

## Chapter 5

# Case Study Part 3:

### More Apples, Oranges, and Pears – Interpreting Ebrary, EBSCO, and Safari Non-COUNTER Reports

#### **ABSTRACT**

*In addition to their COUNTER reports, ebrary, EBSCO, and Safari provide their own custom reports. Vendors' non-COUNTER data, which are unique and more detailed, provide librarians with a potentially deeper perspective of overall usage. Chapter 5, the third part of the case study, evaluates vendor non-COUNTER reports against the same principles; it examines in detail what unique data these "local" reports provide, as well as identifies potential issues in interpreting these reports. The chapter addresses several issues and questions while exploring the uniqueness of the data contributed by the non-COUNTER reports. The chapter also looks at the challenge of comparing variant terminology used to describe data categories among the vendors, and whether, despite their differences, the non-COUNTER data are compatible with COUNTER data. The goal of this chapter is to help the customer sort out the data, interpret their meaning, and find the value of each report.*

## **INTRODUCTION**

In addition to their COUNTER reports, ebrary, EBSCO, and Safari provide their own custom reports. For customers, it is important to understand the differences between the usage data provided in both types of reports. In addition, customers should consider what unique data each vendor provides, and how relevant and useful the non-COUNTER data are from each vendor. This chapter will review the non-COUNTER data provided by ebrary, EBSCO, and Safari, and examine their credibility and consistency, in comparison with COUNTER data. It will focus on the following issues and questions:

1. Ebrary, EBSCO, and Safari vary widely on the number and types of non-COUNTER reports they provide. What unique data do these reports offer? Do non-COUNTER reports fill gaps left by COUNTER reports?
2. The terminology used to describe data categories is different among vendors. Are they relatable?
3. Despite their differences, are the non-COUNTER data compatible with COUNTER data?

Bringing uniformity to the “data mess” is the purpose of COUNTER. In light of the standardized COUNTER reports being implemented by vendors to provide consistent, credible, and comparable data, customers might wonder why non-COUNTER reports are offered at all. For example, as stated in Chapter 3, the number of non-COUNTER reports available from the three vendors in this case study varies from one for EBSCO to three for ebrary to 24 for Safari. The goal of this chapter is to help the customer sort out the data, interpret their meaning, and find the value of each report.

## **NON-COUNTER REPORTS BY VENDOR**

### **EBSCO**

This case study includes one non-COUNTER e-book usage report by EBSCO. Although EBSCO offers several non-COUNTER reports to its customers, only one produced relevant data for the library in this case study. This is because the library’s EBSCO e-books were purchased through demand driven acquisitions and as a consortium package. EBSCO’s Subscription Usage

16 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: [www.igi-global.com/chapter/case-study-part-3/190053](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/case-study-part-3/190053)

## Related Content

---

### Developing a Homegrown Course Management System -

#### Community/course, Action/interaction Management System (CAMS)

Brian G. Mackie, Norbert L. Ziemer, Nancy L. Russo and Wayne E. Mackie (2004).

*Annals of Cases on Information Technology: Volume 6* (pp. 278-292).

[www.irma-international.org/article/developing-homegrown-course-management-system/44582](http://www.irma-international.org/article/developing-homegrown-course-management-system/44582)

### Investigation Into Cloud Computing Adoption Within the Hedge Fund Industry

Thomas Cole, Amit Kumar Bhardwaj, Lalit Garg and Divya Prakash Shrivastava

(2019). *Journal of Cases on Information Technology* (pp. 1-25).

[www.irma-international.org/article/investigation-into-cloud-computing-adoption-within-the-hedge-fund-industry/227675](http://www.irma-international.org/article/investigation-into-cloud-computing-adoption-within-the-hedge-fund-industry/227675)

### A Question of Timing: Information Acquisition and Group Decision Making Performance

Souren Paul, Carol Stoak Saunders and William David Haseman (2007). *Emerging Information Resources Management and Technologies* (pp. 200-223).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/question-timing-information-acquisition-group/10100](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/question-timing-information-acquisition-group/10100)

### Debunking Intermediary Censorship Framework in Social Media via a Content Retrieval and Classification Software

Baramée Navanopparatskul, Sukree Sinthupinyo and Pirongrong Ramasoota (2017).

*Ontologies and Big Data Considerations for Effective Intelligence* (pp. 169-195).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/debunking-intermediary-censorship-framework-in-social-media-via-a-content-retrieval-and-classification-software/177393](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/debunking-intermediary-censorship-framework-in-social-media-via-a-content-retrieval-and-classification-software/177393)

### Software Agents in E-Commerce Systems

Juergen Seitz (2005). *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, First Edition* (pp. 2546-2550).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/software-agents-commerce-systems/14650](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/software-agents-commerce-systems/14650)