

Chapter 88

Gendered Organizational Culture: A Comparative Study in Bangladesh and Thailand

Julaikha Bente Hossain
Asian Institute of Technology, Thailand

ABSTRACT

This study began with the question of what are the factors that lead to different outcomes of women in engineering employment in Bangladesh and Thailand. The primary data for answering this question were drawn from questionnaire surveys with 204 professional engineers, in-depth interviews with 80 professional women engineers, and discussions with employers in construction organizations in Bangladesh and Thailand. The findings identifies several barriers that not only deter women from entering into organizations, but also stopped the stream of women engineering graduates to flow into the engineering job market. The study has shed light on how organizational cultural practices as well as the influence of external factors within organizations affect women's entry and stay in construction organizations in Bangladesh and Thailand. The findings suggest that organizations should develop their own equal opportunity guidelines and policies to provide women with a suitable job and ensure that they remain employed.

INTRODUCTION

Organizational culture has been defined in many ways by various authors and researchers. However, the general definition of organizational culture is stated by Arnold (2005:625) is “the distinctive norms, beliefs, principles and ways of behaving that combine to give each organization its distinct character”. In other words, it represents the unwritten organizational rules and assumptions that dictate how individuals should act and how things are to be done within the organization.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-6912-1.ch088

According to Evetts (1996), an employee's career within the organization is influenced by three cultural factors - stereotypical belief system, behavior or style and power relations. The belief systems and control of social institutions influence career choice. For example, stereotypical beliefs about women in professional positions generate the idea that having traditional male characteristics is a better predictor for success, thus reinforcing the "think manager-think male" belief (Schein as cited in Sauers *et al.*, 2002). In the context of an individual's behavior or style in the organization, McILwee and Robinson (1992) contended that cultures create an orderly set of rules, which allow work to be carried out in a particular way. This reflects the differential power of employees to create those practices. Within male-dominated organizations, male power has helped to institutionalize norms culturally associated with men and masculinity (Billing, 2000), therefore, present different kinds of problems for women's professional career.

Engineering¹ is considered to be a men's profession. Thus, not only are there fewer women in engineering profession worldwide, there is also a sharp gender segregation in terms of positions (Wirth, 2004). Careers related to this employment generally provide a higher professional and social status than many other professions; however, the general image of the profession is tough, heavy, dirty and machinery-oriented (Zywno *et al.*, 1998; Ogunlana *et al.*, 1993; McILwee and Robinson, 1992). Hence, any entry by women into this profession is considered an attempt to cross the sex barrier (Jaiswal, 1993).

Further, various schools of thoughts explained the reasons for the relative absence of women in engineering employment. Functionalist and gender-socialization theorists stress socialization and gender role behaviors as major sources of gender inequality and gender segregation in the work force. It stressed that if women are socialized to be more interested in engineering, there will be more women in the engineering workforce; and that gender segregation in the engineering workforce will decrease. However, statistics belie this. Country statistics show a non-linear relationship between the ratio of women in engineering education and the ratio of women in engineering employment. Comparative engineering education and employment statistics in Thailand and Bangladesh show that women have made significant progress in both countries. For instance, in 2015, women constituted 23.7% of the engineering students (in all disciplines) and 22.8% in civil engineering in Bangladesh (Figure 1). In Thailand, the corresponding figures were 17.3% and 9.7% (Figure 2). These figures are a massive leap forward from the early 1990s with 7.3% in engineering education (in all disciplines) and 6.7% in civil engineering for Bangladesh, and corresponding figures of 5.5% and 6.3% in Thailand (Figure 1 and Figure 2).

It is noted that women had progressed further in the field of civil engineering in Bangladesh (22.8% in 2015) than women in Thailand (9.7% in 2015). Despite this improvement in civil engineering education in the past decade, there has been little improvement in women's employment in engineering in Bangladesh (3.1%) (Figure 1). In Thailand, on the other hand, while civil engineering education among girls did not improve much, civil engineering employment among women kept pace with civil engineering education (Figure 2) (Hossain and Kusakabe, 2005).

There are few researches conducted on the experience of women engineers in construction organizations, particularly in the Asian region. A study reveals that one of the major problems associated with women engineer's under-representation in civil engineering organizations (e.g., construction organizations) construction organizations is career development obstacles (Ogunlana, et. al., 1993). Empirical research in UK shows that women engineers in construction organizations confronts a greater number of obstacles to their professional career development, which not only limits their career achievements but also prevents them from entering and staying in construction organizations (Dainty *et al.*, 2000).

19 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/gendered-organizational-culture/209058

Related Content

Students of the Imaginary: Interpreting the Life Experiences of Ethnic Minority Students From Xinjiang Classes

Xin Su, Neil Harrison and Robyn Moloney (2020). *International Journal of Bias, Identity and Diversities in Education* (pp. 36-53).

www.irma-international.org/article/students-of-the-imaginary/270945

AI-Enhanced Digital Mirrors: Empowering Women's Safety and Shopping Experiences

Manjula Devarakonda Venkata, Venkateswari Karneedi, Sujana sri padmaja Yandamuri and Naga Pradeepthi Siddi (2024). *Wearable Devices, Surveillance Systems, and AI for Women's Wellbeing* (pp. 26-51).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/ai-enhanced-digital-mirrors/343066

Building Bridges Across Diversity: Utilising the Inside-Out Prison Exchange Programme to Promote an Egalitarian Higher Education Community within Three English Prisons

Hannah King, Fiona Measham and Kate O'Brien (2019). *International Journal of Bias, Identity and Diversities in Education* (pp. 66-81).

www.irma-international.org/article/building-bridges-across-diversity/216374

Diversity Management: Bringing Equality, Equity, and Inclusion in the Workplace

Rossella Riccò (2017). *Discrimination and Diversity: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 1765-1790).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/diversity-management/182162

Influences of a Professional Development Program on Teachers' Attitudes Towards Educational Technology and Digital Learning Resources for Inclusion

David Lansing Cameron, Marianne E. Matre and Esther Tamara Canrinus (2023). *Handbook of Research on Implementing Inclusive Educational Models and Technologies for Equity and Diversity* (pp. 218-235).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/influences-of-a-professional-development-program-on-teachers-attitudes-towards-educational-technology-and-digital-learning-resources-for-inclusion/325746