The Quality of Service Issue in Virtual Environments

Pedro Morillo

Universidad de Valencia, Spain

Juan Manuel Orduña

Universidad de Valencia, Spain

Marcos Fernandez

Universidad de Valencia, Spain

INTRODUCTION

Networked virtual environments (NVEs) have become a major trend in distributed computing, mainly due to the enormous popularity of multi-player online games in the entertainment industry. Nowadays, NVE systems are considered as the supporting technology for many networked and virtual organizations (NVO) (Singhal & Zyda, 1999), especially to those classified within the field of computer supported cooperative work (CSCW), where networked computer can be seen as a standard to provide the technological means to support the team design (Ott & Nastansky, 1997). These highly interactive systems simulate a virtual world where multiple users share the same scenario. The system renders the images of the virtual world that each user would see if he was located at that point in the virtual environment. Each user is represented in the shared virtual environment by an entity called avatar, whose state is controlled by the user through the client computer. Hundreds and even thousands of client computers can be simultaneously connected to the NVE system through different networks, and even through the Internet. NVE systems are currently used in many different applications (Singhal & Zyda, 1999) such as civil and military distributed training (Miller & Thorpe, 1995), collaborative design (Salles, Galli, Almeida et al., 1997) and e-learning (Bouras, Fotakis, & Philopoulos, 1998). Nevertheless, the most extended example of NVE systems are commercial multi-player online game (MOG) environments. These systems use the same simulation techniques that NVE systems do, and they are predicted to make up over 25 percent of local area network (LAN) traffic by 2010 (McCreary & Claffy, 2000).

Although centralized server (also client-server) architectures or peer-to-peer (P2P) architectures were also proposed for NVE systems (Singhal & Zyda, 1999), architectures based on multiple servers are becoming a de-facto standard for this type of distributed systems (Greenhalgh, Bullock, Frecon et al., 2001; Lui & Chan, 2002; Steed & Abou-Haidar, 2003). The reason for this trend is that on one hand, architectures based on a single, centralized server are not scalable with the number of connected clients (particularly for the most extended application of NVE systems, multi-player online games). On the other hand, NVE systems based on peer-to-peer architectures seems to be scalable enough, but they must still efficiently solve the awareness problem. This problem consists of ensuring that each avatar is aware of all the avatars in its neighborhood (Smith, Hixon, & Horan, 2001).

In multiple server architectures, servers must contain the current status of different 3D models, perform positional updates of avatars and transfer control information among different clients. Thus, each new avatar represents an increase in both the computational requirements of the application and the amount of network traffic. When the number of connected clients increases, the number of updating messages must be limited in order to avoid a message outburst. In this sense, different approaches have been proposed in order to limit the number of surrounding avatars that a given avatar must communicate with. Concepts like areas of influence (AOI) (Singhal & Zyda, 1999; Zou, Ammar, & Diot, 2001), locales (Anderson, Barrus, Howard et al., 1995) or auras (Greenhalgh et al., 2001) define a neighborhood area for avatars, in such a way that a given avatar must notify its movements (by sending a message) only to those avatars located

in its neighborhood. Thus, the AOI of a given *avatar* determines the amount of network traffic generated by that *avatar*. Other approaches use three tiered architectures (Abrams, Watsen & Zyda, 1997; Lee & Lee, 2003) data filtering (Trefftz, Marsic, & Zyda, 2003) or distributed cache management (Capps, 2000) in order to minimize the impact of network traffic on the performance of the NVE systems.

Users in distributed systems usually perceive system performance either as the system *latency* (time interval required by the system in order to process each information unit) or as the system response time (Blommers, 1996; Duato, Yalamanchili, & Ni, 1997). Nevertheless, users in a NVE system perceive system performance not only as system *latency*, but also as system throughput. System throughput can be defined as the maximum number of users that the system can simultaneously support. For example, users in a multiplayer game environment want not only that the system quickly respond to their movements, but also they want that the system allow them to simultaneously play with the greatest number of players (users) as possible. In order to design actually efficient NVE systems, this special feature must be taken into account.

THE QOS PROBLEM IN NVE SYSTEMS

The term *QoS* can be applied to any system, meaning that the system not only supports a given client but also it fulfills some specific requirements (potentially in different dimensions) of that client, regardless of eventual system bottlenecks. For example, in network environments the term *QoS* means the ability of some networks to conform to some specific user requirements of *latency*, jitter delays, traffic peaks, etc, regardless of the current network traffic (Alfaro, Sanchez, Orozco, & Duato, 2003). System bottlenecks arise because the system is designed with a given capacity in its resources, and the workload that the system supports (in computers, the amount of information to be processed in a given period of time) eventually exceeds this capacity.

The problem of providing *QoS* to clients in a NVE system has been already described and some strategies have been proposed (Bernier, 2001; Choukair, Retailleau, & Hellstrom, 2000; Faisstnauer, Schmalstieg, & Purgathofer, 2000). One of these approaches (Bernier, 2001) uses *latency* compensating methods in order to

repair the effects of high network jitter. A different approach consists of modifying the resolution of the 3D models depending on the connection speed of the client computer (Choukair et al., 2000). Both strategies try to provide QoS to avatars in exchange for reducing quality of graphics (either image resolution (Choukair et al., 2000) or quality of animation (Bernier, 2001). Bernier (2001) tries to provide good performance in a given dimension (sometimes at the cost of decreasing the performance in another dimension) in spite of potential system bottlenecks (connection speed, network jitter, number of clients connected to the system). However, none of these strategies takes into account the non-linear behavior of NVE systems with the number of avatars assigned to each server, as described in Morillo et al. (2005). Therefore, they do not care about guaranteeing a maximum latency to clients and they cannot guarantee that the system will not become saturated, greatly degrading the *latency* provided to all clients regardless of the connection speed or the network jitter.

The QoS problem can be expressed in NVE systems as latency constraints. In order to fulfill these constraints, and taking into account the non-linear behavior of these systems described in Henderson and Bhatti (2003), a trade-off among server saturation, clients' interactivity and system stability must be reached. A NVE system can only offer QoS to clients if it is working under its saturation point and at the same time the average round-trip delay for the messages sent by each avatar (denoted s ASR, for average system response) is lower than 250 ms. (Henderson & Bhatti, 2003). However, the ASR provided to a given *avatar* a, depends on where avatars located in the AOI of a are assigned to. If avatar a, is assigned to server S_x then the ASR for *avatar* a, linearly decreases with the number of avatars in the AOI of a, that are *migrated* from other servers to server S_x. Therefore, the problem of offering *QoS* to *avatars* can be expressed as a new partitioning problem. A partitioning method that provides QoS to avatars will have to maximize the number of neighbor avatars assigned to the same server and at the same time it will have to keep the system away from saturation. Additionally, since this strategy is a global load balancing scheme it must not migrate more than 30 percent of avatars in the system (Lee & Lee, 2003). Therefore, the partitioning problem will consists of finding a partition complying with all these three requirements. In order to solve this partitioning problem, a quality function that takes into account all these requirements is proposed.

6 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: <u>www.igi-</u> global.com/chapter/quality-service-issue-virtual-environments/17761

Related Content

REVERIE Virtual Hangout: An Immersive Social and Collaborative VR Experience

Ioannis Doumanisand Daphne Economou (2021). *International Journal of Virtual and Augmented Reality (pp. 18-39).*

www.irma-international.org/article/reverie-virtual-hangout/298984

Scales and Dynamics in Outsourcing

Iva Miranda Piresand Torunn Kvinge (2008). Encyclopedia of Networked and Virtual Organizations (pp. 1399-1406).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/scales-dynamics-outsourcing/17770

Fast Single Image Haze Removal Scheme Using Self-Adjusting: Haziness Factor Evaluation

Sangita Royand Sheli Sinha Chaudhuri (2019). International Journal of Virtual and Augmented Reality (pp. 42-57).

www.irma-international.org/article/fast-single-image-haze-removal-scheme-using-self-adjusting/228945

Encouraging Research through Communities of Practice

Sandra Corlett, Patricia Bryansand Sharon Mavin (2006). *Encyclopedia of Communities of Practice in Information and Knowledge Management (pp. 157-162).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/encouraging-research-through-communities-practice/10484

Virtual Worlds and Well-Being: Meditating with Sanctuarium

Laura L. Downeyand Maxine S. Cohen (2018). International Journal of Virtual and Augmented Reality (pp. 14-31).

www.irma-international.org/article/virtual-worlds-and-well-being/203065