

Chapter 8

Social Media–Based Communities of Practice for Education in Citizenship and Sustainability

Dimitra Florou
University of Athens, Greece

Dimitris Gouscos
University of Athens, Greece

ABSTRACT

In this chapter we support the view that communities of practice (CoPs) with the support of social media can serve the education for citizenship and sustainability, with a clear benefit on citizens' culture towards future public reforms. This has led to the development and implementation of the policy for sustainability, which is a European and national strategic objective.

The chapter begins with a small analysis of public sector reform towards sustainability and the presentation of the basic principles of education for sustainability and citizenship (ESDC) and the model of CoPs and the social media that facilitate their use. It focuses on the analysis of the three models of belonging -engagement, imagination, alignment- in the application of CoPs for ESDC. In combination with this analysis we demonstrate that CoPs can be supported by social media.

Finally the chapter reinforces the view that the development of such communities in education offers on the long run the ability to remodel the public sphere, strengthen public consultation, promote proposals from the citizens, promote the policy of sustainability, and finally, the efficient use of new technologies, both in society and education.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-60960-839-2.ch008

INTRODUCTION

Going through the first decade of the new millennium more and more problems appear in social, economic and environmental terms. The extended and multifaceted crisis that takes place in modern societies is a result of social inequality and conflict, increasing scarcity of natural resources and generally in situations that lead to loss of social, economic and ecological stability.

Addressing these issues is the great challenge of the new millennium and demonstrates the need to encourage active citizenship and greater social cohesion (European Commission 2000) to address social and economic change in the perspective of a democratic and sustainable world. At the same time highlight the need for public sector reform of the state. As it is phrased in the 1st Conference of European Ministers responsible for social cohesion (26-27 February 2009, Moscow, Russia) *“Social cohesion is an essential condition for democratic security and sustainable development because divided and unequal societies are not only unjust, but also unstable in the long term”*. (Davis, 2009)

An important factor in achieving these goals is the education because *“Education, including formal education, public awareness and training should be recognized as a process by which human beings and societies can reach their fullest potential.”* (Agenda 21, 36.3.)

It also emphasizes on the fact that education (formal) is important when it's turned towards education for sustainability and should be a priority for many countries in the world and combined with the overall efforts to combat poverty and promote social and economic development.

In this context two interlocking and interactive fields of educational emerge: education for citizenship and education for sustainability.

At the same time questions rise, such as: what is the content of such education, what are the critical characteristics of people that will activate them and lead them to involvement and action for sustainability, what knowledge, skills and values

must be cultivated, what strategies and what tools would help in this direction?

In any case, the introduction of these fields in formal education requires more flexible curricula and adapting to new realities in terms of content and methodology because *“... sustainable development calls for additional and different processes than those traditionally thought of in education... The quest for sustainability demands new approaches to involve people, rather than convey just a body of knowledge”* (Fien & Tilbury &., 2002, p.1 -12).

Modern democratic societies need active, informed and responsible citizens who are willing and able to take responsibility for their communities and contribute to social and political - economic processes for sustainability.

PUBLIC SECTOR REFORM TOWARDS SUSTAINABILITY

During the last decade of the 20th century, due to the focus of public management reform programs and research on New Public Management (NPM) the public sector reforms have been neglected. (Elke Löffler & Colin Talbot, 2004,). As Talbot argues that there are “four paradoxical models that underpin the NPM: let politicians decide, let managers manage, let customers choose, let stakeholders participate” and since “tensions” between them “will not go away” he believes that “the only real issue is how best manage these contradictions ” (Talbot, 2004p. 17).

Public sector has been driven to a different direction to the managerial reforms of the 1980s and 1990s, by new challenges (Bovaird and Löffler, 2002, Bovaird, Tony and Löffler, Elke 2002):

- Political pressure from issues, such as crime and vandalism, terrorism, asylum, economic deprivation, the problem of pensions and quality of life for an ageing population, etc.

18 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:
www.igi-global.com/chapter/social-media-based-communities-practice/56390

Related Content

Towards a Successful E-Government Implementation

Mehdi Sagheb-Tehrani (2015). *Public Affairs and Administration: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 918-941).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/towards-a-successful-e-government-implementation/127887

DAOs vs. PBCs for Public Administration and Social Policy Entities

Steven A. Wright (2022). *Handbook of Research on Cyber Approaches to Public Administration and Social Policy* (pp. 55-73).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/daos-vs-pbcs-for-public-administration-and-social-policy-entities/299181

Citizens' Use of the Internet and Public Service Delivery: A Longitudinal Study of the First-Level Administrative Divisions in China (1997-2014)

Qinfeng Zhu, Marko M. Skorican and Tai-Quan Peng (2018). *International Journal of Public Administration in the Digital Age* (pp. 32-42).

www.irma-international.org/article/citizens-use-of-the-internet-and-public-service-delivery/204904

Local Government Use of Web 2.0: Los Angeles County Perspective

Raoul J. Freeman and Peter Loo (2012). *E-Governance and Civic Engagement: Factors and Determinants of E-Democracy* (pp. 505-523).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/local-government-use-web/60094

Democratic Deliberation in Online Consultation Forums: A Case Study of the Casino Debate in Singapore

Kevin Y. Wang (2012). *Cases on Public Information Management and E-Government Adoption* (pp. 24-49).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/democratic-deliberation-online-consultation-forums/65612