Chapter 9 Mathematical Models of Desire, Need, Attention, and Will Effort

Alexander J. Ovsich Boston College, USA

ABSTRACT

According to Spinoza, "Love is nothing else but pleasure accompanied by the idea of an external cause". Author proposes that desire is nothing else but a change of pleasure accompanied by the idea of its cause, that terms 'desire', 'want' and their cognates describe change of the pleasantness of the state of a subject (PSS in short) associated with X, that if change of PSS is positive/negative, then X is called desirable/undesirable correspondingly. Both positive and negative desires can be strong, so strength of desire characterizes its magnitude. Need of X is defined here as a cyclical desire of X that gets stronger/weaker with dissatisfaction/satisfaction of its need. Author also explores an idea that the stronger is desire of X by a subject, the more attention this subject pays to X. Distribution of attention and influence on it by the will effort are analyzed in this paper.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-1947-8.ch009

This chapter published as an Open Access Chapter distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and production in any medium, provided the author of the original work and original publication source are properly credited.

INTRODUCTION

The main objective of this chapter is to present new, closely linked mathematical models of desire, need, and attention. According to Spinoza (1674/1955), "Love is nothing else but pleasure accompanied by the idea of an external cause: Hate is nothing else but pain accompanied by the idea of an external cause" (p. 140). The author posits that desire is nothing else, but a change of pleasure accompanied by the idea of its cause. These definitions are so close, because loving/liking and desiring are two facets of the same process that author calls "Hedonic Recognition" – the terms 'desire', 'want' and their cognates are used to describe change of the pleasantness of the state of a subject² associated with X, while the terms 'love'/'like' and their cognates are used to describe the hedonic end result of this change. If X causes a positive/negative change, then X is called "desirable"/"undesirable" correspondingly.

Some support for this view on desire can be found in the classical literature, for example, in the writings of Aristotle and Locke; it also has some experimental backing (Ovsich & Cabanac, 2012). The author finds verification of this idea in the analysis of the process of needs satisfaction that has a typical pattern: dissatisfaction of a need for X creates desire for X by *lowering* current pleasantness of the state of a subject (pangs of hunger, pain of the withdrawal from a drug, etc.) while, at the same time, usually *raising* pleasantness of perceiving or even imagining X. These two simultaneous processes make X to be a factor of maximization of pleasantness, make X desirable. In other words, this creates the positive hedonic gap between the pleasantness of a state of a subject *with* and *without* X and this gap is called "desire for X". The magnitude of the hedonic gap of desire is the measure of X's desirability or desire strength; it increases with growth of dissatisfaction of the need for X, that in the terminology of this theory means that *desire for X gets stronger*. The exact opposite happens with the satisfaction of a need.

Desires attract attention of a subject to their objects. For example, objects of a dissatisfied need come to the attention of a subject more and more persistently with the growth of this need's dissatisfaction. If a need is grossly dissatisfied, then objects and activities of satisfaction of this need can dominate the center of attention of a subject, consume attention. In the first approximation, the stronger the desire is for X, the more attention X gets and this proportionality is explored in this chapter. Voluntary attention is driven by the will effort that can suppress or support competing desires. This mechanism is addressed here in the framework consistent with William James's (1927) approach.

35 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-

global.com/chapter/mathematical-models-of-desire-needattention-and-will-effort/176191

Related Content

An Optimal Balanced Partitioning of a Set of 1D Intervals

Chuan-Kai Yang (2010). *International Journal of Artificial Life Research (pp. 72-79).* www.irma-international.org/article/optimal-balanced-partitioning-set-intervals/44672

Searching for Self-Replicating Systems

Eleonora Bilottaand Pietro Pantano (2010). *Cellular Automata and Complex Systems: Methods for Modeling Biological Phenomena (pp. 185-209).*www.irma-international.org/chapter/searching-self-replicating-systems/43221

Handling of Infinitives in English to Sanskrit Machine Translation

Vimal Mishraand R. B. Mishra (2010). *International Journal of Artificial Life Research* (pp. 1-16).

www.irma-international.org/article/handling-infinitives-english-sanskrit-machine/46024

The Grand Challenges in Natural Computing Research: The Quest for a New Science

Leandro Nunes de Castro, Rafael Silveira Xavier, Rodrigo Pasti, Renato Dourado Maia, Alexandre Szaboand Daniel Gomes Ferrari (2014). *Natural Computing for Simulation and Knowledge Discovery (pp. 237-250).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/the-grand-challenges-in-natural-computing-research/80069

The Grand Challenges in Natural Computing Research: The Quest for a New Science

Leandro Nunes de Castro, Rafael Silveira Xavier, Rodrigo Pasti, Renato Dourado Maia, Alexandre Szaboand Daniel Gomes Ferrari (2011). *International Journal of Natural Computing Research (pp. 17-30).*

www.irma-international.org/article/grand-challenges-natural-computing-research/72692