Chapter III

Education

Introduction

We have examined the basic processes underlying learning, and differences in the way in which these basic processes may be deployed by different individuals. We have also explored differences in the knowledge structures of different individuals, in terms of the prior types and levels of knowledge that they bring to bear on a given learning situation. This chapter explores the art and science of learning design and teaching. These represent the reverse side of the learning coin, and entail designing, delivering, and assessing learning activities and experiences in response to individual learners' needs for knowledge, taking into account differences in their styles and levels of learning as discussed in the previous chapter.

Learning design and teaching entail helping learners move from their current knowledge state to some new *desired* state. However, the notion of desired is problematic, in that what is deemed desirable may differ according to the perspectives of different stakeholders in the learning process. To continue the travel analogy, different stake-

Copyright © 2008, IGI Global. Copying or distributing in print or electronic forms without written permission of IGI Global is prohibited.

holders may entertain very different views of what are desirable destinations—and routes. These differences may be substantially influenced by different views of what education is for, how people learn, and how learning may best be brought about.

Chapters I and II discussed how people learn. This chapter explores educational philosophies (which focus on what learning is for), and learning design (the goal of which is to bring about effective learning). This chapter also introduces another theme which recurs throughout the book, namely: the balance between pedagogical mediation (reliance by the learner on educators and/or educational systems) and learner autonomy (independence and self-regulation in learning). The chapter ends by further developing the model originally presented in Chapter I, and extended in Chapter II, by integrating key concepts relating to educational philosophies and learning design.

Educational Philosophies and Learning Design

Educational philosophies relate to views on *what learning is for* (for example, vocational preparation or self-actualisation). Such views, coupled with those on *how* people learn as discussed in the previous chapter, may influence educationists in specifying pedagogic approaches, which represent views on *how learning may best be brought about*—how teaching and learning should be designed, organised, and delivered (e.g., via lectures or independent study), and how learning can and should be assessed (for example, by providing evidence that *learning objectives* have been achieved). Such differences are characterised by a tension between mediation and autonomy (discussed later in this chapter).

There are a number of *mainstream* philosophies, each with its own distinctive view of what education is for. For example, the liberal tradition associated with Aristotle and Rousseau (Bamborough, 1963; Hutchins, 1968) emphasises the development of the intellect via a broad well-rounded education. Realism also emphasises intellectual development, reality being considered primarily in terms of empirically proven facts (Chisholm, 1961; Whitehead, 1933). The progressive tradition (Bergevin, 1967; Dewey, 1938; Lindeman, 1928) stresses links between education and society via the development of community-relevant practical and vocational skills. Behavioural educational philosophy (Skinner, 1971; Thorndike, 1927; Tyler, 1949) is predicated on the notions of control, behaviour modification, and compliance with standards, while *humanistic* education (Maslow, 1976; Rogers, 1969; Tough, 1979) places emphasis on freedom and autonomy, personal growth, and self-actualisation. The radical tradition (Adams & Horton, 1975; Freire, 1970; Illich, 1970) views the role of education as an agent for fundamental societal, cultural, political, and economic change. Each of these traditions also has implications for particular types of pedagogic approaches and learning goals that are desirable.

Copyright © 2008, IGI Global. Copying or distributing in print or electronic forms without written permission of IGI Global is prohibited.

33 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the

publisher's webpage: www.igi-

global.com/chapter/education/31399

Related Content

Framework for the Structuring of Distance Education in Higher Education Institutions in the COVID-19 Pandemic Process

Ali Kürat Erümitand smail Çetin (2022). *Handbook of Research on Managing and Designing Online Courses in Synchronous and Asynchronous Environments (pp. 315-329).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/framework-for-the-structuring-of-distance-education-in-highereducation-institutions-in-the-covid-19-pandemic-process/292371

Self-Directed Learning in Cooperative Online Networks: Faux Learning or Genuine Education?

Vanessa Camilleri (2023). Research Anthology on Remote Teaching and Learning and the Future of Online Education (pp. 617-633).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/self-directed-learning-in-cooperative-online-networks/312747

Undergraduate English Students' Use of Google Translate in Afghanistan: A Case Study

Sayeed Naqibullah Orfan (2023). International Journal of Web-Based Learning and Teaching Technologies (pp. 1-14).

www.irma-international.org/article/undergraduate-english-students-use-of-google-translate-inafghanistan/332398

Faculty Professional Development in Creating Significant Teaching and Learning Experiences Online

Yasemin Gülbaharand Müge Adnan (2020). *Handbook of Research on Creating Meaningful Experiences in Online Courses (pp. 37-58).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/faculty-professional-development-in-creating-significantteaching-and-learning-experiences-online/238786

A Learning Measurement Model for English Comprehensive Ability Based on the Background of Educational Informatization

Ting Yang (2024). International Journal of Web-Based Learning and Teaching Technologies (pp. 1-14).

www.irma-international.org/article/a-learning-measurement-model-for-english-comprehensive-abilitybased-on-the-background-of-educational-informatization/349729