Chapter 7 The ODL Systems for Sustainable Growth in Brazil: UAB System (2006-2016)

Maria Renata da Cruz Duran Universidade Estadual de Londrina, Brazil

Bruna Carolina Marino Rodrigues Universidade Estadual de Londrina, Brazil

Celso José da Costa Universidade Federal Fluminense, Brazil

ABSTRACT

The Open University System of Brazil (UAB System) was created in 2006 to provide higher education, especially for teachers in regions not served by traditional institutions, through an articulation between Public Institutions of Higher Education (IHES), state and municipal delegates and federal government in favor of distance learning modality. In order to present a few of these results, we are going to start from an overview of Brazilian education, situating distance learning education on that. Within this chapter, we are going to draw the main lines of UAB System that was accompanied with the trajectory of an interinstitutional research group that have been working since 2011, typifying the university offices and the municipality centers, and showing the potential of some of these combinations in terms of quality evaluation and economic impact.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-2624-7.ch007

Copyright © 2018, IGI Global. Copying or distributing in print or electronic forms without written permission of IGI Global is prohibited.

INTRODUCTION

The Brazilian population, in 2015, totaled 204.860 million people, with greater concentration in the Southeast and Northeast regions (142,557,000). About 27% of this population is situated between 0 and 25 years old (IBGE, 2016). At school, this period is divided into 4 levels, named as initial (0-5 years), fundamental (6-14 years), middle (14-17 years) and above¹. Obligatory, basic education (that brings together the three instances) has the highest number of enrollments in the public system at about 85%. Each year, 1.8 million students complete middle school in public schools (SEMESP, 2016)².

In higher education, the curve has risen since the beginning of the 2000s, when 2.695.927 students were enrolled (MEC / EFA, 2014, 6) until 2014, when 7.8 million students were divided between 6.5 million in conventional stream (83%) and 1.3 million in ODL courses (17%). Of which 75% in the private network (5.9 million) and the rest in the public network, composed of federal, state and municipal institutions (SEMESP, 2016), concentrated in the following areas of knowledge.

According to Simon Schwartzman (2014), the public sector achieved the highest absolute growth rate in higher education. Only between 2007 and 2012, the increase in the number of new entrants was 93.93%. This increase was accompanied by new staff resources in universities: 67% in the number of administration workers and 51% in the number of teachers. The percentage of graduates that was not included in the calculation is 24.54%.



Figure 1. Population with higher education, by age and field Source: Schwartzman, 2015, p. 4

40 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: <u>www.igi-</u> <u>global.com/chapter/the-odl-systems-for-sustainable-growth-</u> in-brazil/183417

Related Content

A Procedure to Create a Pedagogic Conversational Agent in Secondary Physics and Chemistry Education

Diana Pérez-Marínand Antonio Boza (2013). *International Journal of Information and Communication Technology Education (pp. 94-112).* www.irma-international.org/article/a-procedure-to-create-a-pedagogic-conversational-agent-in-secondary-physics-and-chemistry-education/99632

The Problems and Possibilities of Virtual Schools

Glenn Russell (2009). Encyclopedia of Distance Learning, Second Edition (pp. 1673-1679).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/problems-possibilities-virtual-schools/11972

Disability, Chronic Illness and Distance Education

Christopher Newelland Margaret Debenham (2005). *Encyclopedia of Distance Learning (pp. 591-598).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/disability-chronic-illness-distance-education/12164

Market Forces in Higher Education: Cheating and the Student-Centred Learning Paradigm

Judy Nagy (2009). *Ethical Practices and Implications in Distance Learning (pp. 249-267).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/market-forces-higher-education/18601

Designing Education Outside of the Traditional Classroom

Barbara A. Frey, Richard G. Fullerand Gary William Kuhne (2011). *Distinctive Distance Education Design: Models for Differentiated Instruction (pp. 1-12).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/designing-education-outside-traditional-classroom/45062