

## Chapter 114

# A Study of Contemporary System Performance Testing Framework

**Alex Ng**

*Federation University, Australia*

**Shiping Chen**

*CSIRO Data61, Australia*

### ABSTRACT

*Performance testing is one of the vital activities spanning the whole life cycle of software engineering. As a result, there are a considerable number of performance testing products and open source tools available. It has been observed that most of the existing performance testing products and tools are either too expensive and complicated for small projects or too specific and simple for diverse performance tests. In this chapter, the authors present an overview of existing performance test products/tools, provide a summary of some of the contemporary system performance testing frameworks, and capture the key requirements for a general-purpose performance testing framework. Based on previous works, the authors propose a system performance testing framework that is suitable for both simple and small as well as complicated and large-scale performance testing projects. The core of the framework contains an abstraction to facilitate performance testing by separating the application logic from the common performance testing functionality and a general-purpose data model.*

### INTRODUCTION

System Performance Testing is a vital activity spanning the Software Development Life Cycle (Siva & Sharma, 2006). There are different types of test: Functionality, Graphics, Collision, Performance, Gaming, User Interface, Progression, Correlation, Numerical, Conformance, Interoperability and many more. In this chapter, we find special interest in System Performance Testing. The purpose of system performance testing is to identify bottlenecks by measuring a system's responsiveness and scalability under a certain load (Sarojadevi, 2011). Load testing provides a means for assessing the behavior of a

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-7598-6.ch114

system under varying traffic burdens. Performance testing is able to provide simulation and modeling help enterprises experiment not just with load but also with specific situations, such as large numbers of users performing the same activity conducting a search or completing an online transaction simultaneously (Menascé, 2002). Performance tests conducted over time provide a good landscape trend which helps companies plan and test for future needs. Such testing can help determine what hardware resources need to be purchased to achieve desired future capabilities (Jain, 1991).

In this chapter, we discuss some of the contemporary system performance testing frameworks and present a general-purpose testing framework for both simple and complicated performance testing. We observed that few of the system performance testing frameworks available have been widely used in industry or the research community (Yigitbasi, Iosup, Epema, & Ostermann, 2009). Our framework proposes an abstraction to facilitate performance testing by separating the application logic from the common performance testing functionalities.

The rest of this chapter is organized as follows. We first provide a definition of system performance and the requirements for system performance testing framework. Afterward, we present a discussion of some of the contemporary system performance testing frameworks. Lastly, we propose a system performance framework with a sample implementation to demonstrate the applicability of this framework.

## **BACKGROUND**

According to (Meier, Farre, Bansode, Barber, & Rea, 2007), Performance Testing is defined as the technical investigation done to determine or validate the speed, scalability, and/or stability characteristics of the product under test. Performance-related activities, such as testing and tuning, are concerned with achieving response times, throughput, and resource-utilization levels that meet the performance objectives for the application under test.

(Meier et al., 2007) classify performance metrics into the following categories:

- **Network-Specific Metrics:** A set of metrics about the overall behavior of the network used to support the system.
- **System-Related Metrics:** A set of metrics helps identify the resource utilization of the system.
- **Platform-Specific Metrics:** A set of metrics related to software that is used to host the application system, such as the Microsoft .NET Framework common language runtime (CLR) and ASP.NET-related metrics.
- **Application-Specific Metrics:** These include custom performance counters inserted into the application code to monitor application health and identify performance issues.
- **Service-Level Metrics:** A set of metrics help measure overall application throughput and latency, or they might be tied to specific business scenarios.
- **Business Metrics:** These metrics are indicators of business-related information, such as the number of orders placed in a given timeframe for a particular department.

There are some common system performance metrics for enterprise systems, such as: *Response Time*, *Latency*, and *Throughput*. In some contexts it's customary to call these things by different names: *Throughput and Response Time*, or *Capacity and Delay*, or *Bandwidth and Latency*. We provide the following definitions to avoid ambiguity:

14 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

[www.igi-global.com/chapter/a-study-of-contemporary-system-performance-testing-framework/214720](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/a-study-of-contemporary-system-performance-testing-framework/214720)

## Related Content

---

### Mobile Application Testing

Vijay Ekambaram, Vivek Sharma and Nitendra Rajput (2017). *Mobile Application Development, Usability, and Security* (pp. 25-53).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/mobile-application-testing/169675](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/mobile-application-testing/169675)

### The Influence of Image on the Acceptance of Mobile Technology: A Small Businesses Perspective

Renatus Michael Mushi (2022). *International Journal of Mobile Devices, Wearable Technology, and Flexible Electronics* (pp. 1-9).

[www.irma-international.org/article/the-influence-of-image-on-the-acceptance-of-mobile-technology/311434](http://www.irma-international.org/article/the-influence-of-image-on-the-acceptance-of-mobile-technology/311434)

### Proposed Framework for Mobile Decision Support Systems for Higher Learning Institutions: Mobile Decision Support Systems

Eliamani Sedoyeka and Sophia Shabani Baruti (2016). *International Journal of Handheld Computing Research* (pp. 24-37).

[www.irma-international.org/article/proposed-framework-for-mobile-decision-support-systems-for-higher-learning-institutions/175346](http://www.irma-international.org/article/proposed-framework-for-mobile-decision-support-systems-for-higher-learning-institutions/175346)

### Robust Intelligent Control of Mobile Robots

Gordon Fraser, Gerald Steinbauer, Jörg Weber and Franz Wotawa (2009). *Mobile Computing: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 597-617).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/robust-intelligent-control-mobile-robots/26534](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/robust-intelligent-control-mobile-robots/26534)

### Improving Park Maintenance Efficiency Using a Mobile Application

Ryan Trenholme and Ramon Lawrence (2018). *International Journal of Mobile Devices, Wearable Technology, and Flexible Electronics* (pp. 1-17).

[www.irma-international.org/article/improving-park-maintenance-efficiency-using-a-mobile-application/235485](http://www.irma-international.org/article/improving-park-maintenance-efficiency-using-a-mobile-application/235485)