



701 E. Chocolate Avenue, Hershey PA 17033-1117, USA Tel: 717/533-8845; Fax 717/533-8661; URL-http://www.irm-press.com ITB9265

### **Chapter XIX**

# A RUP-Based Software Process Supporting Progressive Implementation

Tiago Lima Massoni Universidade Federal de Pernambuco (UFPE), Brazil

Augusto Cesar Alves Sampaio Universidade Federal de Pernambuco (UFPE), Brazil

Paulo Henrique Monteiro Borba Universidade Federal de Pernambuco (UFPE), Brazil

# ABSTRACT

This chapter introduces an extension of the Rational Unified Process (RUP) with a method that supports the progressive, and separate, implementation of three different aspects: persistence, distribution, and concurrence control. This complements RUP with a specific implementation method, called Progressive Implementation Method (Pim), and helps to tame the complexity of applications that are persistent, distributed, and concurrent. By gradually and separately implementing, testing, and validating such applications, we obtain two major benefits: the impact caused by the requirements changes during development is reduced and testing and debugging are simplified. In addition, the authors hope to contribute to solving the lack of a specific implementation method in RUP.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Software development has become a more complex activity over the last years. Clients have been increasingly demanding higher productivity, better software quality, and shorter time to market. Additional strain results from new, common requirements

This chapter appears in the book, *UML and the Unified Process* by Liliana Favre. Copyright © 2003, IRM Press, an imprint of Idea Group Inc. Copying or distributing in print or electronic forms without written permission of Idea Group Inc. is prohibited.

such as distribution and concurrent access. These non-functional issues complicate implementation, testing, and particularly, maintenance activities. Most human and financial resources are driven to maintenance activities (Pressman, 1997).

Industrial software processes, such as the Rational Unified Process (RUP), can be useful in dealing with this complexity. A software process defines a set of software construction, validation, and maintenance activities in order to discipline the overall software development practices in an organization. This is particularly true for RUP, which has been widely adopted by major software organizations (Ambler, 1999). It is a highly comprehensive and detailed process, yet focuses on requirements, analysis, and design activities.

Regarding the coding process, implementation methods are important to address the complexity of design decisions during coding activities, especially for non-functional concerns. In order to simplify those activities, we argue that it is useful to tackle functional and non-functional concerns separately. In fact, whereas architectural and design activities should jointly consider functional and non-functional concerns (Waldo et al., 1997), implementation activities can benefit from the separation of the two concerns.

In this context, an implementation method might help programmers to effectively achieve this separation. Therefore, we have defined the Progressive Implementation Method (Pim) (Borba et al., 1999), supporting a progressive approach for object-oriented implementation in Java (Gosgling et al., 1996) where persistence, distribution, and concurrence control aspects are not initially considered in the coding activities, but are gradually introduced. In this way, we can significantly reduce the impact caused by requirements changes during development and tame the complexity by gradually implementing and testing different aspects of code.

This progressive approach is possible because this method relies on the use of design patterns that provide a certain degree of modularity and separation of concerns (Parnas et al., 1972) in such a way that the different aspects can be implemented separately. However, other techniques and tools for separation of concerns might just as well be used, such as aspect-oriented programming (Kiczales et al., 1997).

The objectives of this chapter are:

- Present the basic concepts of the Progressive Implementation Method (Pim), defining a clear basis for our main goal, i.e., the RUP extension.
- Define the software process resulting from the inclusion of the method into RUP, providing proper implementation guidelines for RUP. Thus, we hope to support the progressive implementation of different aspects in software development projects where disciplined requirements, design, and test activities are essential (demanding a software process).

In the next sections, we present the main concepts of RUP and Pim, which are useful for a better understanding of our solution. We also outline the definition of RUPim, i.e., the proposed extension of RUP, and present some results obtained in simple practical experiments using RUPim. Finally, we present some conclusions, future trends, and related work. 11 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: <u>www.igi-</u> <u>global.com/chapter/rup-based-software-process-</u> <u>supporting/30552</u>

#### **Related Content**

#### Closing the Gap Between XML and Relational Database Technologies: State-of-the-Practice, State-of-the-Art and Future Directions

Mary Ann Malloyand Irena Mlynkova (2009). *Open and Novel Issues in XML Database Applications: Future Directions and Advanced Technologies (pp. 1-27).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/closing-gap-between-xml-relational/27774

#### Forward Engineering and UML: From UML Static Models to Eiffel Code

Liliana Favre, Liliana Martinezand Claudia Pereira (2003). UML and the Unified Process (pp. 199-216).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/forward-engineering-uml/30542

#### Understanding Large Language Models

Sreerakuvandana Sreerakuvandana, Princy Pappachanand Varsha Arya (2024). *Challenges in Large Language Model Development and AI Ethics (pp. 1-24).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/understanding-large-language-models/354391

# PRAISE: A Software Development Environment to Support Software Evolution

William C. Chu, Chih-Hung Chang, Chih-Wei Lu, YI-Chun Pengand Don-Lin Yang (2005). *Advances in UML and XML-Based Software Evolution (pp. 105-140).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/praise-software-development-environment-support/4933

#### A Logic Programming Perspective on Rules

Leon Sterlingand Kuldar Taveter (2009). *Handbook of Research on Emerging Rule-Based Languages and Technologies: Open Solutions and Approaches (pp. 195-213).* 

www.irma-international.org/chapter/logic-programming-perspective-rules/35860