Chapter 4.14 End-User Computing Success Measurement

Conrad Shayo California State University, San Bernardino, USA

Ruth A. Guthrie California State Polytechnic University, Pomona, USA

INTRODUCTION

End-user computing (EUC) is the optional development of computer applications and models by personnel (individuals or groups) outside the MIS department. The emergence of EUC in the 80s and early 90s can be traced to the proliferation of computers, increased organizational computing needs, more sophisticated user application development tools coupled with higher computer and information literacy among staff and professional workers. Prior to the arrival of personal computers and graphical user interfaces, end users relied on data processing (now information technology) personnel to assist in meeting their information needs (Inman, 1986). Programming a mainframe was beyond the skills of most workers. Problems identified during this era of computing include:

- Failure to meet end-user needs.
- Cost of developing end-user applications was high.

• Large backlog of end-user applications made the development very slow.

As users required more information for decision making and highly user-friendly applications became available, end users began developing customized solutions to the needs that the data processing departments could not (Ahituv, Neumann & Riley, 1994). Today, EUC has become commonplace. Small, customized applications with spreadsheets and databases are commonplace in end-user departments. At present, EUC is just one contributor to overall organizational computing. As shown in Figure 1, the other sources of computing include applications developed by the information systems department (ISD), applications developed by vendors (off-the-shelf), and outsourcing-including application service providers (ASPs). EUC success is therefore just one contribution to overall organizational computing success. For our purposes, we define EUC success as the degree to which the organizational EUC strategy contributes to individual, group, and organizational computing success in an environment that includes applications developed by the information system department (ISD), application service providers, outsourcing parties, and of-theshelf vendors. This means EUC complements the other components of organizational computing.

The type of applications developed by end users include transaction processing systems, manufacturing systems, expert systems, executive information systems, decision support systems, and online application processing systems (McLean, Kappelman & Thompson, 1993). There are problems, however: although end-user developed applications are low risk, localized and quickly meet user needs, unlike applications developed by the ISD or vendors, they lack integration, standardization, documentation and quality control. They also lack security, data consistency, and may result in duplication of effort. Table 1 compares the characteristics one would find in the different types of organizational computing.

In this article, we review the major research studies on EUC success measurement focusing on what has been accomplished and what remains to be done. We conclude that the measurement of EUC success seems to be an intractable problem. For example, we identified among others that there is shortage of longitudinal EUC measurement studies. There is lack of studies that have controlled for task, technology and work context. Also, there is lack of research about the relationship between EUC and other forms of organizational computing.

BACKGROUND

Measuring the Elements of EUC Success

Figure 1 indicates that EUC success should be measured as an embedded unit of organizational computing success. One problem is that the specific objectives of EUC are often invisible to the end user and to the company. The extent to which the objectives are attained is also unknown because end users often develop applications without knowledge of how their actions impact the other embedded units of organizational computing. End-user developed applications are rarely tracked by organizations. At the same time, it is not difficult to find organizations where an enduser developed application (e.g., DSS) is critical to daily operations. Furthermore, end users may be unwilling to allow objective measurement of the efficiency or effectiveness of their applications, especially from an outsider, for fear of job loss. Although benign measures such as end-user satisfaction are less threatening and easier to obtain, this is problematic because end users are asked to place a value on something about which they are far from objective.





6 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-

global.com/chapter/end-user-computing-success-measurement/18267

Related Content

The Influence of Social Aversion and Institution-Based Trust on Computer Self-Efficacy, Computer Anxiety and Antecedents to IT Use

Elizabeth White Baker, Jason Bennett Thatcher, Michael Gundlachand D. Harrison McKnight (2014). *Journal of Organizational and End User Computing (pp. 1-26).*

www.irma-international.org/article/the-influence-of-social-aversion-and-institution-based-trust-on-computer-self-efficacycomputer-anxiety-and-antecedents-to-it-use/108827

Perceptions of End Users on the Requirements in Personal Firewall Software: An Exploratory Study

Sunil Hazari (2007). *Contemporary Issues in End User Computing (pp. 174-196).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/perceptions-end-users-requirements-personal/7036

The Benefit of Ambiguity in Understanding Goals in Requirements Modelling

Jeni Paay, Sonja Pedell, Leon Sterling, Frank Vetereand Steve Howard (2011). *International Journal of People-Oriented Programming (pp. 24-49).*

www.irma-international.org/article/benefit-ambiguity-understanding-goals-requirements/72688

Electronic Mail in the University

Rodney A. Pearson (1991). *Journal of Microcomputer Systems Management (pp. 22-27).* www.irma-international.org/article/electronic-mail-university/55676

Strategic Information System Planning in Healthcare Organizations

Theresa Lee, Amir Hossein Ghapanchi, Amir Talaei-Khoeiand Pradeep Ray (2015). *Journal of Organizational and End User Computing (pp. 1-31).*

www.irma-international.org/article/strategic-information-system-planning-in-healthcare-organizations/121999