

Chapter 2.28

BSC–Based Framework for E–Business Strategy

Fen Wang

University of Maryland, Baltimore County, USA

Guisseppe Forgionne

University of Maryland, Baltimore County, USA

INTRODUCTION

E-business is far more about strategy than technology (Raisinghani & Schkade, 2001). An effective e-business strategy is concerned with e-business multidimensional characteristics associated with different levels, parties, elements, and growth pattern features (Bakry & Bakry, 2001). In the process, the strategy must incorporate the effects of the instant and global Internet communication mechanism on the company's business management architecture. The global reach and interconnectivity of the Internet have spawned new models of e-business strategy and radically transformed existing ones (Pant & Ravichandran, 2001). Indeed, what distinguishes many of the dot-coms is not their new technical power, but the radical new business models (Hamel, 2000).

Aided by such innovative e-business models, managers will be able to identify the major decision factors involved in their business strategies and generate strategies that would improve their overall performance and profitability. In the current context, four essential perspectives are identified to be associated with an e-business strategy: financial, customer, internal processes, and learning and growth. These four perspectives were first introduced in early 1990s as the balanced scorecard concept (BSC) (Kaplan & Norton, 1992). Because the BSC methodology explicitly focuses on links among business decisions and outcomes, it is intended to guide strategy development, implementation, and provide reliable feedback for management control and performance evaluation. This BSC rationale is thereby appealing to managers who face new challenges in the current turbulent e-business climate.

The real challenge is to determine how the BSC can be successfully applied in the context of e-business's constantly changing environment of interdependencies (Hasan & Tibbits, 2000). E-business introduces new business objectives and strategies and the old measures of success may no longer apply. It is anticipated that the departure from the original BSC for a strategic e-business management framework would be more radical than the existing BSC adaptations (e.g., Martinson's balanced IS scorecard; Martinsons, Davison, & Tse, 1999).


BACKGROUND

Few, if any, precise and complete e-business strategy models are available from the literature (Dubosson-Torbay, Osterwalder, & Pigneur, 2001). There are a few theoretical academic studies with some empirical evidence on e-business models success (Horsti, Tuunainen, & Tolonen, 2005). Generally, these e-business model studies fall into two categories: subsystem research and generic frameworks. Examples of the subsystem research include modeling for price structures (Liu, Wynter, & Xia, 2003), customer needs (Olsson & Karlsson, 2003), process synchronization

(Park, 2002), and knowledge sharing (Koh & Kim, 2004). Since these subsystem models deal with a particular aspect of e-business, they do not offer a global and complete view of e-business strategy.

There are several generic frameworks for the development and analysis of e-business models. Whelan and Maxelon (2001) proposed that an e-business architecture requires product, channel, customer management, resource management, and information elements. Afuah and Tucci (2001) presented a more detailed list of components including scope, customer value, revenue sources, connected activities, and so forth, but like Whelan and Maxelon, they did not specify the interrelationships. Hamel (2000) specified a complete four-part framework with bridge components that is geared toward guiding strategic choices of management. Similarly, Dubosson-Torbay et al. (2001) used a framework with four principal components to analyze e-business: product innovation, customer relationship, infrastructure management, and financial aspects. Going beyond the segment frameworks, De, Mathee, and Abraham (2001) developed a pragmatic framework that offers different perspectives for the analysis of e-business including transaction costs, switch-

Figure 1. Adapted four perspectives of e-business strategy



10 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/bsc-based-framework-business-strategy/9490

Related Content

Appreciating Rapid Technology Integration in Creating Value in Enterprises

Mambo G. Mupepi and Sylvia C. Mupepi (2014). *Journal of Electronic Commerce in Organizations* (pp. 53-75). www.irma-international.org/article/appreciating-rapid-technology-integration-in-creating-value-in-enterprises/108841

ASME Evaluation on Grid Mobile E-Commerce Process

Dan Chang and Wei Liao (2012). *Journal of Electronic Commerce in Organizations* (pp. 27-43). www.irma-international.org/article/asme-evaluation-grid-mobile-commerce/72896

Organizational Characteristics of B2B E-Commerce Adopters in the Canadian Manufacturing Sector

Vinod Kumar, Michel Fuxs and Uma Kumar (2018). *Journal of Electronic Commerce in Organizations* (pp. 1-18). www.irma-international.org/article/organizational-characteristics-of-b2b-e-commerce-adopters-in-the-canadian-manufacturing-sector/213998

Construction Basis of C2C E-commerce Credit Evaluation Index

Hua Peng (2017). *Journal of Electronic Commerce in Organizations* (pp. 11-23). www.irma-international.org/article/construction-basis-of-c2c-e-commerce-credit-evaluation-index/188834

The Influence of Enjoyment Factor Toward the Acceptance of Social Commerce

Alaa M. Momani, Wael M. Yafooz and Mamoun M. Jamous (2021). *Research Anthology on E-Commerce Adoption, Models, and Applications for Modern Business* (pp. 1061-1072). www.irma-international.org/chapter/the-influence-of-enjoyment-factor-toward-the-acceptance-of-social-commerce/281548