

## Chapter 2

# The SHEEP Model: Applying Near Miss Analysis

**Deborah J. Rosenorn-Lanng**  
*Royal Berkshire NHS Foundation Trust, UK*

**Vaughan A. Michell**  
*University of Reading, UK*

### ABSTRACT

*This chapter explains the development of a model, titled “SHEEP,” to identify quality and safety factors relating to near misses. The model allows frequency of risk factors and their impact to be analysed at departmental or institutional levels, which enables a structured focusing of resources at an organisational level.*

### INTRODUCTION

As history unfolds, it seems often to be the case that only following a crisis that we gather sufficient inertia to set about initiating change. We suspect, and hope, that the Mid Staffordshire Report (QC, February 2013) will be one such turning point in healthcare. We wish to help initiate the new ideal of a ‘learning culture with continuous improvement’.

While we invest large amounts of time and effort in investigating serious incidents, the learning points from near misses are often lost (Jeffs, Berta, Lingard, & Baker, 2012). The literature suggests near misses are ignored, covered up, lost in the reporting system or that some sort of quick fix is applied (Jeffs et al., 2012). In addition, the term ‘near miss’ is somewhat of a misnomer as nearly missing something implies hitting it. In this chapter, we would like to propose a new term the ‘nearly event’. This parallels more closely the nomenclature that includes ‘never events’.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-4666-4546-2.ch002

Currently we are not capturing the organisational learning from these ‘nearly events’. Despite the undisputed frequency of medical errors, the methods of investigation are of varying standard and are often incomplete. With current investigation methods, there is little certainty that all the ‘Reason-esque’ layers of cheese (Reason, 2000) will have been identified. In other settings, Gawande (Gawande, 2007, 2010) and others (Hales, Terblanche, Fowler, & Sibbald, 2008) have shown us the benefits of a checklist rather than relying on the unprompted memory recall of the human mind. This checklist approach has not previously been applied to error investigation.

We propose the use of a new methodology linked to a factor model with the acronym SHEEP (Rosenorn-Lanng, 2014) that can be used to identify organisational learning actions from ‘nearly events’. The frequency of risk factors and their impact can be analysed at a departmental or institutional level. This enables focusing of scarce resources in a cost effective manner at an organisational level, rather than knee jerk lurches of money and effort in an uncoordinated manner.

We believe that the structured factor model approach to ‘nearly event’ analysis will result in a fuller understanding of the multiple contributing factors. In particular, it will enhance patient safety by promoting better recall and understanding of specific human factors which are always present, but often ignored. The tool allows focused, solution-based allocation of resources to target problem areas (including attitudes, behaviours and culture) at local or organisational level (the latter via trend analysis). We believe the inclusion of human factors training routinely within a healthcare setting will deliver part of the essential culture change suggested in the Francis Report (QC, February 2013).

## THE CONTEXT

The model is influenced by three contextual elements:

### **Limitations of Current Methods of Error Investigation in Nearly Events**

The deployment of Root Cause Analysis (RCA), mini Root Cause Analysis, the ‘quick fix’, the ‘reporting and black hole’ phenomenon, denial, apathy and alas even the ‘cover up’ are among the current methods of error management (Jeffs et al., 2012). There is rarely time for RCA on nearly events. Even if an RCA is initiated, the standard of the output depends on the experience and training of those conducting the investigation. In healthcare, our investigative processes lag behind the rigour employed in the airline industry and other high reliability organisations, although attempts to bridge this gap are emerging (Bosma, Veen, & Roukema, 2011; Spiess, 2011).

RCAs are often seen in health use as ‘uncontrolled case studies’ (G, 2005) that provide a narrative report of interviews. RCAs in healthcare often lack the consistency applied in business RCAs using statistical 6 Sigma methods and other RCA tools such as interrelationship diagrams and reality trees (Dogget) to qualify and quantify potential causes (Carroll J, 2002). They are impacted by hindsight bias where obvious conclusions may be drawn when all the facts are present (AM, 2005) and imply there was one of few root causes rather than many combinations (Vincent, 2004). The focus on one-off initiatives (Wu AW, 2008) rarely link to, or use, other RCA evidence. RCA also fails to systematically include human factors, such as feelings and human dispositions, that often drive error impacting decisions (Wald

10 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

[www.igi-global.com/chapter/the-sheep-model/104070](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/the-sheep-model/104070)

## Related Content

---

### Response Time Estimation of a Web-Based Electronic Health Record (EHR) System using Queuing Model

Isabel de la Torre Díez, Francisco Javier Díaz Pernas, Miguel López Coronado, Roberto Hornero Sánchez, María Isabel López Gálvez and Miriam Antón Rodríguez (2010). *International Journal of E-Health and Medical Communications* (pp. 66-78).

[www.irma-international.org/article/response-time-estimation-web-based/47538](http://www.irma-international.org/article/response-time-estimation-web-based/47538)

### Reliable and Efficient Data Communication Protocol for WBAN-Based Healthcare Systems

Nawel Yessad and Mawloud Omar (2018). *International Journal of E-Health and Medical Communications* (pp. 19-37).

[www.irma-international.org/article/reliable-and-efficient-data-communication-protocol-for-wban-based-healthcare-systems/201546](http://www.irma-international.org/article/reliable-and-efficient-data-communication-protocol-for-wban-based-healthcare-systems/201546)

### Utilisation of Health Information Systems for Service Delivery in the Namibian Environment

Ronald Karon (2016). *Maximizing Healthcare Delivery and Management through Technology Integration* (pp. 169-183).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/utilisation-of-health-information-systems-for-service-delivery-in-the-namibian-environment/137585](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/utilisation-of-health-information-systems-for-service-delivery-in-the-namibian-environment/137585)

### Development and Psychometric Qualities of the SEIPS Survey to Evaluate CPOE/EHR Implementation in ICUs

Peter Hoonakker, Randi S. Cartmill, Pascale Carayon and James M. Walker (2011). *International Journal of Healthcare Information Systems and Informatics* (pp. 51-69).

[www.irma-international.org/article/development-psychometric-qualities-seips-survey/51364](http://www.irma-international.org/article/development-psychometric-qualities-seips-survey/51364)

### A Proof of Concept of a Business Intelligence Platform to Support the Decision-Making Process of Health Professionals in Waiting Lists

Marisa Esteves, Márcia Esteves, António Abelha and José Machado (2019). *International Journal of Privacy and Health Information Management* (pp. 14-32).

[www.irma-international.org/article/a-proof-of-concept-of-a-business-intelligence-platform-to-support-the-decision-making-process-of-health-professionals-in-waiting-lists/267202](http://www.irma-international.org/article/a-proof-of-concept-of-a-business-intelligence-platform-to-support-the-decision-making-process-of-health-professionals-in-waiting-lists/267202)