

Chapter 6

Conflict Modes

ABSTRACT

There are basically five different ways or modes of handling conflict. Two underlying dimensions are at the basis of the model: assertiveness and cooperativeness. The first dimension, assertiveness, is the extent to which a person tries to satisfy his or her needs or concerns irrespective of those of others. The second dimension, cooperativeness, is the extent to which a person tries to satisfy another person's needs or concerns irrespective of his or hers. Combining the two dimensions in all ways results in five basic modes: Competing (high in assertiveness and low in cooperativeness), accommodating (low in assertiveness and high in cooperativeness), compromising (moderate in both assertiveness and cooperativeness), avoiding (low on both dimensions), and collaborating (high on both dimensions).

“10% of conflict is due to difference in opinion and 90% is due to delivery and tone of voice.” – Unknown

Learning Objectives

- Identify the five different modes of handling conflict
- Summarize Assertiveness
- Describe Cooperativeness
- List key attributes of a conflict situation

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-6684-6563-9.ch006


This chapter published as an Open Access Chapter distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and production in any medium, provided the author of the original work and original publication source are properly credited.

INTRODUCTION

The Jungian Personality Framework does not of course exhaust all of the relevant attributes of a person’s personality. Indeed, no single framework ever could. One of the most important aspects is how a person handles and responds to conflict. In this regard, the Thomas-Kilmann Conflict Model (Kilman, 2021) is particularly relevant. For this reason, the student is especially encouraged to go online and take the Thomas-Kilmann Conflict Assessment Instrument. Current academic discourse notes that the model is valuable for self-awareness and team dynamics but raises questions about its oversimplification of complex human interactions. Critics argue that cultural, gender, and power dynamics are not fully captured. Overall, the TKI remains a foundational tool, albeit with limitations recognized by the academic community.

Essentially, there are basically five different ways or modes of handling conflict. Two underlying dimensions are at the basis of the Model: Assertiveness and Cooperativeness. The first dimension, Assertiveness, is the extent to which a person tries to satisfy *his or her* needs or concerns irrespective of those of others. The second dimension, Cooperativeness, is the extent to which a person tries to satisfy *another* person’s needs or concerns irrespective of his or hers.

Figure 1. The two dimensions of the TKI conflict model: Assertiveness and cooperativeness
(Kilman, 2021)



18 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/conflict-modes/333661

Related Content

The Effects of COVID-19 on the Rent-a-Car Industry: A Study Case Based on Argentina

Maximiliano Emanuel Korstanje (2021). *Risk, Crisis, and Disaster Management in Small and Medium-Sized Tourism Enterprises* (pp. 160-186).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/the-effects-of-covid-19-on-the-rent-a-car-industry/280894

Homegrown Terrorism: An Analysis of Its Effects on PESTLE Factors

Amitabh Anandand Giulia Mantovani (2021). *Transdisciplinary Perspectives on Risk Management and Cyber Intelligence* (pp. 21-46).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/homegrown-terrorism/260600

Monte Carlo Simulation for Integrated Cost-Schedule Risk Analysis: Concepts, Methods, and Tools for Risk Analysis and Mitigation

David Todd Hulett (2017). *Handbook of Research on Leveraging Risk and Uncertainties for Effective Project Management* (pp. 29-60).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/monte-carlo-simulation-for-integrated-cost-schedule-risk-analysis/172634

Risk Based Thinking and Contingency Modeling in Leadership and Administration for Quality Management in Higher Education

Jasmine K.S. (2018). *International Journal of Risk and Contingency Management* (pp. 68-89).

www.irma-international.org/article/risk-based-thinking-and-contingency-modeling-in-leadership-and-administration-for-quality-management-in-higher-education/212559

Towards the Development of a Holistic Framework of Project Complexity: A Literature Based Review

Saleem Gul (2019). *International Journal of Risk and Contingency Management* (pp. 1-17).

www.irma-international.org/article/towards-the-development-of-a-holistic-framework-of-project-complexity/227019