

Bridging Between Cyber Politics and Collective Dynamics of Social Movement

Kazuhiko Shibuya
ROIS, Japan

INTRODUCTION

This era of social media extends the bounds of traditional politics to ‘Cyber-Politics’ (Hill & Hughes, 1998; Jordan, 2001; Choucri, 2012). The advent of social media summons *the collective dynamics of democracy of the citizens, by the citizens, and for the citizens*. Such patterns using social media can readily alter the form of social movements, allowing their mutual interconnection and shaping the enclaves of networked clustering. Social media offer a new paradigm of democracy that encourages engagement and participation in both cyber and actual political actions for ordinary citizens.

Nevertheless, little is known about co-occurrence and linkages between cyber and real world actions by numerous participants. These are not limited to voluntary support for elections, donations, public discussion, and other collaborations, whether online or not. Especially, many observers have noted that ordinary citizens are apt to cope with social media as a bridge linking virtual and actual political activities.

Consequently, this issue should be investigated with open questions related to the following points.

1. **Social and Legal Background:** Social institutional matters related to legitimation crises caused by social movements
2. **Social Media and Its Relative Background:** Co-occurrence and Linkages of collective dynamics between cyber and actual political actions
3. **System Thinking and Simulation:** Enlargement of participants in social movement
4. **Beyond Borders:** Systemic Risks from Local to International Affairs

BACKGROUND

Certainly many reports have described that social media easily raise social movements or anti-autocratic revolutions by ordinary citizens. Those media have eagerly inspired ordinary citizens to participate in vigorous discussions as well as actual social movements (Casilli & Tubaro, 2012; Choudhary et al., 2012). It seems readily apparent that participants indeed have shared further motivations and common goals.

In Table 1, although the total population of participants could not be estimated accurately in each case, the entries exemplify serial movements: ‘Arab Spring’ (e.g. Jasmine and Egyptian revolutions), ‘Occupy Wall Street’ movement, the umbrella revolution in Hong Kong, and other recent events (e.g., a series of protests against the Charlie Hebdo shooting at France, and a series of protests against policy-making on the national security related bills in Japan and other nations). Those who participated expressed their desires for democratic institutions, freedom of public opinion, socioeconomic chances, and opposition against controversial political issues. They also made other demonstrative appeals.

Table 1. A part of recent movements

Events	Date	Nations	Estimated Max of Participants	Main Intention and Goal of Participants	Final Results
Jasmine Revolution	Dec. 17, 2010-Jan. 14, 2011	Tunisia	100,000?	Political Claims?	Revolution
Egyptian Revolution	Jan. 25, 2011-Feb.11, 2011	Egypt	2,000,000? (Tahrir square, Cairo)	Political Claims?	Revolution
'Occupy Wall Street'	Sep. 17, 2011	U.S.A.	15,000? (Foley Square, New York)	Socioeconomic claims and occupying area	Failure and Dissolution
The Umbrella Revolution	Sep.26,-Dec. 15, 2014	Hong Kong (China)	100,000? (Nearby the Admiralty)	Political Claims on Freedom for democracy and occupying area	Failure and Dissolution
Protests against the Charlie Hebdo shooting	Jan. 7, 2015	France	35,000? (Paris)	Protests for Public Opinion	Temporal Mass Meeting
Greece Economic Crisis 2015	Jun. -Aug. 2015	Greece	30,000? (Opposition Side, Atene)	Socioeconomic reasons	Temporal Mass Meeting
Opposition against the policy making on the national security related bills	Aug. 30, 2015	Japan	120,000? (Around the National Diet, Tokyo)	Political Claims and oppositions against controversial issues	Temporal Mass Meeting and oppositions
Catalan Nationalism for Independence	Sep. 11, 2015	Spain	1,000,000? (Català)	Political Claims for independence	Ongoing matter

Especially, the outcomes of the 'Arab Spring' became the trigger of similar actions. Furthermore, in Arab cases, each political regime was overturned by numerous participating citizens using social media. Subsequently, the results spurred regional and global strain (Boening, 2014; Sadiki, 2015). Democratic progress in Tunisia was awarded a Nobel Prize in Peace at 2015, but their activities require more efforts.

Sociologically, those phenomena might be categorized as social movements and expressions of the collective dynamics of behavior by numerous citizens (Le Bonn, 1931a, 1931b; Smelser, 1962). The movements realized social influence and catastrophic collapse of regimes caused by the crowds. Here, the categories of those actions by citizens include opinion expressions, group processes, identification, emotional attitudes, fads, mobs, criminal riots, demonstrations, and politically assertive agitations as well as social change against ancient regimes caused by large groups of citizens in uprisings and revolution (Olson, 1971; Brown, 2000; Rohlinger & Snow, 2003).

Legitimation Crisis Caused by Social Movement

A question arises: as exemplified by the case of 'Arab Spring', did those movements and revolutionary outcomes caused by mass popular movements have any legal background to subvert their government?

Principally, liberal democracy requires the freedom of expression for ordinary citizens and their deliberations in community (Mill, 2012). However, democracy has its own specific standards and procedures to deal with somewhat controversial policies (Johnson, 2008). Consequently, social scientists intensively regard all forms of collective action as problems of coordination and synchronization between stakeholders and actors of various types (Dogan, 1992; Klandermans & Roggeband, 2010). Democratic procedures can only legitimize fundamentals on ruling governance and sovereignty.

Certainly some theorists assert that people always retain the right to resist illegal policies

9 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/bridging-between-cyber-politics-and-collective-dynamics-of-social-movement/184064

Related Content

Hybrid Genetic Metaheuristic for Two-Dimensional Constrained Guillotina-ble Cutting Problems

Hamza Gharsellaoui and Hamadi Hasni (2015). *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, Third Edition* (pp. 163-174).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/hybrid-genetic-metaheuristic-for-two-dimensional-constrained-guillotinable-cutting-problems/112326

Automatic Pattern Proposition in Transformation Life Cycle

Mahsa Sadat Panahandeh and Bahman Zamani (2017). *International Journal of Information Technologies and Systems Approach* (pp. 1-16).

www.irma-international.org/article/automatic-pattern-proposition-in-transformation-life-cycle/178220

Exploiting DHT's Properties to Improve the Scalability of Mesh Networks

Silvio Sampaio and Francisco Vasques (2015). *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, Third Edition* (pp. 6177-6185).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/exploiting-dhts-properties-to-improve-the-scalability-of-mesh-networks/113075

The Analysis of the Artistic Innovation of LED Lighting in Gymnasiums Based on Intelligent Lighting Control Systems

Yan Huang and Zhihui Xiao (2023). *International Journal of Information Technologies and Systems Approach* (pp. 1-13).

www.irma-international.org/article/the-analysis-of-the-artistic-innovation-of-led-lighting-in-gymnasiums-based-on-intelligent-lighting-control-systems/326050

Reasoning on vague ontologies using rough set theory

(). *International Journal of Rough Sets and Data Analysis* (pp. 0-0).

www.irma-international.org/article//288522