

Chapter 4

Public Accommodations: Discrimination and Sexual Orientation

ABSTRACT

The chapter examines potential issues posed by the wide variety of state public accommodation statutes in the context of sexual orientation and religious freedom. The historical approach to antidiscrimination will briefly be examined. A review of recent cases of discrimination due to the legalization of same-sex marriage are analyzed in the context of the arguments regarding freedom of speech and freedom of religion.

INTRODUCTION

Probably the most contentious issues surrounding same-sex marriage involve whether private individuals and companies can—primarily on religious grounds—legally refuse to provide services they generally provide to other couples (*Burwell v. Hobby Lobby Stores*, 2014). Can a private chapel or other venue refuse to host a same-sex wedding, a florist refuse to provide flowers, a bakery refuse to bake a wedding cake, a professional photographer refuse to photograph the reception, a transportation service refuse to rent a limo, a caterer refuse to provide food, a bridal boutique refuse to provide gowns, etc.? These issues were already cropping up around the United States well before *Obergefell v. Hodges* (2015) in those jurisdictions that permitted same-sex marriage and have since escalated (Wong, 2016).

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-7961-8.ch004

Do the rights of freedom of speech and freedom of religion allow a business owner to refuse service to a potential customer or client because of the actual or perceived sexual orientation of that customer or client? Put another way, does the right to be free from discrimination of any kind override the business owner's rights to free speech or freedom of religion? Does one right trump another? The focus of this chapter is the change in our values and beliefs associated with the notion that all people, regardless of sexual orientation, have the right to be free from discrimination and how our courts are reconciling this notion with our fundamental First Amendment rights.

This chapter is divided into three parts. First, the historical approach to antidiscrimination will be briefly examined. Second, the facts and situations of recent cases of discrimination due to sexual orientation will be discussed. Finally, the arguments regarding freedom of speech and freedom of religion are analyzed in the context of the antidiscrimination laws.

ANTIDISCRIMINATION AND VALUE CHANGES

In 1964, for the first time since the end of Reconstruction, Congress enacted a law banning race discrimination in public accommodations, Title II of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. It is difficult today to understand why this law, which forbade hotels, restaurants, gas stations, and places of entertainment to discriminate based on race, color, or national origin, gave rise to such heated opposition and the longest filibuster in the history of the U.S. Senate. Landsberg (2015) in *Public Accommodations and the Civil Rights Act of 1964: A Surprising Success?* stated that “today, Title II gets little attention, while other non-discrimination laws, such as fair employment, fair housing, and voting rights legislation continue to produce litigation and garner public attention; yet, in 1963 and 1964, Title II was considered a key provision, as well as a very controversial one.” This is certainly not the case today with the legalization of same-sex marriage. The ascendancy of gay rights has been accompanied by a rise in assertions of religious freedom. These assertions have primarily taken the form of state legislative initiatives (Barbaro & Eckholm, 2015; Eilperin, 2014). Supporters have cited perceived growing threats and hostility to religious liberty as a basis for such initiatives. As illustrated in an interview conducted by Kristina Torres (2015) in *Clergy Clash on ‘Religious Liberty’ Efforts at Capitol*, the executive director of the Georgia Baptist Convention, J. Robert White states:

27 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/public-accommodations/220355

Related Content

Crowd Dynamics Analysis: GAN-Powered Insights for Enhanced Public Safety

Harshita Chourasia, Neha Tiwari, Shraddha Raut, Anansingh Thinakaranand Anirudh A. Bhagwat (2024). *Enhancing Security in Public Spaces Through Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs)* (pp. 89-101).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/crowd-dynamics-analysis/347461

Incentivizing Patients to Live Healthy Lives: Does it Work?

Markus Lungen, Anna Marie Passonand Stephanie Stock (2012). *International Journal of Public and Private Healthcare Management and Economics* (pp. 1-6).

www.irma-international.org/article/incentivizing-patients-live-healthy-lives/68797

Pilot Portfolio Model: Portuguese Navy

Ricardo Simplicio, Jorge Gomesand Mário Romão (2021). *Research Anthology on Military and Defense Applications, Utilization, Education, and Ethics* (pp. 584-595).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/pilot-portfolio-model/284338

How Would a Ban on Prescriber-Identifying Information Impact Pharmaceutical Marketing?

Doug Walker (2013). *Healthcare Management and Economics: Perspectives on Public and Private Administration* (pp. 141-153).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/would-ban-prescriber-identifying-information/75014

Using Social Media to Inform and Engage Urban Dwellers in La Paz, Mexico

Victoria Basoloand Anaid Yerena (2017). *International Journal of Public Administration in the Digital Age* (pp. 11-28).

www.irma-international.org/article/using-social-media-to-inform-and-engage-urban-dwellers-in-la-paz-mexico/181605