

Behavioral and Physiological Responses to Computers in the Ultimatum Game

Aleksandra Swiderska, Department of Psychology, Warsaw University, Warsaw, Poland

Eva G. Krumhuber, Department of Experimental Psychology, University College London, London, UK

Arvid Kappas, Department of Psychology and Methods, Jacobs University Bremen, Bremen, Germany

ABSTRACT

This article describes how studies in the area of decision-making suggest clear differences in behavioral responses to humans versus computers. The current objective was to investigate decision-making in an economic game played only with computer partners. In Experiment 1, participants were engaged in the ultimatum game with computer agents and regular computers while their physiological responses were recorded. In Experiment 2, an identical setup of the game was used, but the ethnicity of the computer agents was manipulated. As expected, almost all equitable monetary splits offered by the computer were accepted. The acceptance rates gradually decreased when the splits became less fair. Although the obtained behavioral pattern implied a reaction to violation of the rule of fairness by the computer in the game, no evidence was found for participants' corresponding emotional involvement. The findings contribute to the body of research on human-computer interaction and suggest that social effects of computers can be attenuated.

KEYWORDS

Agency, Anthropomorphism, Decision-making, Ethopoeia, Proposer, Psychophysiology, Threshold Model of Social Influence,

INTRODUCTION

Humans have a natural tendency to perceive non-human and non-living entities in terms of human qualities – they readily attribute the power to act or to intentionally behave in a certain way to animals, natural forces, gods, and technological gadgets, and thus create human-like agents in the surrounding environment (Waytz, Epley, & Cacioppo, 2010). One of the most scrutinized inanimate social actors is the computer. In this context, experiments that investigated the mechanisms of human-human interactions (HHI) were systematically adapted to the study of human-computer interactions (HCI). Substituting participants' interaction partner with a regular computer, it was shown that people adhere to politeness norms when they respond to computers, apply gender stereotypes, and take part in mutual self-disclosure (e.g. Nass, Moon, & Carney, 1999; Nass, Moon, & Green, 1997). The term “media equation” was coined to refer to these effects (Reeves & Nass, 1996). Invoking the ethopoeia approach, they were explained to occur due to the mindlessness of the computer users who unconsciously implement accessible behavioral scripts suited for social exchange in settings that involve computers, even though social cues in such settings are sometimes minimal (Nass & Moon, 2000).

Computers with anthropomorphic characters as interfaces have been demonstrated to instantly evoke reactions normally reserved for humans (Yee, Bailenson, & Rickertsen, 2007). However, it is especially the plausibility of the characters' behavior that produces enough social cues to engender

DOI: 10.4018/IJTHI.2019010103

interpersonal dynamics (Tinwell, Grimshaw, Nabi, & Williams, 2011). Behavioral realism and agency are two crucial factors responsible for the meaningfulness of HCI within the Threshold Model of Social Influence, an alternative to the ethopoeia approach (Blascovich et al., 2002). Behavioral realism denotes the extent to which the computer-generated characters act in a manner consistent with the user's expectations shaped throughout socialization, so mainly in everyday face-to-face interactions. It is even thought to be more essential than anthropomorphic appearance itself, which primarily serves to aid perception of given behaviors. Agency refers to whether artificial characters are perceived to accurately depict real people in real time.

How agency afforded by avatars (virtual personifications of the users operating in real time) and agents (virtual characters driven by a computer algorithm) influences people's responses in HCI remains unclear. Ethopoeia presumes that if avatars and agents exhibit enough social cues, they will elicit comparable social responses. This is parallel to how people would be expected to respond to regular computers, as demonstrated by research described earlier. Conversely, the Threshold Model of Social Influence predicts that since avatars represent real humans, their perceived agency is always greater. Hence, their social impact should be more pronounced in comparison to the agents. An interesting line of research that accommodated the versatile comparison of reactions to avatars and agents on the one hand and to humans and regular computers on the other was founded on a simple social decision-making paradigm frequently used in psychological experiments – the ultimatum game.

Typically, the ultimatum game engages two players, called the proposer and the responder. They have an amount of money to split between themselves. The proposer offers a certain amount to the responder and the responder's task is to either accept or reject it. If the offer is accepted, the money is distributed as determined by the proposer. If it is rejected, neither of the players gets the money. From the standard economic decision-making point of view, the proposer should offer the smallest possible amount and the responder should always accept it. In such a scenario, the players would take the only rational course of action – they would make decisions to boost their monetary gains (Becker, 2013). Nevertheless, it appears that proposers are inclined to split the money fairly and offer respondents approximately 50% of the amount at their disposal. Respondents frequently refuse lower offers and the rejection rates grow as the offers become less fair (Nowak, Page, & Sigmund, 2000).

Unfair offers elicit anger and disgust in the respondents – emotions that arise in reaction to the perceived violations of social rules (e.g., Chapman, Kim, Susskind, & Anderson, 2009). Rejection of the unfair offer is the means to punish the proposer for the social transgression and people tend to choose punishment even though it is paired with lack of financial gains for them as well (Fehr & Gächter, 2002). Emotional components of decisions concerning unjust offers have been tackled further in studies that incorporated physiological measurements. Elevated electrodermal response (activity of sweat glands in the skin) may indicate emotional arousal and personal implication, and accompanies both reception and refusal of unfair offers (van't Wout, Kahn, Sanfey, & Aleman, 2006). Activation of facial muscles at the site of *Corrugator Supercilii* (the muscle responsible for drawing the eyebrows to the middle and downward) has been linked to expressions of negative affect (visible as frowning), and together with the activation at other muscle sites has been associated respectively with anger (Cannon, Schnall, & White, 2011) and disgust (Krumhuber, Tsankova, & Kappas, 2016).

Notably, the full-fledged behavioral and emotional reactions take place particularly when people believe to play the game against another person. Unfair splits generated by a computer are accepted more willingly (Sanfey, Rilling, Aronson, Nystrom, & Cohen, 2003). Ethopoeia effects in tasks that directly compare responses to computers with responses to humans are thereby attenuated – the overall magnitude of socio-affective reactions to computers diminishes. In an iteration of a decision-making dilemma with avatars and agents, the patterns of participants' behavioral responses were analogous to the responses to humans and regular computers found in prior research (de Melo, Gratch, & Carnevale, 2013). This was interpreted as evidence in support of the importance of the agency component in HCI. However, the responses to avatars seemed to be addressed to the individuals behind them and responses to agents were really directed at an object. What would happen if human partners were removed from this equation?

11 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/article/behavioral-and-physiological-responses-to-computers-in-the-ultimatum-game/214929

Related Content

Informational Literacy as Key Element in Social and Digital Inclusion Policies in Mexico

Javier Tarango and Celia Mireles-Cárdenas (2016). *Handbook of Research on Comparative Approaches to the Digital Age Revolution in Europe and the Americas* (pp. 385-408).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/informational-literacy-as-key-element-in-social-and-digital-inclusion-policies-in-mexico/138046

Empowering People Rather Than Connecting Them

Roderick Murray-Smith (2009). *International Journal of Mobile Human Computer Interaction* (pp. 18-28).

www.irma-international.org/article/empowering-people-rather-than-connecting/34074

Different Levels of Information Systems Designers' Forms of Thought and Potential for Human-Centered Design

Hannakaisa Isomäki (2007). *International Journal of Technology and Human Interaction* (pp. 30-48).

www.irma-international.org/article/different-levels-information-systems-designers/2895

Behavioral and Physiological Responses to Computers in the Ultimatum Game

Aleksandra Swiderska, Eva G. Krumhuber and Arvid Kappas (2019). *International Journal of Technology and Human Interaction* (pp. 33-45).

www.irma-international.org/article/behavioral-and-physiological-responses-to-computers-in-the-ultimatum-game/214929

User-Driven Innovation as Mutual but Asymmetrical Learning

Anne Marie Kanstrup and Ellen Christiansen (2009). *International Journal of Technology and Human Interaction* (pp. 1-12).

www.irma-international.org/article/user-driven-innovation-mutual-asymmetrical/4097