Chapter 1.20 Evaluating Mobile Human-Computer Interaction

Chris Baber

The University of Birmingham, UK

ABSTRACT

In this chapter the evaluation of human computer interaction (HCI) with mobile technologies is considered. The ISO 9241 notion of 'context of use' helps to define evaluation in terms of the 'fitnessfor-purpose' of a given device to perform given tasks by given users in given environments. It is suggested that conventional notions of usability can be useful for considering some aspects of the design of displays and interaction devices, but that additional approaches are needed to fully understand the use of mobile technologies. These additional approaches involve dual-task studies in which the device is used whilst performing some other activity, and subjective evaluation on the impact of the technology on the person.

INTRODUCTION

This chapter assumes that 'usability' is not a feature of a product, that is, it does not make sense to call a product itself 'usable'. Rather, usability

is the consequence of a given user employing a given product to perform a given activity in a given environment. Holcomb and Tharp (1991) proposed a 'model' of interface usability, which is illustrated by Table 1. The definitions presented in Table 1 arose from consideration of the user interface of desk-based computers. However, it ought to be apparent that the majority of the components are defined in terms of an individual's perceptions of features of the user interface.

The International Standards Organization has a number of standards relevant to human-computer interaction (Bevan, 2001). Current standards for mobile devices tend to focus on product attributes, for example, ISO 18021: Information Technology—User Interface for Mobiles (2001) provides interface specifications for Personal Digital Assistants. Other Standards have recognized the multifaceted nature of usability and have sought to encourage an approach that is similar to Quality Assessment (Earthey et al., 2001). Demonstrating compliance with the standards requires analysts to document their evaluation, demonstrating how it meets the objectives of the standard. The

Table 1.	Holcomb	and Thar	p's (199	1) "mod	del" of ini	terface u	sability
				,			

Component	Term		
Functional	Able to accomplish tasks for which software is intended		
	Perform tasks reliably and without errors		
Consistent	Consistent key definitions		
	Show similar information at same place on screens		
	Uniform command syntax		
Natural and Intuitive	Learnable through natural conceptual model		
	Familiar terms and natural language		
Minimal memorization	Provide status information		
	Don't require information entered once to be re-entered		
	Provide lists of choices and allow picking from the lists		
	Provide default values for input fields		
Feedback	Prompt before destructive operations like DELETE		
	Show icons and other visual indicators		
	Immediate problem and error notification		
	Messages that provide specific instructions for action		
User help	Online help system available		
	Informative, written documentation		
User control	Ability to undo results of prior commands		
	Ability to re-order or cancel tasks		
	Allow operating system actions to be performed within		
	the interface		

definition of usability offered by the International Standards Organization, that is, in ISO9241, part 11, is, "... the extent to which a product can be used by specified users to achieve specified goals with effectiveness, efficiency and satisfaction in a specified context of use." (ISO9241-11, 1998). The implications are that, first, usability is the consequence of a given user employing a given product to perform a given activity in a given environment (as stated) and, second, that it is possible to measure aspects of this relationship in terms of effectiveness, efficiency, and user satisfaction. It is important to note that these three aspects are inter-connected and that any evaluation activity ought to try to measure some aspect of each (Frøkjær et al., 2000).

Defining Evaluation Targets

If one is able to speak of measures, then it makes sense to be able to determine some criteria that indicate good or poor performance on these measures. Good et al. (1986) proposed that it is important to define both evaluation targets and metrics that relate to these targets. For example, in a study of conferencing systems, Whiteside et al. (1988) identified 10 attributes that they felt reflected the use of the conferencing system, for example, ranging from a fear of feeling foolish to a number of errors made during task performance. For each attribute, Whiteside et al. (1988) defined a method for collecting data about that attribute, for example, questionnaires, observation, and so

13 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/evaluating-mobile-human-computer-interaction/26502

Related Content

Memorizing Algorithm: Protecting User Privacy using Historical Information of Location–Based Services

Quynh Chi Truong, Anh Tuan Truongand Tran Khanh Dang (2010). *International Journal of Mobile Computing and Multimedia Communications (pp. 65-86).*

www.irma-international.org/article/memorizing-algorithm-protecting-user-privacy/47331

Using Communication Frequency and Recency Context to Facilitate Mobile Contact List Retrieval

Athanasios Plessas, Vassilios Stefanis, Andreas Komninosand John Garofalakis (2013). *International Journal of Handheld Computing Research (pp. 52-71).*

 $\underline{\text{www.irma-international.org/article/using-communication-frequency-and-recency-context-to-facilitate-mobile-contact-list-retrieval/103153}$

Adoption of Mobile Video-Call Service: An Exploratory Study

Ángel Hernández-García, Ángel Francisco Agudo-Peregrinaand Santiago Iglesias-Pradas (2013). *Strategy, Adoption, and Competitive Advantage of Mobile Services in the Global Economy (pp. 49-72).*www.irma-international.org/chapter/adoption-mobile-video-call-service/68075

Node Placement Strategy in Wireless Sensor Network

Puteri Azwa Ahmad, M. Mahmuddinand Mohd Hasbullah Omar (2013). *International Journal of Mobile Computing and Multimedia Communications (pp. 18-31).*

www.irma-international.org/article/node-placement-strategy-wireless-sensor/78383

Component Agent Systems: Building a Mobile Agent Architecture That You Can Reuse

Paulo Marquesand Luís Silva (2009). *Mobile Computing: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 3300-3319).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/component-agent-systems/26725