

# Chapter 45

## Technology Assessment of Information and Communication Technologies

**Armin Grunwald**

*Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Germany*

**Carsten Orwat**

*Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Germany*

### ABSTRACT

*Technology assessment (TA) has taken up the field of information science and technology (IST) as a subject of study from the 1970s on. Nowadays, this field is of central relevance to TA in a triple respect: (1) as research field per se (e.g., with regard to impact dimensions such as privacy, data protection, increasing use of autonomous agents, safety and security, sustainable development, intellectual property rights, regulation, societal vulnerability, etc.). It is (1) also of major and even increasing importance by entering and influencing other fields of technology (e.g., energy supply, military, robotics, logistics, nanotechnology, cognitive science, neuroscience, etc.). Finally, (2) several new services made available by IST developments are of high utility in TA practice of scientific projects and policy advice (e.g., in the fields of e-participation). This chapter provides an overview of TA with respect to its origin, its development, and its current situation in general, followed by a more specific consideration of TA themes and activities in the IST field.*

### INTRODUCTION

Technology Assessment (TA) has developed over the past more than forty years against the background of challenging experiences concerning unintended and often undesirable side effects of science and technology. Development, production, social use, and disposal of technology have often resulted not only in more welfare, employment, health, and other positive achievements, but also in negative or at least ambivalent consequences, including risks to human health, society, and the natural environment. The aim of TA from the very beginning was to contribute to shaping scientific and technological progress

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-7368-5.ch045

and its transformation into innovations according to societal values and goals by investigating and assessing possible impacts and consequences *in advance*, and by transforming this knowledge into advice to decision-makers.

TA has taken up the field of Information Science and Technology (IST) as a subject of study from the 1970s on. Nowadays, this field is of central relevance to TA in a triple respect: (a) as research field *per se*, e.g. with regard to impact dimensions such as privacy, data protection, increasing use of autonomous agents, safety and security, sustainable development, intellectual property rights, regulation, societal vulnerability, *et cetera*. It is (b) also of major and even increasing importance by entering and influencing other fields of technology, e.g. energy supply, military, robotics, logistics, nanotechnology, cognitive science, neuroscience, *et cetera*. Finally (c), several new services made available by IST developments are of high utility in TA practice of scientific projects and policy advice, e.g. in the fields of e-participation (Nentwich & König 2012). This article will provide a brief overview of TA with respect to its origin, its development, its objectives, and its current situation in general, followed by a more specific consideration of TA themes and activities in the IST field.

## **BACKGROUND**

Technology Assessment has its roots in specific historical circumstances in the 1960s and 1970s. Activities and concerns in the U.S. political system, in particular in the U.S. Congress, led to the creation of the Office of Technology Assessment (OTA) in 1972 (Bimber, 1996). This origin of TA found a lot of successors in Europe which succeeded in establishing the European Parliamentary Technology Assessment network (EPTA, see [www.eptanetwork.org](http://www.eptanetwork.org)).

Parallel to this development in the political system, far-ranging intellectual changes were taking place. The optimistic belief in scientific and technical progress, which had predominated in the post-Second World War period, came under pressure. Western societies were deeply unsettled by the “Limits of Growth” published by the Club of Rome in 1972, which addressed the limitedness of natural resources. In many fields, problems with unintended side effects of technology such as pollution and severe accidents became a matter of public debate on further scientific and technological progress. In many countries, social conflicts arose on the occasion of controversial technologies such as nuclear power (from the 1970s on) and genetically modified organisms (from the 1990s on). Ethical questions led to conflicts on the development and use of new technology, in particular in the field of health and human reproduction. Issues of privacy and data protection became a field of controversy, in particular following measures of homeland protection and surveillance strategies after the 9/11 attacks. The challenges led to a complex and multi-dimensional set of objectives and rationales of TA (Grunwald, 2009).

Nowadays, the term “technology assessment” is widely used to designate a broad range of systematic approaches and methods to investigate the conditions for and the consequences of technology and to assess and evaluate them. Its task is to provide knowledge, orientation, and procedures on how to cope with challenges at the interface between technology and society *in both directions*. TA explores and assesses possible impacts and consequences of technology in a prospective manner on the one hand (technology push), and attempts to understand and take up society’s expectations and needs regarding a new technology and direct them to the relevant decision-making processes on the other hand (demand pull). The mission of TA is, thus, to contribute to “a better technology in a better society” (Rip et al.,

10 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

[www.igi-global.com/chapter/technology-assessment-of-information-and-communication-technologies/213162](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/technology-assessment-of-information-and-communication-technologies/213162)

## Related Content

---

### Model-Based Interview Method Selection Approach in Participatory Design

Arsineh Boodaghian Asland Michel Gokan Khan (2020). *Interactivity and the Future of the Human-Computer Interface* (pp. 206-223).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/model-based-interview-method-selection-approach-in-participatory-design/250754](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/model-based-interview-method-selection-approach-in-participatory-design/250754)

### Personalized Product Recommendation and User Satisfaction: Reference to Industry 5.0

Priyadarsini Patnaik, Parameswar Nayakand Siddharth Misra (2023). *Advanced Research and Real-World Applications of Industry 5.0* (pp. 102-128).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/personalized-product-recommendation-and-user-satisfaction/324184](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/personalized-product-recommendation-and-user-satisfaction/324184)

### Interactive Books in Augmented Reality for Mobile Devices: A Case Study in the Learning of Geometric Figures

Ana Grasielle Dionísio Corrêa (2016). *Human-Computer Interaction: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 1084-1101).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/interactive-books-in-augmented-reality-for-mobile-devices/139081](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/interactive-books-in-augmented-reality-for-mobile-devices/139081)

### Immersing People in Scientific Knowledge and Technological Innovation Through Disney's Use of Installation Art

Jonathan Lillieand Michelle Jones-Lillie (2019). *Advanced Methodologies and Technologies in Artificial Intelligence, Computer Simulation, and Human-Computer Interaction* (pp. 826-839).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/immersing-people-in-scientific-knowledge-and-technological-innovation-through-disneys-use-of-installation-art/213179](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/immersing-people-in-scientific-knowledge-and-technological-innovation-through-disneys-use-of-installation-art/213179)

### Storyboard and Computer Animation for Children: Communicability Evaluation

Francisco V. Cipolla-Ficarra, Alejandra Quirogaand Jim Carré (2014). *Advanced Research and Trends in New Technologies, Software, Human-Computer Interaction, and Communicability* (pp. 203-219).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/storyboard-and-computer-animation-for-children/94231](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/storyboard-and-computer-animation-for-children/94231)