

# Chapter 3

## Control Architecture and Controllability

### CONTROL SYSTEM STRUCTURE DESIGN

#### Structure Design Problem

Control systems make the plant function in the specified ways, and are the critical components of many products. Controls systems vary in scale and complexity. With increasing functionalities and complexities in modern control systems, the control system structure design is an important step and often does not receive enough consideration from the engineers, in contrast to control algorithm design which is often focused in controls textbooks. Control system structure is the overall structural decisions made on the whole control system: these structural decisions include the selection of manipulated variables, controlled variables, measured variables as well as decomposition of the overall control system into smaller subsystems which are easier to deal with in design. The term control configuration is distinguished from the term control structure in this book. Control configuration is the structure of an individual controller and a control system may include many controllers like autopilot. We will discuss control system structure in this chapter; various control configurations used by individual controllers are introduced in Chapter Four on the controller design.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-2303-1.ch003

Control system structure design has been actively looked at in the process industry because of the needs to design a complex chemical plant which may have thousands of measurements and controls loops. Chapter 10 of Skogestad (1997) discusses the control structure design. Larsson and Skogestad (2000) published a paper on the plantwide control, where a review (references to other earlier works on this topic can be found there) and a new design procedure were given. Skogestad (2004) presented an expanded version of the plantwide control design procedure of Larsson and Skogestad (2000).

In practice, such as in a chemical plant, the control system is usually divided into several layers, (see Figure 1). For the chemical plant example, typically layers include scheduling (timescale of weeks), optimization layer (which is further divided into site-wide optimization (day) and local optimization (hour)), supervisory (advanced) control (minutes) and regulatory (base) control (seconds). These faster and slower layers are separated by different time scales and their relative positions in the hierarchy. The top layer is the planning and scheduling layer, where the overall operational decisions are made. For airplane avionics systems, a flight management system (FMS) is the top planning and scheduling layer. Given the flight plan and the aircraft's position, the FMS calculates the course to follow. The required course can be followed manually by the pilot or automatically by the autopilot (flight control system). The supervisory control layer and the regulatory control layer comprise the control layer, where the feedback control (and other control configurations such as feedforward) is implemented. In the supervisory control layer, the primary controlled variables are controlled to meet the overall system objectives and safety constraints and other constraints are handled. The regulatory control layer does not directly control the system level controlled variables; it regulates the secondary controlled variables. The objective of the regulatory control is to make it easier to achieve the primary control objectives in the supervisory layer. The regulatory control system stabilizes unstable modes, controls variables which otherwise drifts away due to large sensitivity to disturbances using local measurements and loops. The optimization layer determines the optimal operating point considering operational objectives and constraints. The optimization layer re-computes new set points at a longer time interval (i.e. an hour), while the control layer operates at a much short time interval (i.e. a minute and a second). These layers are linked by the controlled variables/measurements, whereby the set points are computed by the upper layer and implemented by the lower layer.

Why do we create layers in the control structure? One might imagine using a single optimizing controller which stabilizes the process, and at the same

32 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: [www.igi-global.com/chapter/control-architecture-and-controllability/179499](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/control-architecture-and-controllability/179499)

## Related Content

---

### Security Issues in Tactical Software-Defined Radios: Analysis of Attacks and Case Studies

Fabício A. B. da Silva, David F. C. Moura and Juraci F. Galdino (2014). *Advancing Embedded Systems and Real-Time Communications with Emerging Technologies* (pp. 22-53).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/security-issues-in-tactical-software-defined-radios/108436](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/security-issues-in-tactical-software-defined-radios/108436)

### Fruit Image Classification Using Convolutional Neural Networks

Shawon Ashraf, Ivan Kadery, Md Abdul Ahad Chowdhury, Tahsin Zahin Mahbub and Rashedur M. Rahman (2019). *International Journal of Software Innovation* (pp. 51-70).

[www.irma-international.org/article/fruit-image-classification-using-convolutional-neural-networks/236206](http://www.irma-international.org/article/fruit-image-classification-using-convolutional-neural-networks/236206)

### Experiences with Modelling Early Requirements

Pericles Loucopoulos (2008). *Information Systems Engineering: From Data Analysis to Process Networks* (pp. 186-206).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/experiences-modelling-early-requirements/23416](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/experiences-modelling-early-requirements/23416)

### An Adaptive Reasoning and Learning Framework for Mobile Cognitive Radio Systems

Chih-Sheng Lin, Ken-Shin Huang, Jih-Sheng Shen, Shen-Yang Pan, Shih-Shen Lu, Wei-Wen Lin, Pao-Ann Hsiung, Mao-Hsu Yen, Chu Yu, Sao-Jie Chen and William Cheng-Chung Chu (2012). *Handbook of Research on Mobile Software Engineering: Design, Implementation, and Emergent Applications* (pp. 361-378).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/adaptive-reasoning-learning-framework-mobile/66477](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/adaptive-reasoning-learning-framework-mobile/66477)

### Self-Modifying Code: A Provable Technique for Enhancing Program Obfuscation

Chandan Kumar Behera and D. Lalitha Bhaskari (2017). *International Journal of Secure Software Engineering* (pp. 24-41).

[www.irma-international.org/article/self-modifying-code/201214](http://www.irma-international.org/article/self-modifying-code/201214)