

# Chapter 6.1

## Managing IS Security and Privacy

Vasilios Katos  
*University of Portsmouth, UK*

### INTRODUCTION

The concept of privacy has received attention for over a century now and its definition—let alone, understanding—has been profoundly challenging. This is primarily attributed to the “incompatible” and rich set of characteristics privacy comprises. As Brunk (2002) states very sharply, “Privacy is a matter of intellectual and philosophical thought and retains few tangible characteristics, making it resistant to simple explanation.”

Perhaps the first scholarly work on privacy was that of Warren and Brandeis (1980), who introduced the highly abstractive yet popular definition of privacy as the “right to be left alone.” As privacy was recognized as a right, it primarily existed within a legal context. Legislation for

protecting one’s privacy exists in many countries and in some cases at a constitutional level (see for example the Fourth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution).

It was soon realized in the information revolution era that privacy and information are somewhat coupled. More precisely, emerging privacy concepts and metrics relate to the intentional or unintentional information flows. However, when it comes to studying, using, and investing in information, security appeared to have a higher priority over privacy. Security and privacy seemingly operate under different agendas; privacy is about protecting one’s actions in terms of offering anonymity, whereas security includes the notion of accountability which implies that anonymity is waived. Still, security is a vital component of an information system, as it is well needed in order to protect privacy.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-61350-323-2.ch6.1

This contradictory relation between security and privacy has caused a considerable amount of debate, political and technical, resulting in a plethora of position and research papers. Accepting that there may be no optimum solution to the problem of striking a balance between security and privacy, this article presents a recently developed methodology that could support policy decision making on a strategic level, thus allowing planners to macro-manage security and privacy.

## **BACKGROUND**

A thorough overview on the economics of privacy is maintained by Acquisti (2008). The 1970s was a decade marked by economists and their aspirations to develop an economic model to “decrypt” the market forces. Although Hirshleifer (1971) introduced the value of information in relation to privacy in the early 1970s, economics tools were ported to the privacy domain in the late 1970s and early 1980s (e.g., Posner, 1978; Stigler, 1980). However in the 1980s the concept of information sharing and the Internet were showing signs of potential, only to be interrupted by the Morris Worm in 1988 (Seeley, 1989), and security was added into the agenda. Initially this was done in the expense of privacy. For the following years information security received substantial attention—if the members of the private sector were to invest in electronic communications and technologies, trust needed to be restored.

Formal treatment of information security was initially in the domain of cryptography, but soon expanded to access control models and intrusion detection systems. The security goals of confidentiality, integrity, and availability were defined. The escape from security being equivalent to confidentiality was soon realized in the domain of cryptography, which was enforced with Rivest’s (1990) definition of cryptography which “is about communication in the presence of adversaries.” As such, the adversary would not necessarily be

interested in eavesdropping on a communication, but could elect to interrupt, modify, fabricate, or replay messages. Formally, this omnipotent adversary was initially captured in Dolev and Yao’s (1981) threat model, spawning research into cryptographic protocols.

To date, the body of knowledge for information security has fairly matured. The security domains include both technical and organizational aspects. Standards and methodologies emerged—see for example BS 7799 and ISO/IEC 17799 (BSI, 1995a, 1995b), ISO 27001 (ISO, 2005, aligning with BS 7799 part 3), and CobiT (IT Governance Institute, 2007). It can be seen from the directions taken by these standardization efforts that information security management was becoming an isomorphism of risk management: understanding that there is no absolute security, controls need to be in place in order to diversify the risks of unauthorized disclosure (breach of confidentiality), unauthorized modification (breach of integrity), and denial of service (breach of availability), accepting that there is an amount of residual risk that will be present after employing the security controls.

Research on privacy followed at a much slower pace. It could be argued that a valid reason for this is that privacy is upper bounded by security; security needs to be in place in order to offer privacy. Indeed, some security technologies such as cryptography were branded as privacy enhancing technologies (PETs), emphasizing the synergetic relationship between security and privacy. As the number of privacy violations and intrusions was steadily increasing in the 1990s (Acquisti, 2008), research on privacy gained momentum. Similar to the security goals stated earlier, the privacy criteria of unobservability, pseudonymity, unlinkability, and anonymity (ISO, 1999; Fischer, 2001) were introduced. With respect to the economics of privacy, the work by Laudon (1996), Varian (1996), Huang (1998), and Posner (1999) set precedence leading to research in the formal application of micro-economic techniques to analyzing privacy. Representative work on the formal application

7 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

[www.igi-global.com/chapter/managing-security-privacy/61005](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/managing-security-privacy/61005)

## Related Content

---

### Design and Implementation of Identity Verification Software Based on Deep Learning

Runde Yu, Xianwei Zhang, Yimeng Zhang, Jianfeng Song, Kang Liu and Qiguang Miao (2022). *International Journal of Digital Crime and Forensics* (pp. 1-15).

[www.irma-international.org/article/design-and-implementation-of-identity-verification-software-based-on-deep-learning/315796](http://www.irma-international.org/article/design-and-implementation-of-identity-verification-software-based-on-deep-learning/315796)

### A Model of Network Security Situation Assessment Based on BPNN Optimized by SAA-SSA

Ran Zhang, Zhihan Pan, Yifeng Yin and Zengyu Cai (2022). *International Journal of Digital Crime and Forensics* (pp. 1-18).

[www.irma-international.org/article/a-model-of-network-security-situation-assessment-based-on-bpnn-optimized-by-saa-ssa/302877](http://www.irma-international.org/article/a-model-of-network-security-situation-assessment-based-on-bpnn-optimized-by-saa-ssa/302877)

### Securing Cloud Environment

N Harini, C. K. Shyamala and T. R. Padmanabhan (2011). *Cyber Security, Cyber Crime and Cyber Forensics: Applications and Perspectives* (pp. 115-123).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/securing-cloud-environment/50718](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/securing-cloud-environment/50718)

### Metamorphic Malware Analysis and Detection Methods

P. Vinod, V. Laxmi and M. S. Gaur (2011). *Cyber Security, Cyber Crime and Cyber Forensics: Applications and Perspectives* (pp. 178-202).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/metamorphic-malware-analysis-detection-methods/50722](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/metamorphic-malware-analysis-detection-methods/50722)

### Communication, Technology, and Cyber Crime in Sub-Saharan Africa

Dustin Bessette, Jane A. LeClair, Randall E. Sylvertooth and Sharon L. Burton (2015). *New Threats and Countermeasures in Digital Crime and Cyber Terrorism* (pp. 286-297).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/communication-technology-and-cyber-crime-in-sub-saharan-africa/131409](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/communication-technology-and-cyber-crime-in-sub-saharan-africa/131409)