

Chapter 35

Use of Data Analytics for Program Impact Evaluation and Enhancement of Faculty/ Staff Development

Samuel Olugbenga King
Auburn University, USA

ABSTRACT

This chapter focuses on the use of data analytics to satisfy the accountability demands (summative evaluation) of higher education, while contributing to faculty and staff development in the process (formative evaluation). By situating the data analytics process within a strategic questioning framework, the inquiry has focused on the evaluation of the impact of the programs and services provided by an academic development center at a large research university in the United States. The analytics data, primary findings, have been critiqued and incorporated to enhance further staff and professional development at the center. The findings have also been benchmarked with relevant analytics data from other academic development centers in Europe and Australasia to provide comparative performance measures. The key contribution of the use of data analytics to academic development is its potential to catalyze a data-driven culture that would adequately respond to the 21st century accountability ethos of higher education with systematic, valid, and useful impact/performance measures.

INTRODUCTION

One of the major developments in the 21st century academic development landscape is the pressure to meet “institutional concerns for quality control and accountability” (Land, 2011, p. 175). The now pervasive accountability culture, including a requirement for the use of “much more sophisticated evaluation mechanisms than have been the case in the past” (Brew & Peseta, 2008, p. 84) is typically driven by external accountability pressures. But there are also internal pressures. For example, Brew and Peseta (2008) describe how a change in management of an academic development unit can catalyze fresh

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-7598-6.ch035

demands for a systematic evaluation of the unit's programs and effectiveness (pp. 83-84). *How might an academic development center that is faced with both external and internal accountability pressures demonstrate the impact and contributions of its programs to the broader institution's strategic plan?*

To address this challenge, this paper will focus on the use of data analytics to satisfy the twin external and internal accountability demands (*summative evaluation*), while contributing to faculty and staff development in the process (*formative evaluation*). The goal is to meet the "increasing 'troublesome' expectations for academic development specialists to demonstrate the impact of their work" (Taylor, 2011, p. 95) through the use of data analytics, which enables systematic evaluation. In the next section, data analytics will be introduced within the context of the broader higher education institutional research ecosystem.

BACKGROUND: INSTITUTIONAL RESEARCH AND DATA ANALYTICS

Historically, the field of institutional research in higher education is predominantly focused on the strategic use of information and data sets to implement, review and enhance the academic mission of the university (Buller, 2012). The types of data that have been collected include system-generated behavioral data, e.g., human resource systems; survey data; transactional data, e.g., learning management systems; and frozen data, e.g., admission head counts (Bichsel, 2012, p. 16). But with the technology revolution, higher education is increasingly adopting and leveraging a range of data systems to support institutional capacity and meet strategic goals. The range of data systems that have been deployed to support higher educational units, such as student enrollment, information technology, budgeting and finance, human resources, student success, research administration, and facilities, include enterprise resource management (ERP) systems or business management/intelligence software, academic enterprise systems (e.g., LMS), customer relationship management (CRM) systems, and personalized learning environments, including assessment software (Norris & Baer, 2013, p. 9). An overview of the data analytics ecosystem in higher education is presented in Figure 1.

Moreover, 69% of higher education institutions surveyed in the USA on the use of data analytics indicated that analytics was either a "major institutional priority" or "major priority for some departments but not entire institution" (Bichsel, 2012, p. 8). But this is not just an American phenomenon. For example, ERP systems (e.g., Oracle and PeopleSoft) and LMSs (e.g., Blackboard, Moodle and Sakai) are pervasive at institutions in Africa, Asia and Europe (e.g., Cochrane, Black, Lee, Narayan, & Verswijvelen, 2013; Nkurunziza, 2013). This pervasiveness of analytics systems is linked with their perceived benefits for student enrollment and academic success, optimization of the use of institutional resources, and demonstration of higher education's effectiveness and efficiency (Bichsel, 2012, p. 11). As Petersen (2012) commented, the "current higher education landscape is replete with demands for improving accountability, increasing efficiency, and controlling costs. At the same time, information technologies make it easier to collect and analyze information to measure outcomes or to assist in decision making" (p. 44).

It is therefore clear from the ubiquity of data analytics in higher education that institutions have adopted them as a systematic tool to measure, support and enhance performance. But this question may arise, *Are there any specific reasons why analytics would be strategically beneficial to academic development?* In the next section, I will highlight the role of data analytics in academic development.

15 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/use-of-data-analytics-for-program-impact-evaluation-and-enhancement-of-facultystaff-development/214636

Related Content

Smart Prosthetic Hand with Object Slippage Detection, Measurement, and Control

Girish Sriram, Alex Jensen and Steve C. Chiu (2014). *International Journal of Handheld Computing Research* (pp. 25-48).

www.irma-international.org/article/smart-prosthetic-hand-with-object-slippage-detection-measurement-and-control/135997

Prediction of Football Match Results Based on Edge Computing and Machine Learning Technology

Yunfei Li and Yubin Hong (2022). *International Journal of Mobile Computing and Multimedia Communications* (pp. 1-10).

www.irma-international.org/article/prediction-football-match-results-based/293749

Trends in Adaptive Interface Design for Smart Wheelchairs

Julio Abascal, Borja Bonail, Daniel Cagigas, Nestor Garay and Luis Gardeazabal (2008). *Handbook of Research on User Interface Design and Evaluation for Mobile Technology* (pp. 711-729).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/trends-adaptive-interface-design-smart/21861

An Integrated Approach for the Enforcement of Contextual Permissions and Pre-Obligations

Yehia Elrakaiby, Frédéric Cuppens and Nora Cuppens-Boulahia (2013). *Contemporary Challenges and Solutions for Mobile and Multimedia Technologies* (pp. 107-126).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/integrated-approach-enforcement-contextual-permissions/70811

Session and Network Support for Autonomous Context-Aware Multiparty Communications in Heterogeneous Mobile Systems

Josephina Antoniou, Christophoros Christophorou, Augusto Neto, Susana Sargento, Filipe Pinto, Nuno Carapeto, Telma Mota, Jose Simoes and Andreas Pitsillides (2010). *International Journal of Handheld Computing Research* (pp. 1-24).

www.irma-international.org/article/session-network-support-autonomous-context/48501