# Student Perceptions of Online vs. Face-to-Face Learning in Criminal Justice: Considering the Ethical Implications of Disparities

## **Alicia Marie Godoy**

University of Houston - Downtown, USA

### Rebecca Pfeffer

University of Houston - Downtown, USA

## **ABSTRACT**

This chapter provides an overview of the findings from a study of students at a four-year university who were surveyed about their experiences learning in both online and face-to-face modalities. While some students reported perceived equitableness between their experiences in online and face-to-face classes, there were some findings that demonstrated the need for further inquiry. Of note, the majority (61%) of students indicated that they learned more or much more in face-to-face classes than online. Students in online classes were also much less likely to make use of faculty office hours or, in some cases, to even have contact with their professors at all. This chapter concludes with a discussion of the ethical issues corresponding to the disparity between online and traditional learning modalities.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-5933-7.ch008

## INTRODUCTION

The use of digital technologies in higher education has increased rapidly over the last decade, and this trend is likely to continue (Haas & Senjo, 2004). Indeed, the number of online education programs is growing at a rate faster than traditional campus-based programs (Cole, Shelley & Swartz, 2014). As of 2013, 6.7 million students in higher education had taken at least one course online. This equates to almost one-third (32%) of students of higher education in the United States (Allen & Seaman, 2013).

There are many perceived benefits of online education from the perspective of students (Li & Irby, 2008), faculty (Haas & Senjo, 2004), and university administrators (Sitren & Smith, 2017). For students, particularly those who balance school with other responsibilities such as full-time jobs and childcare, the flexibility of online course offerings can be beneficial (Stack, 2013). Prior research indicates that many faculty members have positive perceptions of the use of new technologies in teaching and believe that they can enhance course pedagogy and even include student populations who have historically been neglected in traditional classroom-based learning. University administrators have expressed that the potential income generation from online courses is important, particularly during periods with limited or even lowered budgets for higher education (Sitren & Smith, 2017).

Within the discipline of criminal justice, the increase in the use of online learning systems, allowing for distance education, represents one of the most significant changes in the history of criminal justice pedagogy (Sitren & Smith, 2017). Yet research findings about the effectiveness of online education compared to traditional face-to-face learning environments are mixed. The study described in this chapter explored student perceptions of the differences between online and face-to-face learning environments, focusing on both how much students learn in each modality and also their level of interaction with both peers and professors. The findings have important implications for future online pedagogy. In this chapter, we consider the ethical implications of the disparities between experiences learning online versus face-to-face.

## BACKGROUND

## The Development and Function of Online Learning

The first courses offered completely online began in 1981 with noncredit "minicourses" in an executive training program provided by the Western Behavior Sciences Institute (Harasim, 2000). The instructors who taught these classes reported that

## 17 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: <a href="https://www.igi-publisher/">www.igi-</a>

global.com/chapter/student-perceptions-of-online-vs-face-toface-learning-in-criminal-justice/207666

## **Related Content**

## Trust in an Enterprise World: A Survey

Fotios I. Gogoulos, Anna Antonakopoulou, Georgios V. Lioudakis, Dimitra I. Kaklamaniand Iakovos S. Venieris (2019). *Cyber Law, Privacy, and Security: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 1442-1463).*www.irma-international.org/chapter/trust-in-an-enterprise-world/228792

## Lensing Legal Dynamics for Examining Responsibility and Deliberation of Generative Al-Tethered Technological Privacy Concerns: Infringements and Use of Personal Data by Nefarious Actors

Bhupinder Singh (2024). Exploring the Ethical Implications of Generative AI (pp. 146-167).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/lensing-legal-dynamics-for-examining-responsibility-and-deliberation-of-generative-ai-tethered-technological-privacy-concerns/343703

## Navigating the Legal and Ethical Framework for Generative AI: Fostering Responsible Global Governance

Anuttama Ghose, S. M. Aamir Aliand Sachin Deshmukh (2024). *Exploring the Ethical Implications of Generative AI (pp. 168-184)*.

 $\frac{\text{www.irma-international.org/chapter/navigating-the-legal-and-ethical-framework-for-generative-ai/343704}$ 

## A Proposal for a General Resolution on Cyborgization

(2022). Philosophical Issues of Human Cyborgization and the Necessity of Prolegomena on Cyborg Ethics (pp. 307-314).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/a-proposal-for-a-general-resolution-on-cyborgization/291955

## Hybrid Privacy Preservation Technique Using Neural Networks

R. VidyaBanuand N. Nagaveni (2019). *Cyber Law, Privacy, and Security: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 542-561).* 

www.irma-international.org/chapter/hybrid-privacy-preservation-technique-using-neural-networks/228744