

Chapter 2

A Privacy Perspective of Open Government: Sex, Wealth, and Transparency in China

Bo Zhao

University of Tilburg, The Netherlands

ABSTRACT

Open government and freedom of information are key values in today's democracy, rule of law, and public governance. Their further development may have to be equally taken into consideration with other important public interests, such as state secret, public security, and other individual rights including reputation and privacy. In particular, there is the need to consider how individual privacy can be protected in the digital era in which both the concept and practice of open government may claim more territory. Taking China as an example, this chapter tries to reveal the complex, dynamic relationship between open government (transparency) and privacy protection, in particular by studying two specific privacy-related issues: the disclosure of official's public interest-related private life and the disclosure of family asset. In the sense that they can be regarded as the benchmarks to measure the level of open government and FOI development in a community, both can reflect the cultural and political complexities that affect such developments.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-4987-1.ch002

INTRODUCTION

In recent decades, digital technology advancement has increasingly facilitated the development of open government across the world. This has improved many public goods by means of citizen's increasing access to more open information/data, including transparency, efficiency, decision making quality, e-governance, freedom of information, etc. Personal data collection and processing nowadays become an indispensable, fundamental element of open government information (OGI). This is especially the case in view of: firstly, the wide spreading data set platform sharing among different government bodies, and secondly, the procurement of data processing to the private sector that usually acts on behalf of public bodies. Also new categories of personal data are available for abuse or misuse in government's possession, including biometric data such as IRIS and finger print, and the increasing connectivity crossing data platform also makes data profiling possible which might be against data subjects' interest.

In general, the ever growing large data set that serves open government meanwhile can lead to other problems of increasing public concern, for instance, data quality, data security, data integrity, data reach and abuse, and potential biased decision making. Especially privacy concern has been on the rise in the context of the global movement of open government and freedom of information (FOI) in recent years. When citizens demand accessing more information held by government for transparency and exercising their FOI right, they might be asking for personal data/information, whose disclosure is an intrusion of other's privacy. Disclosure of sensitive personal information may affect a person's reputation in some communities as well; for instance, disclosure of the extramarital affairs of a government official. This is the reason why debate over the dynamics between privacy, freedom of expression and transparency has been on the rise, not only at national level, but also at international level.

What personal information in possession of government bodies can, or cannot, be disclosed *to the public* becomes a critical issue, and the relationship between privacy and open government (transparency) shall be reconsidered an increasingly digitized, connected world. Privacy protection can be used to justify non-disclosure of personal information and thus may hinder the development of open government and transparency on the one hand. On the other hand, however, good privacy protection can indeed enhance open government and transparency. Having faith in good privacy protection, individuals are willing to provide more personal information to improve open government, public governance and economic efficiency. Privacy and open government are thus both competitive with and complementary to each other. In reality, they unfold much complicated relationships which are dispensable on concrete political, social and economic contexts. Scholars have studied open governance from

18 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/a-privacy-perspective-of-open-government/195688

Related Content

E-Government Concept: A Holistic and Eclectic Framework

Delfina de Sá Soares and Luis Amaral (2013). *Developing E-Government Projects: Frameworks and Methodologies* (pp. 90-118).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/government-concept-holistic-eclectic-framework/77089

World Wide Web Site Design and Use in Public Management

Carmine Scavo (2003). *Public Information Technology: Policy and Management Issues* (pp. 299-330).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/world-wide-web-site-design/28216

Behavioral Intention Towards E-Government in Malaysia: A Structural Equation Modeling Approach

Ayankunle A. Taiwo, Alan G. Downe and Siew-Phaik Loke (2014). *International Journal of Electronic Government Research* (pp. 8-21).

www.irma-international.org/article/behavioral-intention-towards-e-government-in-malaysia/115908

Creating and Analysing a Social Network Built from Clips of Online News

Álvaro Figueira, José Devezas, Nuno Cravino and Luis-Francisco Revilla (2013). *Information Systems and Technology for Organizations in a Networked Society* (pp. 67-86).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/creating-analysing-social-network-built/76532

Social Media Development and Implication on eGovernance in China

Wang Boyong and Wang Shaoyu (2014). *Handbook of Research on Advanced ICT Integration for Governance and Policy Modeling* (pp. 367-388).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/social-media-development-and-implication-on-egovernance-in-china/116673