

A Tale of Two Agile Requirements Engineering Practices

S**Pankaj Kamthan***Concordia University, Canada***Terrill Fancott***Concordia University, Canada*

INTRODUCTION

The discipline of software engineering advocates a systematic and disciplined approach towards the development and evolution of software systems. The ecosystem of software engineering is changing constantly, and influences the practice of software engineering. In the past decade, there have been a number of notable strategic changes in industrial software engineering, including the adoption of agile methodologies (Highsmith, 2009). If industrial surveys are any sign, this trend is likely to continue for the foreseeable future.

There are a number of concerns in agile software development, including requirements engineering (Sillitti & Succi, 2005; Zhu, 2009; Leffingwell, 2011). There are different types of agile requirement, of which currently the most common forms are use cases (Jacobson et al., 1992) and user stories (Cohn, 2004). The use cases and user stories have different origins, both in space and in time, but, by being among the practices of scenario-oriented requirements engineering (SORE) (Alexander, 2011), they are not entirely unrelated. The purpose of this article is to situate use cases and user stories in context of each other.

The need for a comparison among concepts arises naturally in many disciplines, and agile requirements engineering is no exception. Indeed, it is suggested by theories of learning, such as constructivism (Piaget, 1952), that upon initial exposure to a new concept C, a comparison between C and other, closely related and relatively

more established, concepts C' is inevitable. This comparison is a necessary prerequisite for creating an understanding of C through assimilation and accommodation, selection, and application. In this article, C is user story and C' is use case.

The rest of the article is organized as follows. First, background and related work are presented. This is followed by introduction of a conceptual framework for systematically comparing use cases and user stories. Next, directions for future research are highlighted. Finally, concluding remarks are given.

BACKGROUND


In this section, a brief background on agile methodologies and requirements engineering is presented, and previous work on comparing use cases and user stories is analyzed.

Agile Methodologies

In the 1990s, a number of limitations of rigidity in approaches for development of certain types of software systems were realized. These limitations made it difficult for organizations that aimed for relatively short release cycles of their products to remain competitive in the market, had relatively small budgets to allocate for their projects, and required richer communication between technical and non-technical stakeholders (including customers and users) to address their needs better.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-2255-3.ch659

Figure 1. A causal relationship between the success of a software project and the practices of software requirements engineering



The drive to cope with these limitations led to the inception of agility.

The *Agile Manifesto* constitutes the basis for a number of agile methodologies, including Agile Experience Design (AXD), Crystal Clear, Extreme Programming (XP), OpenUP, Scrum, and User-Centered Agile Process (UCAP). The agile methodologies have evolved over time and in certain cases, such as the Discipline Agile Delivery (DAD) process framework (Ambler & Lines, 2012), elements of multiple agile methodologies have been included.

Requirements Engineering

In software engineering, significant emphasis is placed on the requirements engineering, in general, and practices of requirements engineering, in particular, in the light of their impact on the later phases of software development and eventually their influence on the success of the software project (Wieggers, 2003). This causality is depicted in Figure 1. In requirements engineering, the attention is on the problem so as to devise a desirable and viable solution, namely the software system, which can satisfy the stakeholders.

The agile methodology underlying an agile project determines the type of agile requirement to be adopted. For example, in Crystal Clear and OpenUP, an agile requirement can take the form of a use case (Jacobson et al., 1992) and in AXD, XP, Scrum, and UCAP, an agile requirement can take the form of a user story (Cohn, 2004).

Related Work

The need for understanding the differences between use cases and user stories has been, directly or indirectly, expressed in several places in literature. In the following, previous efforts that compare use cases and user stories are analyzed briefly and chronologically.

The notion of simplicity has been a criterion for comparison between use cases and user stories. In one of the earliest work on user stories (Beck, 2000), it has been pointed out that user stories are “simplified use cases.” However, the meaning of ‘simplification’ and exactly what is “simplified” has not been given.

The structure of the means used for a description has often been a criterion for comparison between use cases and user stories. Indeed, it has

9 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/a-tale-of-two-agile-requirements-engineering-practices/184453

Related Content

Conditional Random Fields for Modeling Structured Data

Tom Burr and Alexei Skurikhin (2015). *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, Third Edition* (pp. 6167-6176).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/conditional-random-fields-for-modeling-structured-data/113074

Design of Health Healing Lighting in a Medical Center Based on Intelligent Lighting Control System

Yan Huang and Minmin Li (2023). *International Journal of Information Technologies and Systems Approach* (pp. 1-15).

www.irma-international.org/article/design-of-health-healing-lighting-in-a-medical-center-based-on-intelligent-lighting-control-system/331399

A Hospital Information Management System With Habit-Change Features and Medial Analytical Support for Decision Making

Cheryll Anne Augustine and Pantea Keikhosrokiani (2022). *International Journal of Information Technologies and Systems Approach* (pp. 1-24).

www.irma-international.org/article/a-hospital-information-management-system-with-habit-change-features-and-medial-analytical-support-for-decision-making/307019

Understanding Ontology and Epistemology in Information Systems Research

Francis Chia Cua and Tony C. Garrett (2009). *Information Systems Research Methods, Epistemology, and Applications* (pp. 35-56).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/understanding-ontology-epistemology-information-systems/23467

Competing Commitments Theory

John McAvoy and Tom Butler (2009). *Handbook of Research on Contemporary Theoretical Models in Information Systems* (pp. 336-347).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/competing-commitments-theory/35839