#### INFORMATION SCIENCE PUBLISHING



701 E. Chocolate Avenue, Suite 200, Hershey PA 17033, USA Tel: 717/533-8845; Fax 717/533-8661; URL-http://www.idea-group.com

ITB11541

This chapter appears in the book, Knowledge Management and Higher Education: A Critical Analysis edited by Amy Scott Metcalfe © 2006, Idea Group Inc.

# **Chapter III**

# Ontologies in Higher Education

John Milam HigherEd.org, Inc., USA

### **Abstract**

This chapter provides an introduction to the use of ontologies and taxonomies in higher education. After a brief introduction to the nature of ontology, examples of ontology in higher education are reviewed. Issues in creating taxonomies, including their incorporation into search engines and concept maps, are then discussed. Software solutions for developing and utilizing taxonomies are presented next, along with problems and issues for implementation. Finally, future trends in the development of KM strategies for ontology are discussed.

# Introduction

Knowledge Management (KM) is based in large part on systems that help users focus their attention on key information that is relevant, timely, and available ondemand. The preparation of this information requires processes for knowledge

Copyright © 2006, Idea Group Inc. Copying or distributing in print or electronic forms without written permission of Idea Group Inc. is prohibited.

acquisition, engineering, and representation because "knowledge and expertise are embedded within otherwise diverse and scattered information sources" (Convera, 2004a, p.1).

Necessary to KM strategies is the act of "imposing a structure on the knowledge acquired in order to manage it effectively" (Benjamins et al., 1999, p. 1). This is because most information is unstructured, doesn't fit easily into database models, and is at best "difficult to manage." "Leveraging unstructured information is a chronic challenge for companies competing intoday's economy," explains Venkata (2002, p. S12). Ontologies or taxonomies which categorize information represent "the most promising approach to solving the growing problem of information overload" (Inxight, 2003, p. 2).

In her discussion of taxonomies in the marketplace, Gumport explains that "Higher education often sees itself as an enterprise so unabashedly complex that it can't be sorted, classified, or pigeonholed" (1997, p. 23). There is, however, a long history of grand classification schemes in higher education, including those of the National Center for Higher Education Management Systems (NCHEMS), the U.S. Department of Education, the National Science Foundation (NSF), and The Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching.

This chapter provides an introduction to the use of ontologies and taxonomies in higher education. After a brief introduction to the nature of ontology, examples of ontology in higher education are reviewed. Issues in creating taxonomies, including their incorporation into search engines and concept maps, are then discussed. Software solutions for developing and utilizing taxonomies are presented next, along with problems and issues for implementation. Finally, future trends in the development of KM strategies for ontology are discussed.

# The Nature of Ontology

An ontology is defined by Noy and McGuinness (2000, p. 1) as "a common vocabulary for researchers who need to share information in a domain. It includes machine-interpretable definitions of basic concepts in the domain and relations among them." The domain is the subject area and ontologies are, basically, systems of categories (Sowa, 2004a). While there is an obvious

Copyright © 2006, Idea Group Inc. Copying or distributing in print or electronic forms without written permission of Idea Group Inc. is prohibited.

26 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: <a href="www.igi-global.com/chapter/ontologies-higher-education/24967">www.igi-global.com/chapter/ontologies-higher-education/24967</a>

#### Related Content

# Developing Teaching Presence in Online Learning Through Shared Stakeholder Responsibility

Carol Johnsonand Noha Altowairiki (2017). *Handbook of Research on Innovative Pedagogies and Technologies for Online Learning in Higher Education (pp. 151-177).* 

www.irma-international.org/chapter/developing-teaching-presence-in-online-learning-through-shared-stakeholder-responsibility/174571

#### Justifying the ROI of Social Media Investment in Education

Vladlena Bensonand Stephanie Morgan (2014). Cutting-Edge Technologies and Social Media Use in Higher Education (pp. 380-393).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/justifying-the-roi-of-social-media-investment-in-education/101182

#### The Case of a Curriculum-Driven Experiential Learning Lab for Business Students

Susan Schultz Kleine (2013). Cases on Higher Education Spaces: Innovation, Collaboration, and Technology (pp. 356-365).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/case-curriculum-driven-experiential-learning/72685

#### Adopting Information Technologies for Instructional Environments

Siva Kumari (2000). Case Studies on Information Technology in Higher Education: Implications for Policy and Practice (pp. 228-239).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/adopting-information-technologies-instructional-environments/6356

Applying Technology in a Classroom Setting, where Procedural Learning is the Focus Elliott Currie (2013). Cases on Quality Teaching Practices in Higher Education (pp. 96-105). www.irma-international.org/chapter/applying-technology-classroom-setting-procedural/75491