Chapter I Commodity, Firmness, and Delight: Four Modes of Instructional Design Practice

Brad Hokanson

University of Minnesota, USA

Charles Miller

University of Minnesota, USA

Simon Hooper

Penn State University, USA

This chapter is interactive, with surveys and reflective examinations of the reader's own work in instructional design. It examines instructional design using four professional models: manufacturer, engineer, architect and artist to help develop a broader understanding of the process of design. The values of the instructional design are also challenged, with the chapter examining the balance between utility and aesthetics, function and form. It concludes with a call for the instructional designer to work more as an artist, and offers tactics to encourage that change.

INTRODUCTION

How do *you* solve an instructional design problem? Do you attempt to craft a solution based on the unique demands of each problem and the application of well researched instructional strategies? Or do you build upon an existing model, one that has worked many times before, selecting from solutions developed for a range of previous projects?

Your work is directly connected to your conceptualization of your role within the field of instructional design. And that conception includes assumptions and biases about processes, theories, and products. In the course of this chapter we will ask you to re-conceptualize your professional practice as an instructional designer and to recognize the roles of instructional manufacturer, instructional engineer, instructional architect, and instructional artist. We will describe how the working ethos of each shapes their practice.

What then, would happen if you were an *instructional artist*? As an instructional artist, you might be encouraged to create fundamentally different designs and work in a completely different manner. You might begin from an idea, engaging and desirable, but unconnected with learning, only later to apply it to instruction. It might work; it might not; but the application would be entirely different. We can see that the perspective through which we view ourselves biases how we understand and address problems.

Your Balance in Design

The following survey is intended to stimulate personal reflection and discussion of the ideas included in this chapter. Participating in the survey will help you to engage with the article, to stimulate understanding of the concepts presented, and to reflect on your personal practice as an instructional designer. The survey was built from the characteristics which will be explored in this chapter, and will focus on the Vitruvian

Table 1. Survey

Q1	Are you a teacher or an artist?	Teacher		 Artist	
Q2	Which is more important: a functionally useful product or a stable product?	Functionally useful		 Stable	
Q3	Should media be used as tools or content providers?	Content providers		 Tools	
Q4	Which is more important: pedagogical soundness or innovation?	Pedagogically sound	d	 Innovative	
Q5	Which is more important: software usability or utility?	Usability		 Utility	
Q6	Which is more important: software stability or visual richness?	Stability		 Visual richness	
Q7	Should designs be easy to use or motivating to the learner?	Easy to use		 Motivating	
Q8	Which is more important: functional capability or learner motivation?	Functional capabilit	у	 Motivation	
Q9	Should people or design experiences be more central in ID?	People		 Design Experiences	
Q10	Which is more important: pedagogical soundness or efficiency?	Pedagogical soundn	ess	Efficiency	
Q11	Should products or experiences be more central in ID?	ID Products		 ID Experiences	

Continued on following page

15 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/commodity-firmness-delight/22085

Related Content

Digital Storytelling as a Culturally Responsive Instructional Strategy for Pacific Islanders in Guam and Micronesia

Catherine E. Stoicovyand Matilda Naputi Rivera (2019). *International Journal of Online Pedagogy and Course Design (pp. 33-43).*

www.irma-international.org/article/digital-storytelling-as-a-culturally-responsive-instructional-strategy-for-pacific-islanders-inguam-and-micronesia/223900

The Politics of E-Learning: A Game Theory Analysis

Celia Romm-Livermore, Mahesh S. Raisinghaniand Pierlugi Rippa (2016). *International Journal of Online Pedagogy and Course Design (pp. 1-14).*

www.irma-international.org/article/the-politics-of-e-learning/147742

Brevity is the Soul of Wit: Twitter in the Shakespeare Classroom

Michael Ullyot (2018). Student Engagement and Participation: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 920-930).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/brevity-is-the-soul-of-wit/183546

Community-Based Field Experiences in Teacher Education: Theory and Method

Heidi L. Hallman (2022). Research Anthology on Service Learning and Community Engagement Teaching Practices (pp. 421-439).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/community-based-field-experiences-in-teacher-education/296318

The Use of Images in Online Learning: A Qualitative Content Analysis

Jason D. Bader, Chareen Snelson, Patrick R. Lowenthaland Norm Friesen (2022). *International Journal of Online Pedagogy and Course Design (pp. 1-16).*

 $\underline{www.irma-international.org/article/the-use-of-images-in-online-learning/302088}$