

# Deployment of Enterprise Architecture From the Activity Theory Perspective



**Tiko Iyamu**

*Cape Peninsula University of Technology, South Africa*

**Irja Naambo Shaanika**

*Namibia University of Science and Technology – Windhoek, Namibia*

## INTRODUCTION

Enterprise architecture (EA) is defined as an integrated and holistic vision of a system's fundamental organisation, embodied in its elements (people, processes, applications, and so on), their relationships to each other and to the environment, and the principles guiding its design and evolution (Janssen & Kuk, 2006). According to Kaisler, Armour and Valivullah (2005), EA identifies the main components of the organisation, its information systems, the ways in which these components work together in order to achieve defined business objectives and the way in which the information systems support the business processes of the organisation. Kamogawa and Okada (2009) asserts that the compelling need of EA is to enable strategic business goals and organisations to derive strategic outcomes from EA in terms of operational excellence.

Organisations do admit and acknowledge the importance of service delivery to their clients and citizens respectively. However, many clients and citizens continue to be dissatisfied with the type of services that they get. In attempt to get solution, some countries opt for transformation of their governments' activities into e-governments (Janssen and Kuk 2006; Marawar, Kale and Araspure 2010; Mohamed et al, 2012). According to Lee et al, (2013) the conception of Government-wide EA is the result of e-government considerations. Notably, EA is promoted as a key tool in the

transformation and modernisation of country governments (Madsen & Heje, 2009).

The rationale for the deployment (development and implementation) of the EA may vary from one organisation to another. However, the underlying aim is to provide a better structure in order to effectively manage IT-related projects and development activities across an organisation (Janssen and Kuk, 2006). Mohamed et al. (2012) posit that reasons for EA adoption include reducing the cost of IT and business operations by identifying duplications and opportunities for reuse and enabling interoperability and providing technical and managerial standards for agencies.

In some countries, the Government-wide EA's aim is for each ministry's investments in IT to be aligned with government-wide policy goals (Lee et al., 2013). The author argues that, government Ministries experience challenges in the planning, development and implementation of their information systems and supporting technologies. Government-wide enterprise architecture is seen as the strategy to eliminate inconsistencies and duplication of efforts in information systems across government Ministries. It enables improved citizens and business relationships, where by citizens can interact with Ministries as integrated businesses (Janssen and Cresswell 2005). The objectives of this study was to presents the influencing factors in the deployment of EA in organisations. This will assist organisations including government to assess and examine how

EA could be deployed for efficiency and effectiveness in addressing the challenges of uniformity integration, of processes, systems and technologies within their organisations.

The remainder of this paper is structured into four sections. In the first section, activity theory is discussed. The second section presents analysis of EA deployment from the perspective of activity theory. The third section presents a model and discussion on the factors which influences the deployment of EA in an organisation. A conclusion is finally drawn in the last section.

## **BACKGROUND**

### **Activity Theory as a Lens**


This study uses Activity Theory (AT) to analyse the factors that influence the deployment of EA. The AT is a socio- technical theory that is concerned with the development of social activities. As shown in Figure 1 below, AT consists of six main components. According to Golsorkki, Rouleau, Seidl and Vaara (2010), the theory “conceptualises the on-going construction of activity as a product of activity systems comprising the subject; the community within which subject interacts with other subjects; the tools that mediate between subjects, community and objective” (p. 127). In activity theory, subject is referred to as any living being with needs. As noted by Kaptelinin and

Nardi (2006) in activity theory not every actor is a subject. According to Kaptelinin and Nardi (2006) in AT a subject have needs that can be met only by being and acting in the world. Therefore non-living actors such as cars, computers cannot be regarded as subjects. Actors use tools to pursue their goals, and perform their activities. Uden (2007) stated that tools can be physical entities, such as a hammer or psychological such as language, culture or ways of thinking.

AT is used in information systems and technology studies primarily because the development, implementation, and management of systems and technologies are regarded as social technical activities. During the development and implementation of information systems and technologies in organisations, many actors are involved. Their involvement is governed by the organisation’s rules, cultures, values, and norms. The governance is mainly to ensure and enforce commitment, focus, and order; critical aspects that are needed in order to achieve organisational goals and objectives. However, rules can come in varying degrees, and can constrain or liberate the activity of a system (Yamgata-Lynch, 2010).

Also, information systems and technologies are developed and used according to the actors’ knowledge and specialisations. AT defines specialisations as a form of division of labour. Uden (2007) stated that the divisions of labour are concerned with how tasks are divided between community members and also the division of

*Figure 1. Activity theory  
Engestrom et al., 1999.*



8 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

[www.igi-global.com/chapter/deployment-of-enterprise-architecture-from-the-activity-theory-perspective/184006](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/deployment-of-enterprise-architecture-from-the-activity-theory-perspective/184006)

## Related Content

---

### Software Development Process Standards for Very Small Companies

Rory V. O'Connor (2018). *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, Fourth Edition* (pp. 6927-6938).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/software-development-process-standards-for-very-small-companies/184389](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/software-development-process-standards-for-very-small-companies/184389)

### An Overview of Advancements in Lie Detection Technology in Speech

Yan Zhou and Feng Bu (2023). *International Journal of Information Technologies and Systems Approach* (pp. 1-24).

[www.irma-international.org/article/an-overview-of-advancements-in-lie-detection-technology-in-speech/316935](http://www.irma-international.org/article/an-overview-of-advancements-in-lie-detection-technology-in-speech/316935)

### Challenges in the Digital Transformation Processes in Higher Education Institutions and Universities

Marco A. Coraland Augusto E. Bernuy (2022). *International Journal of Information Technologies and Systems Approach* (pp. 1-14).

[www.irma-international.org/article/challenges-in-the-digital-transformation-processes-in-higher-education-institutions-and-universities/290002](http://www.irma-international.org/article/challenges-in-the-digital-transformation-processes-in-higher-education-institutions-and-universities/290002)

### An Overview of E-Government 3.0 Implementation

Nikola Vlahovic and Tomislav Vracic (2015). *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, Third Edition* (pp. 2700-2708).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/an-overview-of-e-government-30-implementation/112688](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/an-overview-of-e-government-30-implementation/112688)

### From Synergy to Symbiosis: New Directions in Security and Privacy?

Vasilios Katos, Frank Stowell and Peter Bednar (2009). *International Journal of Information Technologies and Systems Approach* (pp. 1-14).

[www.irma-international.org/article/synergy-symbiosis-new-directions-security/4023](http://www.irma-international.org/article/synergy-symbiosis-new-directions-security/4023)