

Chapter 3.2

Embedding Ubiquitous Technologies

Susan A. Elwood

Texas A&M University, Corpus Christi, USA

INTRODUCTION

Since the onset of technology as a tool in our personal and professional lives, we've progressed through at least two waves or stages of computing. The concept of ubiquitous computing names the third wave of computing, still in its infancy stages. The first wave consisted of mainframe computers shared by numerous people. The majority of society is presently in the second wave of the personal computing era, where people and machines interact through a predominantly iconic environment. The third phase of computing, referred to as ubiquitous computing, or the age of *calm technology*, takes place when technology recedes into the background of our daily lives. Alan Kay of Apple calls this the "third paradigm" of computing, while Weiser coins it as the "third wave" of computing (Weiser, 1996).

In Weiser's (2006) third wave of computing, we

achieve a vision of multiple computers per person in which "technology recedes into the background of our lives" (p. 1). To reach this point, each individual would need a personal computing device. Studies have shown that students are increasingly gaining access to computers outside of school, whether it be in their own home, a neighbor's house, or the public library. However, schools have yet to even achieve a ratio of one computer per student (Bull & Ferster, 2005-2006).

During this time, we have experienced distinct phases of computing that include: (1) the strife for one-to-one computing, and (2) portable devices with wireless access. Each of these phases has revealed some insights into future ubiquitous technologies and research. According to America's Digital Schools (ADS) 2006 five-year forecast, "the transition to mobile computing will help facilitate the transition to ubiquitous computing, which is not practical in desktop computer

environments” (Hayes Connection & Greaves Group, 2006, p.1).

The ADS 2006 forecast further defines this one-to-one ubiquitous computing environment as one in which each student and teacher has an Internet-connected wireless computing device for use both in the classroom and at home that is not shared with others. For the purposes of this article, this is how ubiquitous computing will be defined.

BACKGROUND: NEGOTIATING THE WAVES

In the next section of this article, we will take a historical look at how each of these phases has contributed to the embedded ubiquitous environment within educational settings.

One-to-One Computing: Origins and Goal-State

Throughout the 1980s and 1990s, it was common for schools to place all computers in a centrally located lab or media center (Means & Penuel, 2005). These labs were found to be somewhat effective for students (Kulik, 1994). However, limited access is one reason why the integration with technology as an instructional tool was minimal in many classrooms (Cuban, Kirkpatrick, & Peck, 2001; Sheingold & Hadley, 1990). To make technology more powerful in regards to student learning, it was and still is deemed that students must be able to use computers more than once or twice a week in a school lab setting (Kozma, 1991).

Some of the major recommendations by researchers for educators and decision makers towards effective one-to-one initiatives include distributing a few computers throughout all classrooms, providing more time for teachers to collaborate across subject areas, and providing adequate technical staff to maintain computers

and high-speed Internet access (Cuban, 2001). Providing such technology access and support within a networked environment at the classroom level in the 1980s and 1990s demonstrated major shifts in the learning environment, such as whole-class to small group instruction and lecture and recitation to coaching (Collins, 1991; Dwyer, Ringstaff, & Sandholtz, 1991; Sandholtz, Ringstaff, & Dwyer, 1997).

Portables with Wireless Access

In order for teachers to be effective in providing real-world connections, they need to understand and utilize the new wave of communication tools posed to future generations of mobile users and learners. Small group instruction and coaching can easily lead to creating a community of learners (Rogoff, 1994). A more interpersonal environment in which students and teachers become learning partners and experience role-reversals in terms of knowledge experts regarding content and technology. Considering the emerging mobile learning milieu, the community of learners environment becomes more important in terms of how students view information, communication, and community. This is a very different view from prior generations (Kleiman, 2004).

According to the America’s Digital Schools (ADS): A Five-Year Forecast Report (2006), schools have rarely changed as quickly as we find them today transitioning from a desktop world to a mobile world. This mobile world includes laptops, tablets, and student appliances, but not cell phones. In the 2006 ADS report, researchers found:

- Students’ use of mobile technology is currently at 19.4%, while 52.1% are projected to be mobile in 2011;
- 1:1 computing is currently found to be in process in 24% of school districts, versus 4% in a 2003 report;
- Academic improvement results were tracked

7 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/embedding-ubiquitous-technologies/37804

Related Content

Building and Deploying Self-Adaptable Home Applications

Jianqi Yu, Pierre Bourret, Philippe Lalandaand Johann Bourcier (2011). *Pervasive Computing and Communications Design and Deployment: Technologies, Trends and Applications* (pp. 49-73).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/building-deploying-self-adaptable-home/53784

Interactive Tables: Requirements, Design Recommendations, and Implementation

Michael Hallerand Mark Billingham (2010). *Ubiquitous and Pervasive Computing: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 741-762).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/interactive-tables-requirements-design-recommendations/37816

A QoS aware Framework to support Minimum Energy Data Aggregation and Routing in Wireless Sensor Networks

Neeraj Kumarand R.B. Patel (2009). *International Journal of Advanced Pervasive and Ubiquitous Computing* (pp. 91-106).

www.irma-international.org/article/qos-aware-framework-support-minimum/41706

At Sensor Diagnosis for Smart Healthcare: Probability or Conditional Probability Based Approach vs. k-Nearest Neighbour

Chetna Laroiaand Vijay Bhushan Aggarwal (2018). *International Journal of Advanced Pervasive and Ubiquitous Computing* (pp. 1-13).

www.irma-international.org/article/at-sensor-diagnosis-for-smart-healthcare/211939

A Bluetooth User Positioning System for Locating, Informing, and Extracting Information Using Data Mining Techniques

John Garofalakisand Christos Mettouris (2009). *International Journal of Advanced Pervasive and Ubiquitous Computing* (pp. 68-88).

www.irma-international.org/article/bluetooth-user-positioning-system-locating/3868