IDEA GROUP PUBLISHING



701 E. Chocolate Avenue, Hershey PA 17033-1117, USA Tel: 717/533-8845; Fax 717/533-8661; URL-http://www.idea-group.com **ITB7201**

Chapter XIV Inc. Chapter XIV for Enterprise Resource **Planning Project Evaluation:** A Case for Including **Intangibles**

Kenneth E. Murphy and Steven John Simon Florida International University, USA

The goal of this chapter is to demonstrate how cost benefit analysis can be applied to large-scale ERP projects and that these methods can incorporate the intangible benefits, e.g., user satisfaction. Detailed information on the business case utilized by a large computer manufacturer in their decision to implement the SAP system R/3 is presented. We illustrate how this organization utilized techniques to include intangibles in the implementation project's cost benefit analysis. The chapter concludes with a discussion on the state of valuing ERP projects and questions to be answered in the future.

In 1998, expenditures for information technology (IT) accounted for more than 50% of corporations' annual capital investment in developed economies, and these outlays will average 5% of total corporate revenues by 2010 (Graeser, Willcocks, & Pisanias, 1998). Given the staggering amount of resources devoted to IT, \$530 billion worldwide in 1995, one would expect managers to have a firm

This chapter appears in the book, Enterprise Resource Planning: Global Opportunities and Challenges by Liaquat Hossain, Mohammad Rashid and Jon David Patrick. Copyright © 2002, Idea Group Publishing.

grasp of the anticipated contribution of their IT investments to the organization's profit margin. However, quantitative measurements of an IT project's expected return are not often used, primarily because they are unable to capture many of the qualitative and intangible benefits that are expected (Farbey, Land, & Targett, 1992). Still, managers must justify system investments, and hence "cost benefit analysis has assumed a pivotal position in the information systems revolution" (Sassone, 1988).

Information system project evaluation is challenging not because the projects cannot be justified but because they cannot be justified in terms which accountants and some senior managers are prepared to accept (Gunton, 1988). According to Mahmood and Szewczak (1999) the issue of measuring investments in IT is critical, these "measures may be quantitative in nature, but they must also be qualitative as well." The problem has grown as IS departments have advanced beyond implementing transaction processing systems, with returns that are relatively easily to quantify, to the implementation of management information, decision support and knowledge management systems. Systems in the latter category produce measurable benefits that are fuzzy at best and defy conventional methods for quantifying the benefits. The failure of traditional measures to adequately capture the true value of the information technology systems was observed in the early days of MIS as an academic discipline (McRea, 1970). This measurement dilemma has grown worse as IT becomes part of the organization's nervous system or infrastructure and is a critical part of its structures and processes; where all elements are integrated assessing returns on individual assets is impractical. Moreover, such integrated systems will also be extremely valuable as repositories to aid in strategic decisionmaking. In addition to the factors listed above there is still a widespread lack of understanding of IT and information systems as a major capital asset (Willcocks & Lester, 1999).

In today's dynamic and competitive environment, senior managers are demanding figures that derive an IT project's return before the project is undertaken. Therefore, CIOs and their IT staffs are beginning to rely on both tangible and intangible measures to determine a system's contribution to an organization's bottom line. This procedure is a new endeavor for many IT staffs especially as they struggle to convert intangible measures such as user satisfaction to a tangible quantity suitable for inclusion in cost benefit calculations. This study examines Consolidated Computer Company's (CCC)¹ efforts to determine the contribution of a proposed enterprise resource planning (ERP) system they are seeking approval to implement.

The first part of the chapter presents a brief introduction to ERP systems, followed by a section where we define and discuss methods for evaluating IT investments and provide some detail on a family of techniques known as cost benefit

20 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart"

button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-

global.com/chapter/using-cost-benefit-analysis-

enterprise/18474

Related Content

Workflow Management and Mobile Agents: How to Get the Best of Both Approaches

Antonio Corradi, Alex Landiniand Stefano Monti (2013). *Enterprise Resource Planning: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 1329-1375).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/workflow-management-mobile-agents/77280

ERP Adoption: Is it Worth the Investment?

Jorge A. Romero (2013). Enterprise Resource Planning Models for the Education Sector: Applications and Methodologies (pp. 146-154). www.irma-international.org/chapter/erp-adoption-worth-investment/70265

The Future of ERP and Enterprise Resource Management Systems

Carlos Ferranand Ricardo Salim (2008). Enterprise Resource Planning for Global Economies: Managerial Issues and Challenges (pp. 328-348).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/future-erp-enterprise-resource-management/18442

E-Government Management Practice: Enterprise Resource Planning

John Douglas Thomson (2012). Strategic Enterprise Resource Planning Models for E-Government: Applications and Methodologies (pp. 40-54).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/government-management-practice/58595

Enterprise Resource Planning Systems in Higher Education

Melissa J. Haaband Sharon F. Cramer (2013). *Enterprise Resource Planning: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 182-197).*www.irma-international.org/chapter/enterprise-resource-planning-systems-higher/77218