Chapter 7 Culturally Situated Design Tools: Generative Justice as a Foundation for STEM Diversity

Ron Eglash *Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, USA*

William Babbitt Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, USA

Audrey Bennett Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, USA

Kathryn Bennett Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, USA

Brian Callahan Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, USA

James Davis Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, USA

John Drazan Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, USA **Charles Hathaway** Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, USA

David Hughes Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, USA

Mukkai Krishnamoorthy Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, USA

Michael Lachney Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, USA

Michael Mascarenhas Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, USA

Shayla Sawyer Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, USA

Kathleen Tully Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, USA

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-2005-4.ch007

Copyright @2017, IGI Global. Copying or distributing in print or electronic forms without written permission of IGI Global is prohibited.

ABSTRACT

The "pipeline" model of STEM education conceives of underrepresentation by race, gender and class in terms of leaks that fail to deliver students to their destination in the science and technology workforce. But that model fails to consider the role of STEM in producing underrepresentation. This can only be solved by moving from the extractive approach of the pipeline model to a generative model in which the value produced by STEM students cycles back to their own communities. We report on our experience creating and evaluating Culturally Situated Design Tools. Using a framework of "generative justice", we contrast the cyclic social damage, which reproduces underrepresentation with the potential for STEM education as a niche in the technosocial ecosystem that can address underrepresentation and causal factors.

INTRODUCTION

The "pipeline" model of STEM education envisions a stream of students entering the educational system, but because of "leaks" only a small percentage make it to the end. Low-income, female, and underrepresented ethnic groups leak out more than others, which "explains" their underrepresentation. This model has become so naturalized in STEM education that we no longer think of it as a model; it appears to be common sense. To think that the model itself is flawed may seem irrational. However scientists challenge models in search of better ones all the time.

Consider, for example, the origins of the pipeline model in the oil industry. If scientists and engineers approached the problem in the same way--the only solution to America's rising fuel prices is to get more oil through the pipeline--we would be trapped forever in a world with accelerating global warming, middle-eastern petrodictatorships, ocean oil spills, etc. Fortunately we now understand the importance of replacing these extractive industries with renewable energy. Biofuels based on waste products, for example, can suck up as much carbon in the plant growth part of the cycle as they release in the fuel part of the cycle. Similarly we must now replace an extractive approach to underrepresented students in STEM with a generative approach that embodies both social and environmental justice.

A common reaction to this view, especially from scientists and engineers, is that we are confusing two entirely different phenomena. Whatever our critique of the social and environmental damage caused by STEM may be, surely K-12 students are unware of it. To the contrary, while underrepresented youth may not have the data or analytic tools to back up their intuition, few of those living poverty think they are inhabiting a system which treats them and their community in fair and just ways. Because they often lack the means to express this analytically, the reaction can appear irrational. In the case of underrepresented ethnic groups these include 18 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart"

button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-

global.com/chapter/culturally-situated-design-tools/173053

Related Content

Discourse Analysis for Intercultural Competence Development Phyllis Bo-yuen Ngai (2021). International Journal of Bias, Identity and Diversities in Education (pp. 17-30). www.irma-international.org/article/discourse-analysis-for-intercultural-competence-

development/281659

Identifying Structural Factors Affecting Healthcare for Women Living With HIV/AIDS Through Autobiographical Narratives: A Qualitative Analysis

Anu Malikand Shikha Dixit (2023). *Reconstructing Perceptions of Systemically Marginalized Groups (pp. 170-195).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/identifying-structural-factors-affecting-healthcare-for-womenliving-with-hivaids-through-autobiographical-narratives/322350

Discourse Analysis for Intercultural Competence Development

Phyllis Bo-yuen Ngai (2021). International Journal of Bias, Identity and Diversities in Education (pp. 17-30).

www.irma-international.org/article/discourse-analysis-for-intercultural-competencedevelopment/281659

Prisoners' Basic Skills and Subsequent Education Involvement: An Analysis of Individualised Learner Records Data in England

Olga Caraand Brian Creese (2019). International Journal of Bias, Identity and Diversities in Education (pp. 122-137).

www.irma-international.org/article/prisoners-basic-skills-and-subsequent-educationinvolvement/216378

Diversities in Teacher Education: Self-Identity and Self-Efficacy Among Preservice Teachers from Marginalized Groups

Yuko Iwai (2022). International Journal of Bias, Identity and Diversities in Education (pp. 1-16).

www.irma-international.org/article/diversities-in-teacher-education/301216