Chapter 79 Determinants for the Goodness of Performance Measurement Systems: The Visibility of Performance

Tim Pidun

Technische Universität Dresden, Germany

ABSTRACT

The supply of adequate information is one of the main functions of Performance Measurement Systems (PMS), but also one of its drawbacks and reason for failure. Not only the collection of indicators is crucial, but also the stakeholders' understanding of their meaning, purpose, and contextual embedding. Today, companies seek a PMS without a way to express the goodness of a solution, indicating its ability to deliver appropriate information and to address these demands. The goal of this chapter is to explore the mechanisms that drive information and knowledge supply in PMS in order to model a way to express this goodness. Using a grounded theory approach, a theory of visibility of performance is developed, featuring a catalog of determinants for the goodness of PMS. Companies can conveniently use them to assess their PMS and to improve the visibility of their performance.

INTRODUCTION

In a BARC study on BPM (BARC, 2009), 80% of the enterprises claim the persistent need to improve their overall performance management related *processes*. Deloitte stated that 53% of all companies still complain that their measures are inappropriate to anticipate future developments (Deloitte, 2007). 21% of them are even unable to determine the actual state and health of their company, and in particular 59% of all companies (Deloitte, 2004) miss an appropriate tool support for analysis. To address this issue, various types of *Performance Measurement Systems* (PMS) are used. PMS are business information systems that are collecting, compiling, analyzing, and disseminating data and valuable information (Neely et al., 1997) on organizational performance. Individual requirements and preferences force companies to

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-1837-2.ch079

choose an appropriate solution from many different conceptual performance measurement approaches; from the customized visualization of some financial figures to highly adjustable and mature methodologies like the Balanced Scorecard (BSC).

The *theory of administrative behavior* by Simon (1959) explains this multitude of possibilities by the assumption that individuals are faced with multiple constraints when striving for the *best* information (the problem of bounded rationality) and therefor are using *satisfying* and sufficient information instead. This implies the impossibility of *one* optimum PMS solution that fits the needs of each stakeholder. Hence, it is of interest what drivers and determinants make a PMS beneficial and successful in order to deliver individual, appropriate, and sufficient performance information.

Hence, the goal of this investigation is to explore and expose the *mechanisms* that drive information and knowledge supply in PMS in order to assess their appropriateness for the organization.

There are quite a lot of PMS concepts, featuring their own mix of principal viewpoints, perspectives and measures. PMS originate from the domains of accounting and finance, the most prominent representatives being the Balanced Scorecard by Kaplan and Norton (1996) with four initial perspectives financial, customer, internal and learning and development, combined with the link to strategy and execution. Neely et al. (2002) propose the Performance Prism, adding regulatory requirements, partnering conditions, the competitive environment and the consideration of measuring intangible assets. Lynch and Cross introduce their Performance Pyramid (Lynch and Cross, 1992) incorporating views of the customer, the employee and the shareholder. Additional to these market leading systems, there are at least sixteen other systems available in the literature (Pidun and Felden, 2011), so in principle, there should be a dedicated concept or customizable system for every company.

Though, empirical research shows that many applications of PMS still *fail*. De Waal and Counet (2009) claim that 56% of all PMS projects are not successful at all. Horvarth et al. (2008) note that 80% of all companies missed a certain tangible benefit while using a BSC. Even 54% of all of these companies do it just with very guarded enthusiasm and not to its full extent.

Explicitly accepting that there cannot be a *one size fits all* solution, it seems to be very hard to find the appropriate PMS for an adequate information supply. So there is a need for a way to express the *goodness* of a PMS by aspects of *appropriateness* of performance information, thus delineating a certain *visibility* of performance that is driven by specific determinants.

Thus, the contributions of this paper are the proposition of a *theory* of visibility of performance, reference models on the informational supply of a PMS based on organizational learning as well as a collection of *determinants* for the *goodness* and appropriateness of a PMS. They can be used to investigate the usefulness of information transported by the *current* PMS as well as the goodness of fit of a *prospective* PMS in order to support the enterprise's choice.

The remainder of this paper is as follows: The following *Background* Section contains the description of the status quo and the relevance of the problem. The next Section on the *Main focus of the chapter* discusses the chosen *Research framework* and the research approach. The latter is subdivided into the *Development of the theory* including hypotheses, two *Validation* approaches as well as a *Summary* and *Solutions and recommendations. Future research directions* as well as *Conclusions* complete the chapter.

23 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/determinants-for-the-goodness-of-performancemeasurement-systems/176827

Related Content

A Proof of Concept of a Business Intelligence Platform to Support the Decision-Making Process of Health Professionals in Waiting Lists

Marisa Esteves, Márcia Esteves, António Abelhaand José Machado (2021). Research Anthology on Decision Support Systems and Decision Management in Healthcare, Business, and Engineering (pp. 1015-1034).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/a-proof-of-concept-of-a-business-intelligence-platform-to-support-the-decision-making-process-of-health-professionals-in-waiting-lists/282629

Ontology-Based Human-Computer Cloud for Decision Support: Architecture and Applications in Tourism

Alexander Smirnov, Andrew Ponomarev, Nikolay Shilov, Alexey Kashevnikand Nikolay Teslya (2021). Research Anthology on Decision Support Systems and Decision Management in Healthcare, Business, and Engineering (pp. 410-430).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/ontology-based-human-computer-cloud-for-decision-support/282596

Strategy, Decision Making, and Cognition: An Integrative Perspective

Radha Appan, Dorcia E. Boltonand Sreedhar Madhavaram (2017). *International Journal of Strategic Decision Sciences (pp. 78-98).*

www.irma-international.org/article/strategy-decision-making-and-cognition/189079

Effective and Efficient Classification of Topically-Enriched Domain-Specific Text Snippets: The TETSC Method

Marco Spruitand Bas Vlug (2015). *International Journal of Strategic Decision Sciences (pp. 1-17).* www.irma-international.org/article/effective-efficient-classification-topically-enriched/136282

Decision Support System for Greenhouse Tomato Yield Prediction using Artificial Intelligence Techniques

F. Zhang, D. D. Iliescu, E. L. Hines, M. S. Leesonand S. R. Adams (2010). *Decision Support Systems in Agriculture, Food and the Environment: Trends, Applications and Advances (pp. 155-172).*www.irma-international.org/chapter/decision-support-system-greenhouse-tomato/44760