Chapter 2.12 Individualized Web-Based Instructional Design

Fethi Inan Texas Tech University, USA

Michael Grant University of Memphis, USA

ABSTRACT

Adaptive (individualized) Web-based instruction provides mechanisms to individualize instruction for learners based on their individual needs. This chapter will discuss adaptive Web-based instruction, paying particular attention to (1) the implications of individual differences to Webbased instruction, (2) the adaptive methods that are available to designers and developers, and (3) the considerations for instruction design and development with adaptive Web-based instruction. The primary purpose of this chapter is to provide a framework to shape the development of future individualized Web-based instruction.

INTRODUCTION: STRATEGIES AND GUIDELINES FOR INSTRUCTIONAL DESIGNERS

Web-based learning environments have unlimited opportunities for educational uses, but there are numerous implementation challenges. Significantly, Web-based learning systems' content presentations, navigational methods, and instructional strategies may not be suited to all users (Brusilovsky, 1998; Song, 2002). Most Webbased instruction provides what the designers/ developers consider to be the optimal interface and content presentation, expecting learners to fit into the system (Brusilovsky, 2001; Chen, Czerwinski, & Macredie, 2000; McLoughlin, 1999). Since Web-based learners typically work

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-60960-503-2.ch212

alone and asynchronously, instructors/trainers are unable to provide the just-in-time modifications afforded in face-to-face sessions. If the user is not comfortable with the Web-based learning system, many instructional advantages can be lost (Metros & Hedberg, 2002; Oliver & Herrington, 1995).

While many challenges affect all learners, there is also a need for physically and visually challenged students to be appropriately accommodated and successfully integrated into the learning environment. Web-based instructional applications often neglect impaired learners. Through the use of assistive and adaptive technologies, impaired students could leave their isolation and become an important part of the learning community (Cavanaugh, 2002; Fink, Kobsa, & Nill, 1998). To overcome these barriers, an online learning system should be designed such that each individual's needs are identified, and appropriate guidance and support are provided during the learning process (De Bra, Brusilovsky, & Houben, 1999; Papanikolaou & Grigoriadou, 2004; Triantafillou, Pomportsis, & Demetriadis, 2003).

ADAPTIVE WEB-BASED INSTRUCTION AND INDIVIDUAL CHARACTERISTICS

Adaptive instruction means creating a learning environment and finding instructional approaches and techniques that conform to meet students' individual needs (Park & Lee, 2003). Adaptive Web-based learning environments (A-WBLEs) are one form of adaptive instruction that tailor individual differences in the online environment (Inan & Grant, 2004, 2005). In A-WBLEs, the fundamental focus is the individual differences of learners, because individual differences such as gender, prior knowledge, and learning styles have demonstrated significant effects on student learning (e.g., Chen & Paul, 2003). To provide a guiding framework, A-WBLEs (1) gather a learner's information and preferences, (2) build an individual model based on the learner's preferences and knowledge, (3) apply adaptive methods to accommodate the learner based on the developed model, and (4) monitor the learner's actions and learning processes to provide new information to update the learner's model, granting a more effective and efficient system (Inan & Grant, 2004).

Although researchers agree on the influential effects of individual differences during learning, the question remains as to which variables should be considered when designing an A-WBLE. Many researchers consider learning style and cognitive style to be important characteristics to take into consideration when developing adaptive webbased instruction (Gilbert & Han, 1999; Magoulas, Chen, & Dimakopoulos, 2004; Papanikolaou, Grigoriadou, Kornilakis, & Magoulas, 2003). Brickell (1993) suggested the more enriched learning experiences occurred when the materials developed considered students' learning styles. Furthermore, Triantafillou et al. (2003) reported the majority of students were satisfied with the adaptations of learning strategies in relationship to their cognitive styles.

Another trait, students' prior knowledge and experiences, is also popular in designing adaptive systems (Brusilovsky, 2003; Foster & Lin, 2003; Weber & Brusilovsky, 2001). Students' prior knowledge contains their previous understanding of the content area and level of readiness for learning new content. Many studies have considered prior knowledge in Web-based instruction (e.g., Chen & Paul, 2003; Milne, Cook, Shiu, & McFadyen, 1997). Foster and Lin (2003) found students' acquisition of knowledge and skills were not just related to the presentation of the instructional tasks, but their prior knowledge and cultural background also played an important role. Similarly, Far and Hashimoto (2000) found a student's background knowledge and motivational state had a strong influence on learning outcomes. Moreover, prior technical knowledge may have an effect as well. Learners have different degrees of familiarity with Web browsers and communication tools, 12 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: <u>www.igi-global.com/chapter/individualized-web-based-instructional-</u> <u>design/51830</u>

Related Content

Tweeting @LEADUWindsor: Effective Practices for Making Service-Learning Visible

Brandon M. Sabourin (2022). Research Anthology on Service Learning and Community Engagement Teaching Practices (pp. 897-920).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/tweeting-leaduwindsor/296344

Investigating the Use and Acceptance of Technologies by Professors in a Higher Education Institution

Carolina Costa, Helena Alvelosand Leonor Teixeira (2019). *International Journal of Online Pedagogy and Course Design (pp. 1-20).*

www.irma-international.org/article/investigating-the-use-and-acceptance-of-technologies-by-professors-in-a-highereducation-institution/223898

Teaching Flipped Classes: Lessons Learned

(2018). Extending the Principles of Flipped Learning to Achieve Measurable Results: Emerging Research and Opportunities (pp. 38-52).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/teaching-flipped-classes/186421

Visual Design of coherent Technology-Enhanced Learning Systems: a few lessons learned from CPM language

Thierry Nodenot, Pierre Laforcadeand Xavier Le Pallec (2008). *Handbook of Visual Languages for Instructional Design: Theories and Practices (pp. 252-279).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/visual-design-coherent-technology-enhanced/22097

The Counterproductive Effects on Learning Achievement and Intrinsic Motivation for Ludicization as an Online Learning Pedagogy Involving Game Elements

Qi Zhangand Zhonggen Yu (2022). International Journal of Online Pedagogy and Course Design (pp. 1-18).

www.irma-international.org/article/the-counterproductive-effects-on-learning-achievement-and-intrinsic-motivation-forludicization-as-an-online-learning-pedagogy-involving-game-elements/309080