

Chapter 13

Framing the Context of Use for Mobile HCI

Satu Jumisko-Pyykkö

Tampere University of Technology (TUT), Finland

Teija Vainio

Tampere University of Technology (TUT), Finland

ABSTRACT

The need to better understand the role of context has emerged after the revolution of mobile computing, as such devices are used in heterogeneous circumstances. However, it is difficult to say what context of use in mobile human-computer interaction actually means. This study summarises past research in mobile contexts of use and not only provides a deeper understanding of the characteristics associated with it, but also indicates a path for future research. This article presents an extensive and systematic literature review of more than 100 papers published in five high-quality journals and one main conference in the field of HCI during the years 2000-2007. The authors' results show that context of use is still explored as a relatively static phenomenon in mobile HCI. Its most commonly mentioned characteristics are linked to social, physical, and technical components, while transitions between the contexts were rarely listed. Based on this review, a descriptive model of context of use for mobile HCI (CoU-HMCI) summarising five components, their subcomponents and descriptive properties is presented. The model can help both practitioners and academics to identify broadly relevant contextual factors when designing, experimenting with, and evaluating, mobile contexts of use.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-4666-0194-9.ch013

INTRODUCTION

Mobile computing has emerged a specific research focus within human-computer interaction (HCI) and has gone beyond conventional desktop computing environments during the last ten years. In this change, interest in studying contexts of use has dramatically increased. Still, context of use is not the focus of interaction research, but it is something framing, surrounding and influencing the interaction between users and mobile computers. For designers, it is appealing to know the contextual characteristics that can be taken into account in effectively supporting user's actions. For user experience researchers, it is desirable to understand the features or properties of usage context influencing an experience. For modern mobile usability practitioners, conducting experiments on the field settings, it is important to understand and report the relevant contextual conditions as a necessary part of evaluation. However, when exploring and understanding what context of use is about, researchers and practitioners face a variety of definitions, frameworks and models (e.g., Bradley & Dunlop, 2005; Cheverts et al., 2000, 2001; Dey, 2001; Dourish, 2001).

There are multiple ways to approach and categorize context of use. Understanding context is one of the main aims of ethnographical research (Dourish, 2001, 2004; O'Hara et al., 2006, 2007), whereas research into context-awareness targets the modeling of features (Cheverts et al., 2000, 2001; Dey, 2001), and usability or user-experience researchers see context of use as a part of a holistic picture of experience (see e.g., Hassenzahl & Tractinsky, 2006; Roto, 2006). Recently Bradley and Dunlop (2005) presented a prominent multidisciplinary model of context by combining theories from the fields of linguistics, computer science and psychology. According to their model, context of use is characterised by task, physical, social and temporal components of context. Furthermore, similar categorisations have been presented not only in the mobile HCI (Roto,

2006; Väänänen-Vainio-Mattila & Ruuska, 2000) and mobile work contexts (Wigelius & Väättäjä, 2009) but also in consumer studies (Belk, 1975). Besides these, technical, application or domain contexts have also been underlined as relevant factors for human-computer interaction (ISO 13407, 1999; Väänänen-Vainio-Mattila & Ruuska, 2000). While previous works provide a good base for viewing characteristics of usage context, their perspective is limited for mobile HCI.

Mobile usage contexts are heterogeneous and dynamic (e.g., Kaasinen, 2003; O'Hara et al., 2007; Tamminen et al., 2005; Väänänen-Vainio-Mattila & Ruuska, 2000). The usage sessions may contain transitions between contexts and within contexts (Tamminen et al., 2005): for example between personal and shared use; temporally, between waiting and hurrying; from walking to standing or sitting; or between multi- and unitasking (Cui et al., 2006; Kaasinen, 2003; O'Hara et al., 2007; Tamminen et al., 2005; Väänänen-Vainio-Mattila & Ruuska, 2000). To date, there have not been any wide scale attempts to understand special characteristics of these contexts in which the mobile interaction takes place. Rather, the previous reviews have either modelled general characteristics without underlining mobile interaction (Bradley & Dunlop, 2005), or their focus has been upon the research methods used in mobile HCI (Kjeldskov & Graham, 2003).

The goals of this paper are two-fold: firstly, we summarise the past research into mobile contexts of use and determine to what extent its different characterizing components have been studied; secondly, in order to understand what context of use in human-mobile computer interaction actually is perceived to be, we develop a descriptive model which both underlines special characteristics of mobile HCI and deepens the current knowledge of it. This paper presents an extensive and systematic literature review based on the review methods presented by Schwarz et al. (2007). Our review examines over 100 papers published in the five high-quality journals and one main conference in

30 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/framing-context-use-mobile-hci/62346

Related Content

Actual use of Computers and the Internet by Older Adults: Potential Benefits and Risks

Karin Slegers and Martin P. van Boxtel (2013). *Engaging Older Adults with Modern Technology: Internet Use and Information Access Needs* (pp. 161-190).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/actual-use-computers-internet-older/68312

Cultural Differences in Managing Cloud Computing Service Level Agreements

Stefan Balduf, Tina Balke and Torsten Eymann (2011). *Handbook of Research on Culturally-Aware Information Technology: Perspectives and Models* (pp. 1-26).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/cultural-differences-managing-cloud-computing/45035

Identity in a Technological Society: Governance Implications

Marc A. Saner and Jeremy Geelen (2013). *Handbook of Research on Technoself: Identity in a Technological Society* (pp. 720-741).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/identity-technological-society/70381

Using Multimodal Displays to Signify Critical Handovers of Control to Distracted Autonomous Car Drivers

Ioannis Politis, Stephen Brewster and Frank Pollick (2017). *International Journal of Mobile Human Computer Interaction* (pp. 1-16).

www.irma-international.org/article/using-multimodal-displays-to-signify-critical-handovers-of-control-to-distracted-autonomous-car-drivers/181595

A Methodical Analysis of Application of Emerging Ubiquitous Computing Technology With Fog Computing and IoT in Diversified Fields and Challenges of Cloud Computing

Mamata Rath (2018). *International Journal of Information Communication Technologies and Human Development* (pp. 15-27).

www.irma-international.org/article/a-methodical-analysis-of-application-of-emerging-ubiquitous-computing-technology-with-fog-computing-and-iot-in-diversified-fields-and-challenges-of-cloud-computing/206560