

Chapter 5.9

Business Process Management Systems for Supporting Individual and Group Decision Making

Amit V. Deokar

Dakota State University, USA

Omar F. El-Gayar

Dakota State University, USA

INTRODUCTION

The complexities involved in managing intra-functional as well as interfunctional activities have triggered many organizations to deploy large information technology (IT) systems such as ERP and CRM. While such systems have focused mainly on providing solutions to problems such as enterprise-wide application integration and customer driven revenue management, one of the prime issues of managing coordination among activities in organizational processes has not gained adequate attention and support. Business process management (BPM) systems have emerged as a key technology primarily in the past two decades with a goal of providing process support to organizations and supporting better decision making.

This article focuses on highlighting this role of BPM systems while discussing some of the recent advances and approaches from a decision making standpoint, both for supporting individual and collaborative decision making activities.

BACKGROUND

The original ideas upon which BPM systems are founded upon can be traced back to several different areas of computing and management. It is worthwhile to glance at the history to better understand the motivating factors for the advancement and role of BPM systems. One such area is that of office information systems. In the 1970s and 1980s, researchers like Holt (1985) focused

on modeling routine office procedures with mathematical formalisms such as Petri Nets. These efforts did not gain much momentum due to the functional nature of organizations. Later, in the mid-1990s, management initiatives such as Business Process Re-engineering (BPR) (Hammer, 1990), and Total Quality Management (TQM) (Harrington, 1991) highlighted the importance of process oriented thinking in organizations, which helped in rejuvenating the interest in business process modeling and management.

During mid-1980s and early-1990s, another research stream of organizational decision support system (ODSS) emerged. It built upon Hackathorn and Keen's (1981) key ideas of decision support: individual, group, and organizational. From a decision standpoint, it laid out a foundation for focusing on organizational activities and further decomposing them into a sequence of subactivities performed by various organizational actors. Although process coordination was not the primary focus of ODSS, it supported the notion of coordinating and disseminating decision making across functional areas and hierarchical layers such that decisions are congruent with organization goals and management's shared interpretation of the competitive environment (Watson, 1990). The term ODSS was sometimes also referred to as "distributed decision support system" in the literature.

Also in the early 1990s, document imaging and management systems fostered the notion of automation of document-driven business processes by routing documents from person to person in an organization (Smith, 1993).

BPM AND RELATED TERMINOLOGY

The term BPM is often used by commercial vendors with different connotations. It is therefore essential to present operational definitions of related terms. Firstly, the term *process* itself is

very broad. Medina-Mora, Wong, and Flores's (1993) classification of organizational processes into material processes, information processes, and business processes is noteworthy here. Material processes relate human tasks to the physical world (e.g., assembly of machine parts). Information processes relate to automated tasks (i.e., performed by computer programs), and partially automated tasks (i.e., tasks performed by people with the assistance of computer programs). Business processes are a higher level abstraction of organizational activities that are operationalized through material processes and/or information processes (Georgakopoulos, Hornick, & Sheth, 1995). The term process in the BPM context relates to business processes implemented primarily as information processes, and is used in the discussion in this article.

Workflow is a related concept to automating business and information organizational processes. The Workflow Management Coalition (WfMC) defines *workflow* as: "The automation of a business process, in whole or part, during which documents, information, or tasks are passed from one participant to another for action, according to a set of procedural rules" (WfMC, 1999). Also, WfMC defines the term *Workflow Management System* (WFMS) as: "A system that defines, creates and manages the execution of workflows through the use of software, running on one or more workflow engines, which is able to interpret the process definition, interact with workflow participants and, where required, invoke the use of IT tools and applications" (WfMC, 1999). It can be seen that WfMC places strong emphasis on the execution aspect, which is limiting in many ways. While managing execution of workflows is essential, making use of information about workflows to analyze, diagnose, and redesign business processes at a conceptual level is critical to reap benefits from the technology, rather than focusing merely on process design, system configuration, and process enactment. With this realization, the term *BPM* has emerged, which

7 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/business-process-management-systems-supporting/8843

Related Content

Entrepreneurial Ecosystems: Lisbon as a Smart Start-Up City

Luísa Cagica Carvalho (2018). *E-Planning and Collaboration: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 1120-1138).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/entrepreneurial-ecosystems/206050

An empirical study of the factors of teleworking and the moderating effect of work colleague support

(2022). *International Journal of e-Collaboration* (pp. 0-0).

www.irma-international.org/article//290297

Successful Virtual Organizations as Collaboration Networks: Descriptions and Experiences from Two Norwegian Examples

Jan Frick (2004). *E-Collaborations and Virtual Organizations* (pp. 169-183).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/successful-virtual-organizations-collaboration-networks/8900

Online Collaborative Integration and Recommendations for Future Research

Lynn Wilson and Janet Salmons (2009). *Handbook of Research on Electronic Collaboration and Organizational Synergy* (pp. 757-767).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/online-collaborative-integration-recommendations-future/20211

A Machine Learning-Based Anomaly Detection Method and Blockchain-Based Secure Protection Technology in Collaborative Food Supply Chain

Yuh-Min Chen, Tsung-Yi Chen and Jyun-Sian Li (2023). *International Journal of e-Collaboration* (pp. 1-24).

www.irma-international.org/article/a-machine-learning-based-anomaly-detection-method-and-blockchain-based-secure-protection-technology-in-collaborative-food-supply-chain/315789