Enterprise Systems Outsourcing "Behind the Curtain": A Case Study Showing How Rational and Institutional Explanations Coexist and Complement Each Other

Per Svejvig, Aarhus School of Business, Denmark Jan Pries-Heje, Roskilde University, Denmark

ABSTRACT

Outsourcing is now a feasible means for enterprise systems (ES) cost savings, but does however increase the complexity of coordination substantially when many organizations are involved. We set out to study ES outsourcing in a large Scandinavian high-tech organization, SCANDI, a case setting with many interorganizational partners, trying to answer the question: Why does SCANDI engage in these very complex outsourcing arrangements? To answer this question we have analyzed documents, observed meetings and gathered data from interviews in four parts of SCANDI. The first data analysis found just the rational front stage cost-saving explanation; but then, with a more careful analysis focusing on institutional factors, other backstage explanations "behind the curtain" were uncovered, such as management consultants with a "best practice" agenda, people promoting outsourcing, thereby being promoted themselves, and a belief in outsourcing as a "silver bullet": a recipe to success, solving everything.

Keywords: Case Study, Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) Systems, Enterprise Systems, Institutional Theory, Organization, Outsourcing

INTRODUCTION

The development of Enterprise Systems (ES) has been a major trend within both the private and public sectors over the past decade. They often trigger major organizational changes and at the same time, introduce high-risks with potential high rewards (Chae & Lanzara, 2006, p. 100; Markus, 2004). ES can be defined as

DOI: 10.4018/jeis.2011010101

large-scale organizational systems, built around packaged ES software, and composed of people, processes, and information technology (Seddon, Willcocks, & Shanks, 2003).

Outsourcing of ES is becoming increasingly important in today's global business environment in order to gain cost savings (Olson, 2007). Gartner valued the application outsourcing market at \$76.9 billion in 2009 and forecasted that it would increase to \$97.9 billion in 2012 (Young et al., 2008), which is a high growth rate in these turbulent economic times, indicating that an outsourcing trend is in progress.

ES outsourcing is the practice whereby the organization "purchases goods or services that were previously provided internally" (Lacity & Hirschheim, 1993, p. 74). In this paper, we interpret this further, defining it as *any* type of outsourcing involving ES and Information Technology (IT). This includes application development on top of the ES, operation of a data centre running ES, business processes enabled by the ES, or the entire IS function (inspired by Dibbern, Goles, Hirschheim, & Jayatilaka, 2004). Thus, outsourcing in this context means aggregating specific tasks or entire processes and moving them to one or more outsourcing vendors (McFarlan & DeLacey, 2004).

Organizations have claimed that ES/IS outsourcing reduces cost and time, increases quality and reliability of product and services, improves business performance, and releases organizations to concentrate on core competencies (McFarlan & DeLacey, 2004; Vitharana & Dharwadkar, 2007).

The reasons why organizations outsource are dominated by rational explanations related to bounded rationality and opportunism (Williamson, 1981), and cost savings seem to be a prevailing explanation, which is repeated in the literature (Dibbern et al., 2004; Olson, 2007).

However, though a majority of extant literature finds mainly rational explanations for engaging in ES/IS outsourcing, our own experience from many companies and from many outsourcing arrangements made us speculate whether there was more to it. Using a theatrical metaphor we ask, could it be that there were both "front stage" explanations as well as other, different, "back stage" explanations hidden "behind the curtain"?

To address this curiosity, we set out to study ES outsourcing in a large Scandinavian hightech organization, SCANDI, which has many inter-organizational partners. Our research question was: *Why does SCANDI engage in very complex ES/IS outsourcing arrangements?* The paper is organized as follows. First we introduce the Transaction Cost Theory and the Institutional Theory. Then we explain our research methodology based on the interpretive paradigm. The section that follows outlines the SCANDI case study. The analysis of front stage and back stage explanations is then presented and is followed by a discussion and implications. Finally, we present some concluding remarks and sum up significant new insights, both from a theoretical point of view and from a practitioner perspective.

RATIONAL AND INSTITUTIONAL EXPLANATIONS

The theories used in this study have evolved over time in reaction to our progressive understanding of data collected during field work. Rational explanations were easily identifiable, and institutional explanations resulted from searching for "behind the curtain" explanations while interpreting the field data and IS literature. Two alternative theories were then adopted for this research: (1) Transaction Cost Theory (TCT), and (2) Institutional Theory (INT).

Both theories can be applied with an interpretive approach, where they are viewed as alternative "lenses" for interpreting outsourcing decisions, and not as the objective deterministic truth about outsourcing decisions (Lacity & Hirschheim, 1993). TCT was adopted because it has the widely accepted view that organizational actors make outsourcing decisions based on the economic rationale used in a majority of outsourcing literature, embedding an understanding about bounded rationality and opportunism (Scott & Davis, 2007, pp. 53-56). We adopted INT to explain outsourcing decisions in line with Vitharana and Dharwadkar (2007), because the theoretical constructs in INT are recognizable in our empirical data. The two theoretical lenses are presented in the conceptual framework in Figure 1, which is used to answer the research question.

Figure 1 shows that both rational and institutional factors impact the decision-making 15 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: <u>www.igi-</u> <u>global.com/article/enterprise-systems-outsourcing-behind-</u> curtain/51614

Related Content

Enterprise Tomography: An Efficient Approach for Semi-Automatic Localization of Integration Concepts in VLBAs

Jan Aalminkand Jorge Marx Gómez (2010). *Social, Managerial, and Organizational Dimensions of Enterprise Information Systems (pp. 232-251).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/enterprise-tomography-efficient-approach-semi/37917

User Acceptance of Flood Risk Visualization and Prediction Information System: An Emerging Economy Perspective

Lory Jean L. Canilloand Alexander Arcenio Hernandez (2021). *International Journal of Enterprise Information Systems (pp. 16-33).*

www.irma-international.org/article/user-acceptance-of-flood-risk-visualization-and-predictioninformation-system/282015

A Language-Action Approach to the Design of UML Models

Peter Rittgen (2007). *Enterprise Modeling and Computing with UML (pp. 135-152).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/language-action-approach-design-uml/18406

Critical Factors for Implementation Success of ERP Systems: An Empirical Investigation from Bahrain

Emad M. Kamhawi (2007). International Journal of Enterprise Information Systems (pp. 34-49).

www.irma-international.org/article/critical-factors-implementation-success-erp/2119

Diaspora Entrepreneurship: New Directions in Enterprise Development

Sanya Ojo (2013). Enterprise Development in SMEs and Entrepreneurial Firms: Dynamic Processes (pp. 42-63).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/diaspora-entrepreneurship-new-directions-enterprise/74460