701 E. Chocolate Avenue, Suite 200, Hershey PA 17033-1240, USA Tel: 717/533-8845; Fax 717/533-8661; URL-http://www.igi-global.com

This paper appears in the publication, International Journal of Mobile Human Computer Interaction, Volume 1, Issue 1 edited by Joanna Lumsden © 2008, IGI Global

Appropriating Heuristic Evaluation for Mobile Computing

E. Bertini, University of Fribourg, Switzerland

T. Catarci, University of Rome "La Sapienza," Italy

A. Dix, Lancaster University, UK

S. Gabrielli, University of Udine, Italy

S. Kimani, Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology, Kenya

G. Santucci, University of Rome "La Sapienza," Italy

ABSTRACT

Heuristic evaluation has proven popular for desktop and web interfaces, both in practical design and as a research topic. Compared to full user studies, heuristic evaluation can be highly cost-effective, allowing a large proportion of usability flaws to be detected ahead of full development with limited resource investment. Mobile computing shares many usability issues with more conventional interfaces. However, it also poses particular problems for usability evaluation related to aspects such as limited screen real estate, intermittent user attention, and contextual factors. This article describes a modified collection of usability heuristics that are designed to be appropriate for evaluation in mobile computing. They have been systematically derived from extensive literature and empirically validated. They therefore offer a sound basis for heuristic-based evaluation in mobile computing. Besides introducing the reader to the practical use of heuristic evaluation, the article also closes with a description of potential future research in the area.

Keywords: context; heuristic evaluation; mobile computing; usability heuristics; usability problems

INTRODUCTION

Expert-based evaluation techniques, such as heuristic inspection (Nielsen et al., 1990) and cognitive walkthrough (Wharton et al., 1994) typically benefit from providing evaluators with guidance (for instance, a set of heuristics or a checklist) for identifying a prioritized list of usability flaws (Kjeldskov et al., 2005).

Expert-based evaluation techniques are also well-known methods that can realize a relatively quick and easy evaluation.

According to Po (2003), mobile computing devices are typically 'smart products' or 'information appliances', and are generally consumer products. Their users are thus a 'heterogeneous group' (Sade et al., 2002) and so it may be more difficult to find suitable sur-

rogate users for user-based testing in mobile computing (Po, 2003). Po further observes that even if appropriate surrogate users were found, the realistic re-creation of the user context in laboratories would be challenging because of user mobility, which makes observation and video recording difficult (Vetere et al., 2003). "Given the problems associated with user-based evaluations of mobile devices, expert-based usability techniques are considered to be more appropriate" (Po, 2003). However, it is worth noting that expert-based techniques have in the past been criticized for finding proportionately fewer problems in total, and disproportionately more cosmetic problems (Karat et al., 1992). In mobile computing the capacity of expert-based techniques to adequately capture the multiple contextual factors that affect user-system interactions in real settings has been questioned (for instance: Kjeldskov et al., 2003; Johnson, 1998).

We believe that heuristic evaluation can be enriched and adapted toward capturing contextual factors. This article describes how standard heuristic evaluation can be made more appropriate/relevant for mobile computing. In particular, the article describes a modified collection of usability heuristics that are designed to be appropriate for this area. The heuristics have been systematically derived from extensive literature and empirically validated, and so offer a sound basis for heuristic-based evaluation of mobile computing. As well as introducing the reader to the practical use of heuristic evaluation, the article also describes potential future research in the area.

This work has been carried out in the context of MAIS¹, a project whose research goal is to provide a flexible environment to adapt the interaction, and the information and services provided, according to ever changing requirements, execution contexts, and user needs.

The rest of the article is organized as follows: Section 2 highlights some of the challenges posed by mobile devices, applications and context; Section 3 discusses the standard heuristic evaluation method; Section 4 describes the methodology we adopted to appropriate heuristic evaluation for mobile computing and the results that we obtained; Section 5 contains reflections regarding this research activity; and Section 6 concludes the article and highlights some future work.

Our focus in this article is on usability problems in mobile devices and a discussion of their sources. However, this should be set against a broader view of the fantastic world of new opportunities, advantages, and benefits that mobile devices and contexts bring. While we will not touch explicitly on this again, the article should be read in the light that the problems and limitations are ones worth tackling because of the opportunities offered by the technology.

MOBILE DEVICES, APPLICATIONS, AND THEIR CONTEXT

In order to better understand how usability in mobile computing can be evaluated and improved, it is useful to outline specific limitations inherent in mobile devices, applications and their context. These fall into two broad categories: limitations due to the nature of the devices themselves, and limitations due to context of use and style of interaction.

Limits Posed by the Device

- **Small-screen:** In order to be portable, mobiles must necessarily be small, and tend to have small screens, therefore problems due to the screen real estate are intrinsic and can be addressed only by figuring out new techniques to organize information visually.
- **Limited input:** Because of device format, input mechanisms are inherently limited. Currently the most common means of interaction are: numeric keypads, which are used in almost all cell phones; and styluses, which are the primary input means in PDAs and smart phones.

20 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/article/appropriating-heuristic-evaluation-mobile-computing/2760

Related Content

Elastic Interfaces for Visual Data Browsing

Wolfgang Hürst (2006). *Encyclopedia of Human Computer Interaction (pp. 187-195)*. www.irma-international.org/chapter/elastic-interfaces-visual-data-browsing/13121

Piti, Piti, Wazo fe Nich Li (Little by Little, the Bird Builds its Nest): Promoting Change and Health Education in Post-Earthquake Haiti

Shewanee Howard-Baptisteand Mo Baptiste (2017). *Gaming and Technology Addiction: Breakthroughs in Research and Practice (pp. 841-861).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/piti-piti-wazo-fe-nich-li-little-by-little-the-bird-builds-its-nest/162549

ICT Policies Favouring Human Rights

Rolf H. Weber (2013). *Human Rights and Information Communication Technologies: Trends and Consequences of Use (pp. 21-35).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/ict-policies-favouring-human-rights/67745

Appraisal and Mental Contents in Human-Technology Interaction

Pertti Saariluomaand Jussi P.P. Jokinen (2015). *International Journal of Technology and Human Interaction (pp. 1-32).*

www.irma-international.org/article/appraisal-and-mental-contents-in-human-technology-interaction/126184

KYOTO: A Wiki for Establishing Semantic Interoperability for Knowledge Sharing Across Languages and Cultures

Piek Vossen, Eneko Agirre, Francis Bond, Wauter Bosma, Axel Herold, Amanda Hicks, Shu-Kai Hsieh, Hitoshi Isahara, Chu-Ren Huang, Kyoko Kanzaki, Andrea Marchetti, German Rigau, Francesco Ronzano, Roxane Segersand Maurizio Tesconi (2011). Handbook of Research on Culturally-Aware Information Technology: Perspectives and Models (pp. 265-294).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/kyoto-wiki-establishing-semantic-interoperability/45046