Optical Burst Switching

Joel J.P.C. Rodrigues

Universidade de Beira Interior, Portugal

Mário M. Freire

Universidade de Beira Interior, Portugal

Paulo P. Monteiro

SIEMENS S.A. and Universidade de Aveiro, Portugal

Pascal Lorenz

University of Haute Alsace, France

INTRODUCTION

The concept of burst switching was proposed initially in the context of voice communications by Haselton (1983) and Amstutz (1983; 1989) in the early 1980s. More recently, in the late 1990s, optical burst switching (OBS) was proposed as a new switching paradigm for the so-called optical Internet in order to overcome the technical limitations of optical packet switching; namely, the lack of optical random access memory (optical RAM) and to the problems with synchronization. (Yoo & Qiao, 1997; Qiao & Yoo, 1999; Chen, Qiao & Yu, 2004; Turner, 1999; Baldine, Rouskas, Perros & Stevenson, 2002; Xu, Perros & Rouskas, 2001). OBS is a technical compromise between wavelength routing and optical packet switching, since it does not require optical buffering or packet-level processing as in optical packet switching, and it is more efficient than circuit switching if the traffic volume does not require a full wavelength channel. According to Dolzer, Gauger, Späth, and Bodamer (2001), OBS has the following characteristics:

- **Granularity:** The transmission unit size (burst) of OBS is between the optical circuit switching and optical packet switching.
- Separation Between Control and Data: Control information (header) and data are transmitted on different wavelengths (or channels) with some time interval.

- Allocation of Resources: Resources are allocated using mainly one-way reservation schemes. A source node does not need to wait for the acknowledge message from destination node to start transmitting the burst.
- Variable Burst Length: The burst size is variable.
- **No Optical Buffering:** Burst switching does not require optical buffering at the intermediate nodes (without any delay).

In OBS networks, IP packets (datagrams) are assembled into very large size packets called data bursts. These bursts are transmitted after a burst header packet (also called setup message or control packet) with a delay of some offset time in a given data channel. The burst offset is the interval of time at the source node between the processing of the first bit of the setup message and the transmission of the first bit of the data burst. Each control packet contains routing and scheduling information and is processed in core routers at the electronic level before the arrival of the corresponding data burst (Baldine, Rouskas, Perros & Stevenson, 2002; Qiao & Yoo, 1999; Verma, Chaskar & Ravikanth, 2000; White, Zukerman & Vu, 2002). The transmission of control packets forms a control network that controls the routing of data bursts in the optical network (Xiong, Vandenhoute & Cankaya, 2000). Details about OBS network architecture are given in the next section.

Copyright © 2005, Idea Group Inc., distributing in print or electronic forms without written permission of IGI is prohibited.



Figure 1. Schematic representation of an OBS network

OBS NETWORK ARCHITECTURE

An OBS network is an all-optical network where core nodes, composed by optical cross connects (OXC) plus signaling engines, transport data from/to edge nodes (IP routers), being the nodes interconnected by bi-directional links, as shown in Figure 1. This figure also shows an example of an OBS connection, where input packets come from the source edge node A to the destination edge node B. The source edge node is referred to as the ingress node, and the destination edge node is referred to as the egress node. The ingress node of the network collects the upper layer traffic and sorts and schedules it into electronic input buffers, based on each class of packets and destination address. These packets are aggregated into bursts and are stored in the output buffer, where electronic RAM is cheap and abundant (Chen, Qiao & Yu, 2004). After the burst assembly process, the control packet is created and immediately sent toward the destination to set up a connection for its corresponding burst. After the offset time, bursts are all optically transmitted over OBS core nodes without any storage at the intermediate nodes within the core, until the egress node. At the egress node, after the reception of a burst, the burst is disassembled into IP packets and provides these IP packets to the upper layer. These IP packets are forwarded electronically to destination users (Kan, Balt, Michel & Verchere, 2002; Vokkarane, Haridoss & Jue, 2002; Vokkarane & Jue, 2003).

OBS EDGE NODES

The OBS edge node works like an interface between the common IP router and the OBS backbone (Kan, Balt, Michel & Verchere, 2002; Xu, Perros & Rouskas, 2003). An OBS edge node needs to perform the following operations:

- Assemblies IP packets into data bursts based on some assembly policy;
- Generates and schedules the control packet for each burst;
- Converts the traffic destined to the OBS network from the electronic domain to the optical domain and multiplexes it into the wavelength domain;
- Demultiplexes the incoming wavelength channels and performs optical-to-electronic conversion of the incoming traffic;
- Disassembles and forwards IP packets to client IP routers.

The architecture of the edge node includes three modules (Vokkarane & Jue, 2003): routing module, burst assembly module, and scheduler module. Figure 2 shows the architecture of an edge node (Chen, Qiao & Yu, 2004; Vokkarane, Haridoss & Jue, 2002; Vokkarane & Jue, 2003). The routing module selects the appropriate output port for each packet and sends it to the correspondent burst assembly module. The burst assembly module assembles bursts containing packets that are addressed for a specific 6 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-

global.com/chapter/optical-burst-switching/17331

Related Content

Context-Based Interpretation and Indexing of Video Data

A. Mittal, Cheong Loong Fah, Ashraf Kassimand Krishnan V. Pagalthivarthi (2008). *Multimedia Technologies: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 527-546).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/context-based-interpretation-indexing-video/27105

Games, Claims, Genres, and Learning

Aroutis N. Fosterand Punya Mishra (2011). *Gaming and Simulations: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools and Applications (pp. 497-513).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/games-claims-genres-learning/49401

Counterfactual Autoencoder for Unsupervised Semantic Learning

Saad Sadiq, Mei-Ling Shyuand Daniel J. Feaster (2018). International Journal of Multimedia Data Engineering and Management (pp. 1-20).

www.irma-international.org/article/counterfactual-autoencoder-for-unsupervised-semantic-learning/226226

A Dynamic Approach to Estimate Receiving Bandwidth for WebRTC

Razib Iqbal, Shervin Shirmohammadiand Rasha Atwah (2016). *International Journal of Multimedia Data Engineering and Management (pp. 17-33).*

www.irma-international.org/article/a-dynamic-approach-to-estimate-receiving-bandwidth-for-webrtc/158109

One to One Computing and Teacher Transformation

Andrew Kitchenham (2008). Handbook of Research on Digital Information Technologies: Innovations, Methods, and Ethical Issues (pp. 83-102).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/one-one-computing-teacher-transformation/19837