

Chapter IV

Optimizing the ROI of Enterprise Architecture Using Real Options

David F. Rico

Independent Consultant, USA

ABSTRACT

This chapter illustrates how to optimize the return on investment (ROI) of enterprise architecture. Enterprise architecture is a blueprint for defining the structure and operation of organizations such as local, state, and federal agencies. Done well, enterprise architecture results in leaner and more effective information systems that satisfy organizational goals and objectives. This chapter introduces a suite of simple metrics and models for measuring the ROI of enterprise architecture. This chapter also introduces real options, which is a contemporary approach to measuring ROI. Whereas typical measures tend to underestimate ROI, real options have the ability to unearth business value hidden deep within the economics of investments in enterprise architecture.

OVERVIEW

Enterprise architecture is a comprehensive framework or taxonomy of systems analysis models for aligning organizational strategy with information technology. Strategies are plans to satisfy organizational goals and objectives by competing based on size, cost, variety, speed, quality, uniqueness, or innovation. Information technology refers to the computers, software, and networks used for

safely storing, processing, retrieving, and transmitting data and information. John A. Zachman is credited with creating enterprise architecture, though its foundations date back to the early 1900s (Zachman, 1987).

Enterprise architecture has five major layers: (a) scope, (b) business model, (c) system model, (d) technology model, and (e) components as shown in Table 1. The purpose of the layers is to align an organization's strategy with its informa-

tion technology. Two basic assumptions are that a strategy exists and the result is a functioning enterprise. A centralized strategy may not be defined for large organizations (e.g., enterprise of enterprises), though it should be, which makes developing models difficult. Enterprise architects often start building information technology from the bottom up, because they cannot see the relevance of strategy and modeling.

METRICS AND MODELS

The value of enterprise architecture may be measured using seven metrics: (a) costs, (b) benefits, (c) benefit to cost ratio, (d) return on investment, (e) net present value, (f) breakeven point, and (g) real options (Kodukula, 2006; Rico, 2004, 2005, 2006). Costs are the accumulation of expenses, such as labor, training, tools, verification, validation, and compliance or maturity assessment. Benefits are the monetization of increased efficiency, reduced operational costs and personnel numbers, increased customer satisfaction, and consolidated legacy computer systems. Costs and benefits are the basic inputs to benefit to cost ratio, return on investment, net present value, breakeven point, and real options.

COSTS AND BENEFITS

There are also five major classes of costs and benefits for enterprise architecture: (a) financial improvement, (b) constituent services, (c) reduced redundancy, (d) economic development, and (e) fostering democracy (Meskell, 2003). Financial improvements mean reducing the costs of organizations and enhancing revenue collection. Constituent services mean improved service to customers, suppliers, and key stakeholders. Reduced redundancy means consolidating, reducing, or eliminating un-needed legacy computer systems. Economic development means to grow local, state, and federal economies. Finally, fostering democracy may mean offering a consistent level of customer service to all stakeholders, regardless of political affiliation.

RETURN ON INVESTMENT EXAMPLES

Using enterprise architecture for aligning the strategy with the information technology of local, state, and federal agencies, and corporations has measurable return on investment (Meskell,

Table 1. John A. Zachman framework for enterprise architecture

| Interrogatives | What? | How? | Where? | Who? | When? | Why? |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Product (Form) | Data (Entity/Relation) | Function (Process/IO) | Network (Node/Line) | Organization (Agent/Work) | Schedule (Event/Cycle) | Strategy (End/Mean) |
| Scope (Contextual) | Business Priorities | Business Processes | Business Locations | Business Organizations | Business Cycles | Business Goals |
| Business Model (Conceptual) | Semantic Model | Process Model | Logistics Model | Workflow Model | Schedule Model | Business Plan |
| System Model (Logical) | Logical Data Model | Application Architecture | Distributed System Architecture | Human Interface Architecture | Processing Structure | Business Rule Model |
| Technology Model (Physical) | Physical Data Model | System Design | Technology Architecture | Presentation Architecture | Control Structure | Rule Design |
| Components (Out-of-Context) | Data Definition | Computer Program | Network Architecture | Security Architecture | Timing Definition | Rule Specification |

7 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/optimizing-roi-enterprise-architecture-using/18152

Related Content

Studying the Documentation of an API for Enterprise Service-Oriented Architecture

Brad A. Myers, Sae Young Jeong, Yingyu Xie, Jack Beaton, Jeff Stylos, Ralf Ehret, Jan Karstens, Arkin Efeoglu and Daniela K. Busse (2012). *End-User Computing, Development, and Software Engineering: New Challenges* (pp. 81-102).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/studying-documentation-api-enterprise-service/62791

Click Connect and Coalesce for NGOs: Exploring the Intersection Between Online Networks, CoPs, and Events

Nancy White (2008). *End-User Computing: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 98-107).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/click-connect-coalesce-ngos/18174

Tailoring Tools for System Development

Anders I. Mørch (1998). *Journal of End User Computing* (pp. 22-29).

www.irma-international.org/article/tailoring-tools-system-development/55751

KARTT: A Multimedia Tool to Help Students Learn Knowledge Acquisition

Karen Bland and Jay Liebowitz (1993). *Journal of End User Computing* (pp. 5-17).

www.irma-international.org/article/kartt-multimedia-tool-help-students/55692

The UTAUT Model in the Adoption of E-Learning Technology: An Empirical Study Using China's Banking Industry

Jin Qiu (2023). *Journal of Organizational and End User Computing* (pp. 1-17).

www.irma-international.org/article/the-utaut-model-in-the-adoption-of-e-learning-technology/326607