

## Chapter 8.3

# Emerging Edtech: Expert Perspectives and Design Principles

**Ching-Huei Chen**

*Center for Educational Technologies®, Wheeling Jesuit University, USA*

**Manetta Calinger**

*Center for Educational Technologies®, Wheeling Jesuit University, USA*

**Bruce C. Howard**

*Center for Educational Technologies®, Wheeling Jesuit University, USA*

**Anna Oskorus**

*TiER 1 Performance Solutions, USA*

### ABSTRACT

Design principles are universal and may be translated onto the newest trends and emergent technologies. In this research study, the authors combined the perspectives provided by two sources to create a set of recommended design principles for technology-enhanced learning environments. One source was the *How People Learn* framework (Bransford, Brown, & Cocking, 2000). The second source was a series of interviews conducted with pacesetters in the field of educational technologies. With the knowledge gained from these two sources, the authors created our own set of design principles. These principles may be used to guide evaluation, instructional design efforts, or best

practice models for exemplary use of educational technologies in the classroom.

### INTRODUCTION

Hundreds of millions of dollars have been spent in recent years to improve and maintain technology infrastructure for schools. Now policymakers and the public want to know what impact this technology has had on student learning. To answer that question, states and school districts need parameters for evaluating their technology-related activities and using the data to guide their decision making. However, researchers have cautioned against drawing inappropriate cause-and-effect conclusions based on experimental studies (Olson & Wisher, 2002; Russell, 2001). What scientific

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-60960-503-2.ch803

cally based evidence is available on the impact of educational technology often is focused on the degree to which a particular technology leads to changes in learning or teaching (Lawless & Pellegrino, 2007). In fact, a better way of judging the impact of new technologies is to examine how they are used and the context in which the use occurs (Schifter, 2008; Zhao, Byers, Pugh, & Sheldon, 2001).

Instructional designers and researchers have stressed the need for robust design principles to guide the production of products and programs (e.g., Kali, 2006; Kali, Spitulnik, & Linn, 2004; Underwood et al., 2005). In this research we also chose to emphasize design principles because they are universal, and they translate to the newest trends and emergent technologies. To do so, we set out to combine the latest research perspectives with the most current leadership perspectives. We began by summarizing key perspectives of the How People Learn (HPL) framework for learning environments. The HPL framework is widely respected and provides recommendations that can be applied to the design of technology-enhanced learning environments. We interviewed pacesetters in educational technologies and reported emerging themes based on the thoughts of those pacesetters. These sources provided the foundation for creating our own set of recommended design principles for technology-enhanced learning environments. This approach combined the best of the past with fresh perspectives from the present.

## **IMPORTANT PRINCIPLES ABOUT LEARNING AND TEACHING**

The National Academy of Sciences *How People Learn* book synthesized decades of research on how people learn to develop a framework for understanding the connections between cognition and instruction (Bransford, Brown, & Cocking, 2000). This report is widely embraced as a seminal work for educators and researchers alike. In fact,

How People Learn (HPL) is becoming widely accepted as a theoretical framework, and that is how we use it here. That work provided the theoretical foundation for designing and conducting the interview study of the pacesetters.

Although the HPL framework provides many important teaching and learning implications, we highlight four of the principles that have particular importance in the design of technology-enhanced learning environments. Each has a solid research base as well as important implications for how teachers teach. Each principle also helps designers think about technology's role in the design and delivery of effective learning environments.

One important principle about the way people learn is that “students come to the classroom with preconceptions about how the world works” (Bransford et al., 2000, p. 14), which include beliefs and prior knowledge acquired through various experiences (e.g., Lin, 2001; Pressley et al., 1992). This learning principle suggests that students start to make sense of the world at a very young age. In many cases students already hold multiple conflicting views before learning new information, as a result, they create their repertoire of views without reflecting on their existing knowledge. This principle implies that designers of effective technology-enhanced learning or instruction should build on students' preconceptions and learning styles, allow decision making, and foster students' multiple intelligences.

Another HPL principle is that “to develop competence in an area of inquiry, students must have a deep foundation of factual knowledge, understand facts and ideas in the context of a conceptual framework, and organize knowledge in ways that facilitate retrieval and application” (Bransford et al., 2000, p. 16). Numerous studies comparing performance by experts and novices have shown that experts not only obtain richly structured knowledge bases that allow them to plan a task, notice patterns, generate reasonable arguments, and draw analogies to other problems,

10 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

[www.igi-global.com/chapter/emerging-edtech/51917](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/emerging-edtech/51917)

## Related Content

---

### Faculty Resistance to Change: An Examination of Motivators and Barriers to Teaching Online in Higher Education

Erin Gratzand Lisa Looney (2020). *International Journal of Online Pedagogy and Course Design* (pp. 1-14).

[www.irma-international.org/article/faculty-resistance-to-change/241254](http://www.irma-international.org/article/faculty-resistance-to-change/241254)

### Internationalization and Intercultural Competence in Chinese Higher Education

Lin Liand David Anderson (2022). *Contemporary Issues in Multicultural and Global Education* (pp. 168-192).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/internationalization-and-intercultural-competence-in-chinese-higher-education/304753](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/internationalization-and-intercultural-competence-in-chinese-higher-education/304753)

### A Pedagogical Review of Programming Education Research: What Have We Learned

Belle Selene Xia (2017). *International Journal of Online Pedagogy and Course Design* (pp. 33-42).

[www.irma-international.org/article/a-pedagogical-review-of-programming-education-research/164972](http://www.irma-international.org/article/a-pedagogical-review-of-programming-education-research/164972)

### Preliminary Study on Exploring Students' Perceptions of Instant Response Systems in Pre-Service Teacher Courses: University Level in Taiwan

Hsin-Tzu Tommy Chen (2023). *International Journal of Online Pedagogy and Course Design* (pp. 1-13).

[www.irma-international.org/article/preliminary-study-on-exploring-students-perceptions-of-instant-response-systems-in-pre-service-teacher-courses/322781](http://www.irma-international.org/article/preliminary-study-on-exploring-students-perceptions-of-instant-response-systems-in-pre-service-teacher-courses/322781)

### Creating Relationships Responsive to Students' Needs

Brianne N. Kramer (2020). *Cases on Emotionally Responsive Teaching and Mentoring* (pp. 46-59).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/creating-relationships-responsive-to-students-needs/253632](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/creating-relationships-responsive-to-students-needs/253632)