Chapter 78 Open Source

Heidi Lee Schnackenberg SUNY Plattsburgh, USA

ABSTRACT

The phrase "open source" is not something often heard in everyday conversation. However, the idea of downloadable, free software, particularly mobile applications, or "apps," has become quite commonplace. Individuals often download free products, grateful that they are available and will potentially work well, without thinking where they originate or why they even exist. Not so long ago, most things associated with computers available to the general population came at a cost and were available from only a few vendors. This is no longer the case thanks to the ever-increasing availability and popularity of open source software, operating systems, and applications.

INTRODUCTION

Open source is a philosophy and a methodology associated with free and collaborative, creation, modification and use of software applications and operating systems. The term "open source" was coined in 1998 at the "Open Source Summit" in Palo Alto, California in an effort to standardize both the idea and a word or phrase for it. Shortly thereafter, the "Open Source Initiative" (OSI) was formed and sought to promote the idea of free applications (specifically, free source code) to the public (Tiemann, 2009).

Open source is characterized by a particular creation process associated with the development of software and/or operating systems. It is protected by specific licenses which allow users and developers the ability to freely modify, upgrade, use and distribute the products as they wish (von Krogh & Spaeth, 2007). Although not relegated to a hierarchical structure, a project manager generally emerges and leads the initiative by keeping things organized and moving forward while volunteers offer their talents by designing, coding, debugging, beta testing, and utilizing the product (Crowston & Howison, 2006). Volunteers can also offer feedback and provide technical support, either in-person, via phone, or in online user forums. This process can end in the free proliferation of an open source product, which users can modify and improve according to their specific needs. Although open source products can have imperfections, the user isn't paying for those deficiencies like they sometimes do with commercial products (Schnackenberg & Vega, 2010). At times, open source products can also lead to a profitable

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-7598-6.ch078

venture if the software is sold to a company and marketed commercially. O'Neill (2012) notes that open source can be viewed as a change agent. It has altered the way software and operating systems are produced and marketed, and has even modified the way knowledge is created. In this way, open source has also redefined business and marketing models around the world (Bonaccorsi, Giannageli, & Rossi, 2006; von Krogh & Spaeth, 2007).

BACKGROUND

An excellent example of this is the way in which open source has made deep inroads into the realm of mobile technologies (Chao, 2011). While "free" applications for mobile devices – smart phones, cell phones, tablets, etc. – are available for download, they are not open source products. These "free" applications, or "apps" are merely trial or limited versions of more complete products that users are tempted to buy in order to get the most complete functionality (Schnackenberg, Vega, & Heymann, 2014). True open source mobile applications come complete to the user when downloaded, and their source code is available for altering (Syer, Nagappan, Hassan, & Adams, 2013). In fact, the operating system of the Android smart phone itself is open source (Butler, 2011). Presently, the number of open source mobile applications (apps) available to download on smart phones that assist the user in completing day-to-day activities is growing exponentially. It is likely that smart phone, cell phone, and tablet users all search for free, open source, "apps" before they download the ones that are commercially produced. Given that, it is clear that open source has indeed impacted mobile technologies and will continue to do so for the foreseeable future.

In many senses, open source is a prevalent common practice of the hacker community (Sarma & Matheus, 2015; Soderberg & Delfanti, 2015), and a strongly held belief of technological idealists (Lakhani & Wolf, 2007). It is perhaps a type of high-tech grassroots movement. Open source products and applications are a manifestation of these practices and beliefs from which lay people can benefit. In his book, *The Cathedral and the Bazaar*, Raymond (2005) famously likens the culture and the creation process of open source to a bazaar, or an open market, where everyone has some unique goods to offer that all interplay for the benefit of the whole. Raymond contrasts this to the very hierarchical way in which ancient cathedrals were built. Despite its philosophical underpinnings and model of operations running entirely counter to conventional wisdom about how knowledge is created and how traditional business models function, the open source movement has taken a strong foothold in our technological culture (Weber, 2005).

Issues, Controversies, Problems

Several challenges with open source and open source products exist with varying degrees of frustration for developers and users (Meeker, 2008). The following is a description of some of the most prevalent issues with open source:

• **Confusing Licensure:** For the most part, OSS is free to modify for individual user needs. *Copyleft* is a term commonly associated with open source. It is a form of licensing that makes use of copyright law to ensure that a software, and its subsequent upgrades, can always be freely modified by users (van Holst, 2013). Different open source products, or different versions of open source prod-

7 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be

purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/open-source/214682

Related Content

Participatory Sensing for City-Scale Applications

Tridib Mukherjee, Deepthi Chander, Sharanya Eswaranand Koustuv Dasgupta (2017). *Mobile Application Development, Usability, and Security (pp. 210-230).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/participatory-sensing-for-city-scale-applications/169683

An mLearning Journey: Mobile Web 2.0 Critical Success Factors

Thomas Cochrane (2012). *International Journal of Handheld Computing Research (pp. 44-57)*. www.irma-international.org/article/mlearning-journey-mobile-web-critical/67096

Bodily Engagement in Multimodal Interaction: A Basis for a New Design Paradigm?

Kai Tuuri, Antti Pirhonenand Pasi Välkkynen (2010). *Multimodality in Mobile Computing and Mobile Devices: Methods for Adaptable Usability (pp. 137-165).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/bodily-engagement-multimodal-interaction/38539

Outsourced Secure Face Recognition Based on CKKS Homomorphic Encryption in Cloud Computing

Liu Jiasen, Wang Xu An, Chen Bowei, Tu Zhengand Zhao Kaiyang (2021). *International Journal of Mobile Computing and Multimedia Communications (pp. 27-43).*

www.irma-international.org/article/outsourced-secure-face-recognition-based-on-ckks-homomorphic-encryption-in-cloudcomputing/284392

3D Maps in Mobile Devices: Pathway Analysis for Interactive Navigation Aid

Teddy Mantoro, Adamu I. Abubakarand Media A. Ayu (2013). *International Journal of Mobile Computing and Multimedia Communications (pp. 88-106).*

www.irma-international.org/article/maps-mobile-devices/80429