Chapter 27
Usable Security

Andrea Atzeni
Politecnico di Torino, Italy

Shamal Faily
Bournemouth University, UK

Ruggero Galloni
Square Reply S.r.l., Italy

ABSTRACT

The increased availability of information and services has led to the affirmation of the internet involvement for a large segment of the population. This implies a paradigm shift for computer security: users become less skilled and security aware, requiring easier interface to communicate with "the machine" and more specific and comprehensible security measures. These two aspects, which are complex and challenging, have significant reciprocal influence. In practice, it has proven very intriguing to study and propose effective trade-offs among them. This chapter focus on these aspects by analyzing the goals and state of the art of usability and security to understand where and how they might be effectively "aligned."

INTRODUCTION

Recent decades have been characterized by the growth of information technologies in the private and public sectors. The positive impact that ICT has on job performance, as well as the expansion and creation of business opportunities for companies, count as the main drivers for this growth. This growth led to the proliferation of distributed applications and physical devices, and the diffusion of technologies that facilitate social participation and social interaction. All these applications, devices and interactions may contain important information, or give access to sensitive data, putting them at risk.

The rapid diffusion of technology has led to the reduction of active security monitoring, as well as the lack of technically competent people in control of applications and devices. Moreover, the increment in social interaction increases the damage other people can directly or indirectly cause.

Traditionally, security is only considered as strong as its weakest link, and people were considered as the weak links (Schneier, 2003). This thinking triggers a vicious circle. (Adam & Sasse, 1999) stated that users are informed as little as possible on security mechanisms took by IT departments, precisely because they are seen as inherently untrustworthy. Their work has shown that users were not sufficiently aware of security issues and tend to build their own (often inaccurate) models of possible security threats. Users have a low perception of threats because they lack the necessary information to understand their importance. According to (Sasse & al., 2001) blaming users for a security breach is like blaming human error rather than bad design. Security has, therefore, a human dimension that must be neither ignored nor neglected. The increase in the number of breaches may be attributed to designers who fail to sufficiently consider the human factor in their design techniques. Thus, to undo the Gordian knot of security, we must provide a human dimension to security.

BACKGROUND

Human-Computer Interaction (HCI) is a field concerned with the interaction between people and technology, and how this supports humans in completing tasks to achieve one of more specific goals. Traditionally, it has been involved in analyzing and improving usability.

HCI has been an active area of research since the 1980s. It has focused on improving the design of user interfaces, and helping users transforming their goals into productive actions for the computers. Improving user interfaces and usability is important because poorly designed interfaces increase the potential for human error. In particular, human behavior is largely goal-driven, therefore the execution of activities which help the users to achieve their goals is the main key to create a usable system. So, when a user "engages with a complex system of rules that change as the problem changes" (e.g. an interface does not present information clearly and coherently with a user mental model), it leads to "Cognitive Friction" (Cooper, 2004).

The "Cognitive Friction" is a by-product of the information age, and it is more evident in all the computing devices lacking a natural cause-effect relation between user input and device output, e.g. when similar inputs result in different outputs.

When a person is dealing with the cognitive friction, ancestral mechanisms of the human being come into play. As result, in this case, users cannot be modeled as purely rational beings. Thus, to understand users' behavior, and to appreciate how systems can be made usable, we need to consider the following factors:

- Users are driven by goals. People are naturally prone to pursuing goals. In achieving this, according to Krug "every question mark adds to our cognitive workload, distracting our attention from the task at hand" (Krug, 2005). This, according to Norman (Norman, 2002), creates usability issues, because it introduces the cognitive friction into play and leads users to make mistakes, which sometimes can also result into security flaws;
- Users do not read the instructions. Users proceed by trial and are not interested in reading manuals, instructions or documentation. For most of the users, it is not important to know how to do something, until the moment in which it is not necessary to use it (Krug, 2005);

10 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/usable-security/213662

Related Content

Towards Autonomous User Privacy Control
Amr Ali Eldinand Rene Wagenaar (2007). *International Journal of Information Security and Privacy (pp. 24-46).*
www.irma-international.org/article/towards-autonomous-user-privacy-control/2469

Ameliorating the Privacy on Large Scale Aviation Dataset by Implementing MapReduce Multidimensional Hybrid k-Anonymization
Stephen Dass A.and Prabhu J. (2021). *Research Anthology on Privatizing and Securing Data (pp. 683-714).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/ameliorating-the-privacy-on-large-scale-aviation-dataset-by-implementing-mapreduce-multidimensional-hybrid-k-anonymization/280199

Bootstrapping Urban Planning: Addressing Big Data Issues in Smart Cities
Ankur Lohachab (2020). *Security, Privacy, and Forensics Issues in Big Data (pp. 217-246).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/bootstrapping-urban-planning/234812

Auditing an Agile Development Operations Ecosystem
Aishwarya Subramanian, Priyadarsini Kannan Krishnamachariar, Manish Guptaand Raj Sharman (2018). *International Journal of Risk and Contingency Management (pp. 90-110).*
www.irma-international.org/article/auditing-an-agile-development-operations-ecosystem/212560

The Social Organization of a Criminal Hacker Network: A Case Study
Yong Lu (2009). *International Journal of Information Security and Privacy (pp. 90-104).*
www.irma-international.org/article/social-organization-criminal-hacker-network/34061