen 1996; Chen et al. 2007; Kotler and Armstrong 1989). Accordingly, this

38 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-

global.com/chapter/an-investigation-of-competitor-networksin-manufacturing-strategy-and-implications-forperformance/166909

Related Content

Customer Value Perceptions: Testing of a Conceptual Model in the Frame of Own-Country Geographic Indication Foods

Toula Perrea, Katerina Melfou, Spiros Mamalisand Panoraia Papanagiotou (2016). *International Journal of Food and Beverage Manufacturing and Business Models (pp. 1-11).*

www.irma-international.org/article/customer-value-perceptions/145321

Importing Complexity Leadership Theory Into Bureaucratic Organizations in Non-Western Environments: A Perspective and Agenda for Future Research

Francis Donkorand Isaac Sekyere (2020). *International Journal of Applied Management Theory and Research (pp. 1-18).*

www.irma-international.org/article/importing-complexity-leadership-theory-into-bureaucratic-organizations-in-non-western-environments/260735

Corporate Strategy from the Bottom: Project Portfolio Management Can Help Clarifying Your Strategy

Luca Romano (2016). Project Management: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 2219-2237).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/corporate-strategy-from-the-bottom/155387

Organisational Context for Effective Knowledge Sharing: The Role of Intrinsic Motivation

Marko Slavkoviand Marijana Simi (2020). Recent Advances in the Roles of Cultural and Personal Values in Organizational Behavior (pp. 277-298).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/organisational-context-for-effective-knowledge-sharing/235683

Strategic Human Resource Management: Shaping Human Capital Development and Creative Work Behavior

Okechukwu Ethelbert Amah (2022). *Post-Pandemic Talent Management Models in Knowledge Organizations (pp. 75-96).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/strategic-human-resource-management/309454